

---

Paul Wikstrom,

Contestant,

v.

Curtis Johnson,

Contestee.

Case No. 62-CV-24-7378

AUDIO / VIDEO TRANSCRIPTION  
**ORDER**

---

The above-entitled matter is scheduled for a hearing or trial before the undersigned, a Judge of District Court. During the hearing or trial the parties may seek to introduce audiotaped or videotaped evidence. The Court now makes the following:

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The party introducing evidence of an audiotaped or videotaped exhibit, other than evidence under Minnesota Statute § 634.36, must provide the opposing party a verbatim transcript of the recorded statements prior to trial. If the proponent of the exhibit intends to introduce only a portion of the audio or video, all other audio and video portions must be deleted from the exhibit. The parties must stipulate as to the accuracy of the transcript. If the parties are unable to stipulate to the accuracy of a portion of the transcript, that portion shall be deemed “inaudible” on the transcript. The transcript will be admitted into evidence as a court exhibit only.
2. In cases involving audiotaped and videotaped evidence under Minnesota Statute § 634.36, failure to submit to a transcript is not grounds to exclude the exhibit. The Court may, however, require the proponent to submit a verbatim transcript post-hearing. If the proponent of the exhibit intends to introduce only a portion of the

audio or video, all other audio and video portions must be deleted from the exhibit. In the case of an appeal, when no transcript was required to be filed with the Court, the party who introduced the exhibit must file an accurate verbatim transcript within thirty (30) days of the filing of Notice of Appeal. Court reporters are not responsible for transcribing videotaped and audiotaped evidence introduced at a trial or hearing.

Dated: 12/5/2024

**BY THE COURT:**

---

Leonardo Castro  
Judge of District Court