Stat	e of Minnesota	District Court
Coun		JudicialDistrict:
		Court File Number:
		Case Type:
Petiti	oner	_
1 Citti		Affidavit in Support of
and		<b>Responsive Motion Objecting</b>
		to Transfer of Postjudgment
		_ Action to Tribal Court
Respondent		
		Minn. Stat. § 518A.80, subd. 5
Interv	lenor	_
The fo	ollowing statements support my object	tion to the transfer of the postjudgment $\Box$ Child
Suppo	ort / $\Box$ Custody / $\Box$ Parenting Time a	ction to Tribal Court.
1.	This case $\Box$ does / $\Box$ does not require interpretation of Tribal law.	
2.	This case $\Box$ does / $\Box$ does not involve Tribal traditions or cultural matters.	
3.	The tribe $\Box$ is $/\Box$ is not a party to the action.	
4.	This case $\Box$ does / $\Box$ does not involve the issue of Tribal sovereignty, jurisdiction, or territory.	
5.	The parties $\Box$ have / $\Box$ have not chosen the District Court of the State of Minnesota and/or the laws of the State of Minnesota to be applied to any disputes in this case in a prior court order.	
6.	$\Box$ I believe the District Court of the State of Minnesota can decide this matter more quickly than the Tribal court.	

- 7. There  $\Box$  is /  $\Box$  is not an open IV-D Tribal Agency case.
- 8. This case involves a request to transfer the postjudgment action to the Red Lake Nation Tribal Court.

If Yes: The other party stated that all parties and children involved in this case resided within the boundaries of the Red Lake Reservation for at least 6 months before the motion. This is not accurate because:

9. Transferring jurisdiction to the Tribal court would be a burden for me because:

I declare under penalty of perjury that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct. Minn. Stat. § 358.116

Email:

Dated Signature Name: Address: County and state where signed City/State/Zip: Telephone: