STATE OF MINNESOTA	DISTRICT COURT			
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN	FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT			
State of Minnesota,	Case Type: Criminal			
Plaintiff,	STATE'S PROPOSED JURY INTERROGATORIES FOR AGGRAVATING FACTORS			
VS.				
Derek Michael Chauvin,	Court File No. 27-CR-20-12646			
J. Alexander Kueng,	Court File No. 27-CR-20-12953			
Thomas Kiernan Lane,	Court File No. 27-CR-20-12951			
Tou Thao,	Court File No. 27-CR-20-12949			
Defendants.				
On August 28, 2020, the State submitted its no	tice to seek an aggravated sentence and the			
aggravating factors. Following this Court's directive	e at the September 11, 2020 hearing, the			
State submits the following proposed Interrogatories for	or purposes of the aggravating factors:			
1. Did the Defendant commit the crime a	s part of a group of at least three or more			
people who all actively participated in the crime?				
YesNo				
2. Did the Defendant, acting alone or with	h others, commit the crime in the presence			
of a child under the age of 18?				
YesNo				
3. Did a child under the age of 18 witness	the Defendant's criminal conduct?			

No

_Yes

4.	How many children	How many children under the age of 18 witnessed some part of the Defendant's		
criminal	conduct?			
N	umber:			
5.	Was the Defendant w	vorking as a licensed police officer in uniform at the time of		
the comn	nission of the crime?			
_	Yes	No		
6.	Did the Defendant u	se his authority as a licensed police officer in uniform to		
facilitate	the commission of the crim	e?		
_	Yes	No		
7.	Did the Defendant, a	acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or		
having re	eason to know that George I	Floyd was handcuffed behind his back?		
_	Yes	No		
8.	Did the Defendant, a	acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or		
having re	eason to know that George	Floyd had expressed a heightened emotional and physical		
response	to being forced into the bac	ek seat of a squad car?		
_	Yes	No		
9.	Did the Defendant, a	acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or		
having re	eason to know that George	e Floyd was in a position that interfered with his ability to		
adequate	ly breathe?			
_	Yes	No		
10	0. Did the Defendant, a	acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or		
having re	eason to know that George I	Floyd had been rendered unconscious?		
_	Yes	No		

	11.	Did the Defendant,	acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or		
having	g reason	to know that George	Floyd had stopped breathing?		
	Y	l'es	No		
	12.	Did the Defendant, a	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime		
after George Floyd told the Defendant that he could not breathe?					
	Y	Yes	No		
	13.	Did the Defendant, a	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime		
after an eyewitness told the Defendant that George Floyd could not breathe?					
	Y	l'es .	No		
	14.	Did the Defendant, a	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime		
after an eyewitness told the Defendant that George Floyd was dying?					
	Y	l'es .	No		
	15.	Did the Defendant, a	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime		
after one or more of the co-defendants stated that he could not find a pulse on George Floyd?					
	Y	l'es .	No		
	16.	Did the Defendant, a	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime		
after an eyewitness pleaded with the Defendant to help George Floyd?					
	Y	Yes	No		
	17.	Did the Defendant, a	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime		
after George Floyd was motionless and non-responsive?					
	Y	<i>Y</i> es	No		

18.	Did the Defendant, a	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime
after George	Floyd stopped breathin	g?
	Yes	No
19.	Did the Defendant,	acting alone or with others, demonstrate a disregard for
George Floye	d's health during some	portion of the crime?
	Yes	No
20.	Did the Defendant, a	acting alone or with others, commit at least some portion of
the crime a	after emergency medi	cal personnel arrived to provide medical assistance to
George Floye	d?	
	Yes	No
21.	Did the Defendant,	acting alone or with others, impede reasonable efforts by
others to pro	vide medical assistance	to George Floyd?
	Yes	No

Dated: October 12, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

KEITH ELLISON Attorney General State of Minnesota

/s/ Matthew Frank

MATTHEW FRANK Assistant Attorney General Atty. Reg. No. 021940X

445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1400 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2131 (651) 757-1448 (Voice) (651) 297-4348 (Fax) matthew.frank@ag.state.mn.us

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF