EXHIBIT Y

From:	Omarr Baker < obaker@prnfamily.com>
Sent:	Friday, August 9, 2019 1:29 PM
То:	Judge Solum
Cc:	Silton, Steve; jabruntjen@gmail.com; weston@westonlawmn.com; Mark Greiner; Joe Cassioppi
Subject:	Office Depot Scan.pdf
Attachments:	Office Depot Scan.pdf
EXTERNAL SENDER	
Dear Judge Solum,	
I hope the attached letter rea	ches you. I apologize, I have been away on vacation.
I am just now getting up to s	peed again with estate related matters. Please forgive the late letter.
Sincerely,	
Omarr Baker	

Omarr Baker

August 8, 2019

Judge Richard B. Solum 2950 Dean Parkway, #2502 Minneapolis, MN 55416

Dear Judge Solum:

I have reviewed the filings to date relating to the fee motions from Cozen O'Connor, my former counsel of record, and the other Heirs' counsel. I write to correct a representation by Comerica, the Personal Representative, and to reiterate my support for Cozen O'Connor's requested fees.

In their letter dated July 17, 2019, the Personal Representative said the following: "While the Heirs' counsel may have reviewed the entertainment deals and in some cases provided the Personal Representative with their input on the proposed deals, they did so on behalf of their individual clients. Heirs' counsel has not identified any favorable changes to the entertainment deals resulting from their review and input, or any other tangible benefit to the Estate. As a result, the fees incurred for such services should be paid by their clients, and not by the Estate."

I disagree with Comerica's statement. As Prince's heir, I of course benefited from Cozen O'Connor's review of the entertainment deals. But there was also a benefit to the Estate. As Prince's heir, I rely on my advisors and attorneys to help me dissect a proposed action for the Estate and assess its benefit. This is especially true for the entertainment deals, which involve reviewing and assessing complex agreements with sophisticated parties. As the people who will eventually take over this Estate, it is crucial for the Heirs to understand the long-term impacts of the entertainment deals and other long-term commitments the Estate makes. It benefits the Estate when the Heirs who are charged with taking over this Estate understand precisely the future commitments made.

One of the largest litigation costs in the Estate has stemmed from disagreements between the Personal Representative and/or Special Administrator, on the one hand, and the Heirs, on the other hand. By negotiating the entertainment deals on the Heirs' behalf, Cozen helped to informally resolve conflict, when possible, and led to reduced litigation costs. Eliminating even a small portion of those litigation costs served to benefit the Estate by ensuring cohesiveness between the Heirs and the Personal Representative, and avoiding motion practice before the Court when possible.

Additionally, the Personal Representative just announced that the Netflix deal generated \$15,000,000 for the Estate. If it was not for Cozen O'Connor and Justin Bruntjen—and, frankly, myself—presenting the idea of the Heirs' involvement, all the Heirs could have and probably would have objected, possibly canceling what we now know is a very lucrative financial deal for the Estate.

This is true for each entertainment deal that the Heirs support. When the Heirs agreed the Personal Representative's actions were in the Estate's best interest, they did not object, and thus eliminated litigation costs due to objections. Also, notably, Comerica just represented on July 22, 2019 that with respect to negotiating entertainment-related agreements, Cozen was one of the most active counsel, stating "Mr. Bruntjen and the Cozen firm appear to have been most active in negotiating the terms of those separate agreements for their clients," and "Mr. Bruntjen and the Cozen firm were generally most active in seeking additional or different perks for the Heirs."

If you have questions, I am available to discuss.

Sincerely,

Omarr Baker