

STATE OF MINNESOTA
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN

DISTRICT COURT
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

State of Minnesota,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 MOHAMED MOHAMED NOOR,)
)
 Defendant.)

**STATE’S POSITION REGARDING
COPYING OF TRIAL EXHIBITS**

MNCIS No: 27-CR-18-6859

TO: THE HONORABLE KATHRYN QUAINANCE, HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT; COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT; AND DEFENDANT.

INTRODUCTION

On May 7, 2019, the court contacted the parties and offered the opportunity to take a position on the copying of exhibits introduced at trial in this case. Evidently, some parties besides the State and the defendant have made requests to copy exhibits, although it is unknown to the State who has made such requests and whether there have been specific requests for particular pieces of evidence. It seems it would not be possible to copy exhibits such as uniforms and guns, but it would be possible to duplicate other exhibits such as photos and body worn camera (BWC) video. The State’s position follows.

POSITION AND ARGUMENT

The State of Minnesota opposes providing access to trial exhibits by third parties for the purpose of copying or duplication. In the first place, the criminal case in Hennepin County District Court is neither concluded nor closed. As of today’s date, there are opportunities for the defendant and State to bring any number of post-trial and pre-sentencing motions. Second, after sentencing and after the court enters judgment, the defendant will presumably pursue his right to

