STATE OF MINNESOTA	DISTRICT COURT		
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN	FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT		
State of Minnesota,	Case Type: Criminal		
Plaintiff,	STATE'S PROPOSED JURY INTERROGATORIES FOR AGGRAVATING FACTORS		
VS.			
Derek Michael Chauvin,	Court File No. 27-CR-20-12646		
J. Alexander Kueng,	Court File No. 27-CR-20-12953		
Thomas Kiernan Lane,	Court File No. 27-CR-20-12951		
Tou Thao,	Court File No. 27-CR-20-12949		
Defendants.			
On August 28, 2020, the State submitted its n	otice to seek an aggravated sentence and the		
aggravating factors. Following this Court's directi	ve at the September 11, 2020 hearing, the		
State submits the following proposed Interrogatories	for purposes of the aggravating factors:		
1. Did the Defendant commit the crime	as part of a group of at least three or more		
people who all actively participated in the crime?			
YesNo			
2. Did the Defendant, acting alone or wi	ith others, commit the crime in the presence		
of a child under the age of 18?			
YesNo			
3. Did a child under the age of 18 witnes	Did a child under the age of 18 witness the Defendant's criminal conduct?		

No

___Yes

4	l.	How many children under the age of 18 witnessed some part of the Defendant's
criminal	condu	ct?
N	Numbe	r:
5	5.	Was the Defendant working as a licensed police officer in uniform at the time of
the com	missio	n of the crime?
_	Y	No
6	ō.	Did the Defendant use his authority as a licensed police officer in uniform to
facilitate	the co	emmission of the crime?
_	Y	No
7	7.	Did the Defendant, acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or
having r	eason	o know that George Floyd was handcuffed behind his back?
_	Y	No
8	3.	Did the Defendant, acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or
having r	eason	to know that George Floyd had expressed a heightened emotional and physical
response	to bei	ng forced into the back seat of a squad car?
_	Y	sNo
9).	Did the Defendant, acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or
having r	eason	to know that George Floyd was in a position that interfered with his ability to
adequate	ely bre	athe?
_	Y	sNo
1	0.	Did the Defendant, acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or
having r	eason	o know that George Floyd had been rendered unconscious?
_	Y	sNo

	11.	Did the Defendant, a	acting alone or with others, commit the crime knowing or
having	reason	to know that George F	Floyd had stopped breathing?
	Y	es	No
	12.	Did the Defendant, ac	eting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime
after G	eorge F	Floyd told the Defendar	nt that he could not breathe?
	Y	es	No
	13.	Did the Defendant, ac	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime
after ar	n eyewi	tness told the Defenda	nt that George Floyd could not breathe?
	Y	es	No
	14.	Did the Defendant, ac	eting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime
after ar	n eyewi	tness told the Defenda	nt that George Floyd was dying?
	Y	es	No
	15.	Did the Defendant, ac	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime
after or	ne or m	ore of the co-defendan	ts stated that he could not find a pulse on George Floyd?
	Y	es	No
	16.	Did the Defendant, ac	cting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime
after ar	n eyewi	tness pleaded with the	Defendant to help George Floyd?
	Y	es	No
	17.	Did the Defendant, ac	eting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime
after G	eorge F	Floyd was motionless a	nd non-responsive?
	Y	es	No

18.	Did the Defendant, ac	ting alone or with others, commit some portion of the crime
after George	Floyd stopped breathing	g?
Y	/es	No
19.	Did the Defendant,	acting alone or with others, demonstrate a disregard for
George Floyd	l's health during some p	portion of the crime?
Y	<i>Y</i> es	No
20.	Did the Defendant, a	cting alone or with others, commit at least some portion of
the crime a	fter emergency medic	cal personnel arrived to provide medical assistance to
George Floyd	1?	
Y	/es	No
21.	Did the Defendant, a	acting alone or with others, impede reasonable efforts by
others to prov	vide medical assistance	to George Floyd?
Y	<i>Y</i> es	No

Dated: October 12, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

KEITH ELLISON Attorney General State of Minnesota

/s/ Matthew Frank

MATTHEW FRANK Assistant Attorney General Atty. Reg. No. 021940X

445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1400 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2131 (651) 757-1448 (Voice) (651) 297-4348 (Fax) matthew.frank@ag.state.mn.us

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF