4/19/2017 2:09 PM Scanned by Carver County Court Administration

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE Kevin W.Ride

MY NAME IS, CARLIN Q.WILLIAMS.

I WAS THE FIRST, TO BE TESTED IN THE PRINCE RODGERS NELSON ESTATE CASE, AND THERES COME BEFORE ME REASON TO BELIEVE MY DNA TEST THAT WHICH WAS TAKEN LAST YEAR ON 6-11-2016 WAS BOGUS AND OR TEMPERED WITH.

THE TEST WHICH MY ATTORNEY HAD ME, AND MY MOTHER PRESENT; AND ALONE WITH MY FATHER PRINCE RODGERS NELSONS'TO COMPARE THE THREE.BOTH MR.NELSON, AND MY MOTHER (MARSHA HENSON)"WHOSE NAME IS ON MY BIRTH-GERTIFICATE", ALL CAME BACK NEGATIVE.

THIS ALONE CAUSES US TO KNOW-SOMETHING INCONCLUSIVE WITH THIS TEST, THIS TEST TELLING ME THAT MY MOTHER IS NOT(MY MOTHER) IS FAKE.

MY ATTORNEYS PAUL SHOEMAKER, AND PATRICK COUSINS (561-758-6006) TOLD ME AT ONE POINT"BEFORE THIS TURNED INTO A CIRCUS"THAT YOU, AT FIRST WERE WILLING TO HAVE MY TEST ALONE RE-DONE, WITH A COMPARED SAMPLE OF MR.NELSON'S SISTER, OR CLOSE FAMILY MEMBER OF THE DECEASED, TO PROVE THAT; THE DNA THATS BEING USED IS EVEN PRINCE RODGERS NELSONS'S.

I BEG THE COURT FOR JUSTICE NOT ONLY ON MY BEHALF, BUT ALSO OF THE DECEASED MR.NELSON (MY) FATHER.WHAT I'M ASKING YOUR HONOUR IS THAT YOU CONSIDER A SECOND DNA TO BE DONE WITH A VERIFYING MEMBERS DNA THAT MATCH THE DECEASED, FOR THERE IS ALOT AT STAKE NOT ONLY WITH THE ESTATE, BUT WITH ME WANTING TO KNOW MY FATHER.

> THANK YOU AND GOD BLESS YOU

CASE NO.10-PR-16-46 CARVER COUNTY DISTRICT COURT CHASKA,MINNESOTA

Cali W. 3-26.17

## RECEIVED

APR 1 8 2017

COURT ADMINISTRATION

FHLED APR 1 9 2017 CARVER COUNTY COURTS 307.16. 2016 2:54 PM

No. 6406 P. 2



## **DNA** Test Report

Ret No. JT7176 DDC is accredited/certified by AABB, CAP, ISO/IEC 17025 by ANAB, CLIA & NYSDOH Case 590994 MOTHER CHILD Alleged FATHER Name Marsha J. Henson Carlin Williams Prince Rogers Nelson Black Race Black Date Collected 6/13/2016 6/11/2016 4/22/2016 590994-20 Test No 590994-10 590261-30 Locus PI Allele Sizes Allele Sizes Allele Sizes 03S1358 15 0.00 15 17 17 18 vWA 0.00 16 17 15 17 16 18 8 9 9 D16S539 11 0.00 12 CSF1PO 7 12 7 10 10 12 1.89 6 9 6 11 8 TPOX 10 0.00 15 D8S1179 0.00 13 14 14 11 14 D21S11 28 29 29 312 31 0.00 17 D18S51 0 00 19 19 21 15 11 14 D2S441 0 00 11 14 10 1: 13 142 15 1.1 13.2 D19S433 0.00 14 ? 7 R 6 TH01 0.00 8 7 9 21 182 21 21 FGA 4.31 24 16 10 15 D22S1045 15 16 14 0.00 11 13 12 055818 4,25 12 11 10 8 11 11 11 D13S317 0.00 12 9 12 8 10 07\$820 0.00 9 20 27.2 15 17 20 27.2 SE33 0.00 13

Interpretation

0.00

0.00

Q.QO

D10S1248

D1S1656

D2S1338

Amelogenin

0 Combined Patarnity Index.

12

14

16

Х

13

15

24

Probability of Paternity 0%

The alleged father is excluded as the biological father of the tested child. This conclusion is based on the non-matching alleles observed at the loci listed above with a PI equal to 0 The alleged father lacks the genetic markers that must be contributed to the child by the biological father. The probability of paternity is 0%.

13

15

19

х

14

24

v

Subscribed and sworn before me on lune 16, 2016

Donna L. Dougherty Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires May 8, 2017

I, the undersigned Laboratory Director, verify that the interpretation of the results is correct as reported on 6/16/2016.

Michael L. Baird, Ph.D. John W. Peterson, Ph.D. Joy Johnson, Ph.D. Richard Chmelo, Ph.D. Guongyun Sun, Ph.D.

Thomas M. Roid, Ph.D. Debra L. Davis, Ph.D. Zachary Spicer, Ph.D. Yong H, Ph.D. Melissa Kahsar, Ph.D.

16

14

25

Y

RN- 1670066

13

20

Х

1 513.881 7600

One DDC Woy Fairfield, OH 45014 USA

www.DNAcenter.com