STATE OF MINNESOTA	DISTRICT COURT
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN	FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
State of Minnesota, Plaintiff,) PARTIES JOINT RESPONSE TO) REQUESTS FOR MEDIA COVERAGE
vs. MOHAMED MOHAMED NOOR,))) MNCIS No: 27-CR-18-6859)
Defendant.))
	* * * * * * * *

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA AND DEFENDANT MOHAMED MOHAMED NOOR, THOUGH THEIR ATTORNEYS SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING RESPONSE TO THE COURT AND ENTITIES REQUESTING MEDIA COVERAGE:

The parties have received 17 Notices of Visual or Audio Coverage or equivalent requests from media outlets requesting to record the March 1, 2019 Motion Hearing, the April 1, 2019 trial, or both.

Minn. Gen. R. Prac., Rule 4.02 (2019) applies to proceedings in criminal cases, like both the motion hearing and the trial in this case, which occur before a guilty plea has been accepted or a guilty verdict has been returned and generally authorizes recording with written or on-the-record consent of the parties. The parties do not consent to any recording of any proceeding in this case. Additionally, any recording of the March 1st motion hearing is expressly prohibited by exception (v) to Rule 4.02(d):

Preceding or during a jury trial there shall be no visual or audio coverage of hearings that take place outside the presence of the jury. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing sentence, such hearings would include those to determine the admissibility of evidence, and those to determine various motions, such as motions to suppress evidence, for judgment of acquittal, *in limine*, and to dismiss. (Italics in original).

The court has asked that the parties also address Minn. Gen. R. Prac., Rule 4.03 (2019) which applies to Requests for Visual and Audio Coverage of Authorized District Court Proceedings. Both parties <u>object</u> to visual or audio coverage and serve this notice to the court. The parties will serve the requesting parties with this notice by email.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 2-32-19

Thomas Plunkett

Attorney for Defendant Dated: 222-19