

**Guthmann, John (Judge)**

---

**From:** Anderson, Matt (LC Guthmann)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 26, 2017 2:05 PM  
**To:** Guthmann, John (Judge)  
**Subject:** FW: Veto Case

**From:** Doug Kelley [mailto:DKelley@KelleyWolter.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 26, 2017 1:59 PM  
**To:** Hanson, Samuel <SHanson@Briggs.com>; Anderson, Matt (LC Guthmann) <Matt.Anderson@courts.state.mn.us>  
**Subject:** RE: Veto Case

Sam and Mr. Anderson,

The Legislature cannot override a veto once it adjourns *sine die*, as is the case here. Thus, a legislative override is not available as a remedy. I expected the adjournment issue to come up during oral argument and was prepared to submit the Special Session Agreement as a court exhibit, but it didn't. I will file an affidavit with the Special Session Agreement appended shortly to make the Record clear.

Doug

---

**From:** Hanson, Samuel [mailto:SHanson@Briggs.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 26, 2017 12:53 PM  
**To:** [matt.anderson@courts.state.mn.us](mailto:matt.anderson@courts.state.mn.us)  
**Cc:** Doug Kelley  
**Subject:** Veto Case

Regarding the Court's "extreme" hypothetical, my colleagues were quick to remind me that the other constitutional remedies available in such a case, in addition to core funding, would be a legislative override and ultimately impeachment, for such a blatant action.

Sam Hanson

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** The information contained in this e-mail communication and any attached documentation may be privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure and is intended only for the use of the designated recipient(s). It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized person. The use, distribution, transmittal or re-transmittal by an unintended recipient of this communication is strictly prohibited without our express approval in writing or by e-mail. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify the above sender so that our e-mail address may be corrected. Receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient is not a waiver of any attorney-client or work-product privilege.