1	STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT
2	COUNTY OF SCOTT FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
3	
4	Aaron Paul, <u>COURT TRIAL</u>
5	<u>VOLUME I</u> Contestant,
6	v. Court File No. 70-CV-24-17210
7	Brad Tabke,
8	Contestee.
9	
10	The above-entitled matter came duly on for Court Trial
11	before the Honorable TRACY L. PERZEL, one of the judges of the
12	above-named Court, on the 16th day of December, 2024, at the Scott
13	County Government Center, City of Shakopee, County of Scott, State
14	of Minnesota.
15	
16	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
17	TOD COMPROMINATION AND ON DALIT
18	FOR CONTESTANT AARON PAUL:
19	R. REID LeBEAU II, Attorney at Law CHALMERS, ADAMS, BACKER, and KAUFMAN
20	525 Park Street, Suite 255 St. Paul, MN 55103
21	FOR CONTESTEE BRAD TABKE:
22	DAVID J. ZOLL, Attorney at Law
23	RACHEL A. KITZE COLLINS, Attorney at Law LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN PLLP
24	100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401
25	ALSO PRESENT: JEANNE ANDERSEN, Assistant Scott County Attorney

1	MASTER INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESSES - DECEMBER 16, 2024:	PAGE
4	JULIE HANSON	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	18
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	64 107 116
7	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	119
8	MATT LEHMAN	
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	121 129
10	VOTER NUMBER 14	227
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	132
12	VOTER NUMBER 15	202
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	137
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	141
15	VOTER NUMBER 17	
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	143
17	DAVID BEER (via Zoom)	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	147 150
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	151
20	VOTER NUMBER 16	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	152
22	VOTER NUMBER 10	
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	155
24		
25		

1	MASTER INDEX (Continued)	
2		
3	WITNESSES - DECEMBER 16, 2024:	PAGE
4	KAY GAMBLE	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	158 178
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	186 187
7	CHELSEA PETERSEN	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	189
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	195 198
10	VOTER NUMBER 4	230
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	199
12		
13	WITNESSES - DECEMBER 17, 2024:	PAGE
14	VOTER NUMBER 9 (via Zoom)	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	209
16	VOTER NUMBER 5	
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	214
18	VOTER NUMBER 11	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	218
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU	221
21	VOTER NUMBER 18	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	222
23	VOTER NUMBER 20	
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL	227
25		

1 2		MASTER INDEX (<u>Continued)</u>	
3	WITNESSES - DEC	CEMBER 17, 2024:		<u>PAGE</u>
4	VOTER NUMBER 12	2		
5	DIRECT EXA	AMINATION BY MR. ZOLL		230
6	ROCKY SWEARENG	IN		
7		AMINATION BY MR. ZOLL MINATION BY MR. LeBEAU		235 247
8 9	DR. AARON RENDA	AHL		
10		AMINATION BY MR. ZOLL MINATION BY MR. LeBEAU		256 270
11	DR. THOMAS BRUN	NELL (via Zoom)		
12 13	CROSS-EXAN REDIRECT H	AMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU MINATION BY MR. ZOLL EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU KAMINATION BY MR. ZOLL		276 285 291 292
14 15	EXHIBITS:			
16	NUMBER		OFFERED	RECEIVED
17	1- Affidavit	of Julie Hanson	Stip.	Stip.
18		Statement by Ron Hocevar, nty Attorney	Stip.	Stip.
19	3- Scott Cour	nty Absentee Handbook	Stip.	Stip.
20	"DB Applio	Jackson and Louisville TWP, cations and Machine Counts, from 9/20 - 10/17"		Stip.
22 23 24	5- "Abstract State, and	of Votes Cast for Federal, d Judicial Offices In the Scott held 11/5/24	, Stip.	Stip.
2425	6- Compilation missing vo	on of emails regarding otes	Stip.	Stip.

1		MASTER INDEX (Cont	tinued)	
2				
3	EXHI	BITS:		
4	NUMB:	<u>ER</u>	OFFERED	RECEIVED
5	7-	Curriculum Vitae of Thomas L. Brunell	278	278
6	8 -	Voter election tape with handwritten notes	Stip.	Stip.
7 8	9-	"Absentee Ballots By Current Status And Location" (Redacted)	Stip.	Stip.
9	10-	Precinct 10 Election Documents for Voters Number 1 - 20 (Redacted)	Stip.	Stip.
10 11	201-	Email thread between C. Petersen, B. Reynolds, and L. Hensen	Stip.	Stip.
12	202-	Compilation of emails regarding missing votes	Stip.	Stip.
13 14	203-	AB machine tape with handwritten notes	Stip.	Stip.
15	204-	Table, Scott County early vote results (Redacted)		
16 17	205-	Table, Shakopee P-10 early votes through October 18 (Redacted)		
18	206-	Recount Worksheet	Stip.	Stip.
19	207-	Expert Report of Dr. Aaron Rendahl	266	266
2021222324	304-	idential/Sealed Exhibits Voter Number 4 Election Documents Voter Number 5 Election Documents Voter Number 9 Election Documents	202 215 211	202 216 211
25	310-	Voter Number 10 Election Documents	156	157

_			
1	MASTER INDEX (Con	<u>tinued)</u>	
2			
3	EXHIBITS:		
4	NUMBER	<u>OFFERED</u>	RECEIVED
5	311- Voter Number 11 Election Documents	220	220
6	312- Voter Number 12 Election Documents	232	232
7	314- Voter Number 14 Election Documents	135	136
8	315- Voter Number 15 Election Documents	139	139
9	316- Voter Number 16 Election Documents	153	154
10	317- Voter Number 17 Election Documents	144	144
11	318- Voter Number 18 Election Documents	224	224
12	320- Voter Number 20 Election Documents	228	228
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were duly had
2	of record:)
3	THE COURT: Good morning. My name is Tracy Perzel.
4	I'm the judge that's going to be presiding over these
5	proceedings.
6	We're here today in the case of Aaron Paul,
7	Contestant, and Brad Tabke, Contestee. It is Scott County
8	District Court File No. 70-CV-24-17210.
9	Counsel, would you please note your appearances.
10	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you, Your Honor. Reid LeBeau
11	for Contestant Aaron Paul.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning.
13	MR. ZOLL: David Zoll on behalf of Contestee Brad
14	Tabke, who has joined us here today.
15	MS. KITZE COLLINS: And Rachel Kitze Collins on
16	behalf of Contestee Brad Tabke.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, and good
18	morning.
19	We do also have Assistant Scott County Attorney
20	Jeanne Andersen present this morning.
21	Good morning, Ms. Andersen.
22	MS. ANDERSEN: Good morning, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: We are here today for court trial on
24	the Notice of Election Contest for House District 54A filed
25	on November 29th, 2024, and answered by filing of December 6,

2024.

Before we begin trial, the house -- the Court, excuse me -- will address some housekeeping matters.

Everyone is expected to demonstrate appropriate courtroom behavior and follow the rules of courtroom decorum. If you need to have a conversation with someone, unless you are conferring at one of the three counsel tables and you're an attorney or client in this case, please leave the courtroom to have that conversation.

And of course, your staff may have those conversations as well, counsel.

Everyone must remain neutral in their body language. You may agree or disagree with something that is said in this courtroom, but please do not show that by your facial expressions, gestures, voice, or other audible action.

You must turn off your cell phone completely -this means more than simply silencing it -- and put it in
your pocket or bag, where it will remain until you are
outside the courtroom. Aside from at counsel table, there
will be no use of cell phones in the courtroom.

If you cannot follow these rules, please leave the courtroom at this time. As with any other court proceeding, there is a deputy in the courtroom to assist in matters of courtroom decorum, and a violation of these instructions will be considered direct contempt.

You should know that when the Court is on the record, as now, the court reporter is taking down everything that is being said in the courtroom. The Court also takes notes, sometimes on paper, but most often by typing on the computer.

From time to time, the attorneys for the parties and the Court will need to speak at the bench. This is an occasional occurrence in most trials to address logistical, legal, or other issues. The Court will make a record of those bench conferences as needed.

Given the accelerated timeline for trial and the Court's schedule, the Court and the attorneys for the parties -- including Mr. LeBeau, Mr. Zoll, and Ms. Andersen at times -- have had informal discussions in three chambers audio conferences. I should add that Ms. Andersen was only there for two of those. These discussions have been non-substantive and focused primarily on trial presentation, including how to protect the identities of voter witnesses who may be called to testify, how to handle exhibits, requests for media coverage, and ways to streamline this trial.

Yesterday the Court received a general roadmap of what the parties anticipate as to the length and nature of the various witnesses' testimony to assist it in preparing for the conducting of this trial. The Court appreciates the

attorneys' efforts to make the trial operate more efficiently.

Counsel, and starting with Mr. LeBeau, is there anything you want to add about our chambers audio conferences?

MR. LeBEAU: No, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Andersen?

MS. ANDERSEN: No, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Zoll?

MR. ZOLL: Nothing additional.

THE COURT: All right. You will observe today that each voter whose ballot is alleged to be at issue will be identified only by the word "voter" and a unique number keyed to that particular voter. You will also see that exhibits pertaining to these voters and presented by the parties here in court have been redacted for public view.

The selections made by Minnesota voters on their ballots are secret, and the parties and the Court are trying to afford that same protection to the voters whose ballots will be addressed in these proceedings. There is a voter identification key, to which the parties have stipulated, and that is filed under seal. That key correlates the voters' names to the unique number that will be used here in court. That voter number is the only manner by which each voter for the ballots at issue will be publicly identified during the

1 trial.

Consistent with this, exhibits containing voter identifying information have been redacted for public display in the courtroom. Again, the Court, counsel, and the parties have unredacted copies. Those unredacted copies of exhibits, when admitted, will be filed under seal.

If anyone errs -- and of course, the Court sure hopes that none of us do -- and states the voter name, media and others in the courtroom shall not, and are expressly prohibited from, reporting or disclosing that name, and the Court will immediately seal that portion of the transcript.

The Court understands the parties have also reached agreement as to admission of certain exhibits.

And I'm going to turn to Mr. LeBeau and Mr. Zoll briefly to make sure that we have the correct exhibit numbers.

Mr. LeBeau, would you like to identify those?
Or Mr. Zoll?

It's up to you.

MR. LeBEAU: Thank you, Your Honor. I believe we have -- so far as Contestant's exhibits, we have agreed to Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 --

THE COURT: Let's pause for just a minute. It might be easiest to identify the exhibits not stipulated to.

MR. LeBEAU: Oh, I'm sorry.

That's all right. 1 THE COURT: I believe just Contestant's Exhibit 7. 2 MR. LeBEAU: 3 THE COURT: That's the only exhibit on your side of the case that's not been stipulated to; is that correct? 4 I believe so. 5 MR. LeBEAU: 6 THE COURT: Mr. Zoll? 7 Opposing counsel. MR. LeBEAU: 8 MR. ZOLL: That is correct. 9 THE COURT: All right. And all other exhibits that 10 have been submitted thus far by Contestant will be received by the Court, and we'll make a record of exactly which those 11 are in a little bit. 12 13 Thank you, Mr. LeBeau. 14 Mr. Zoll, as far as your exhibits go? MR. ZOLL: With respect to Contestee's exhibits, 15 the parties have stipulated to the admission of all but 204, 16 17 205, and 207. Though I do note, Your Honor, Confidential Exhibits 301 through 320, the parties have also agreed not to 18 stipulate to the admission of those but rather admit them 19 20 into evidence only to the extent that they're relied upon in trial. 21 And those are the exhibits that the 22 THE COURT: 23 Court indicated would be filed under seal once received; is 24 that correct? 25 MR. ZOLL: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 1 So counsel, is there anything else we need to 2 3 address before opening statements? Mr. LeBeau? 4 5 MR. LeBEAU: Nothing from me, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Zoll? 7 Nothing further. MR. ZOLL: THE COURT: All right. The parties have indicated 8 9 that they have opening statements that are five minutes or 10 less. I'm going to hold them to that. So Mr. LeBeau, why don't you go ahead. 11 MR. LeBEAU: Thank you. 12 Good morning, Your Honor. Certainty and 13 14 confidence. That is the minimum all American citizens 15 deserve in our elections. Certainty and confidence are not based on percentages or assumptions. The facts will show 16 17 that all we are certain and have confidence in in this election is that 20 validly cast ballots are missing. More 18 ballots are missing than the vote totals between the two 19 20 candidates for House District 54A. The facts will show that there was a massive 21 22 material irregularity in the administration of the election 23 in the City of Shakopee. The facts will show that the results of the election are in doubt. And the facts will 24

show that the error was deliberate, serious, and material.

Contestee will claim that the error isn't material based on the theory of projections, statistics, and guesses, but how we gather statistics does not equate to confidence or certainty.

In the end, this Court will be left with a clear choice: either we restore certainty and confidence in our elections, in the system, or we don't. Contestee will argue for a dangerous precedent of -- where statistical models takes the place of ballots cast.

Simply, if this case is not a clear demonstration of what the statute refers to as a material irregularity, nothing is. Twenty -- possibly 21 -- voters entered the polling location, cast their ballots, and left their ballots in the custody of election officials. Those votes are gone and were never counted. Any result which fails to address this fatal defect is terminal to the certainty and confidence and the basic expectations we all have in our electoral system.

At the conclusion of this case, there will be one inescapable fact: more votes were lost and not counted than separates the two candidates for House District 54A. The only way to ensure the will of the voters and to restore certainty and confidence in our elections is to have a new election for that city.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. LeBeau.

Mr. Zoll, are you ready to proceed?

MR. ZOLL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

MR. ZOLL: Thank you, Your Honor.

More than 20,000 individuals voted in the 2024

General Election for House District 54A, and Representative

Brad Tabke was reelected by a margin of 14 votes over

challenger, Aaron Paul. While canvassing the results, the

election officials discovered that 20 ballots from Shakopee

Precinct 10 were not counted, and a subsequent investigation

by Scott County led to the conclusion that those 20 ballots

have been irretrievably lost.

The parties agree on the basic facts of this case:
The election results certified by the Scott County Canvassing
Board show that Representative Tabke won the election by 14
votes, and 20 ballots from Shakopee Precinct 10 have been
irretrievably lost.

The parties disagree, however, on what should be done in the face of these facts. Contestant Aaron Paul suggests that we should simply throw up our hands and say, "There is no way to know who won the election, and we should just start over." He says we should disregard the votes cast by more than 20,000 residents of House District 54A and put this contest to a special election, where history shows only

a fraction of the voters will cast their ballots and have their voices heard.

Representative Tabke, on the other hand, believes that this Court and the parties should do the work to determine whether the failure to count the 20 ballots from Shakopee Precinct 10 actually affected the outcome of the election and avoid the extreme step of putting this to a special election and disenfranchising more than 20,000 residents who cast their ballots on November 5th, 2024, in the General Election.

The evidence in this contest will show that it is possible to identify the 20 voters whose ballots were not counted, and this is consistent with the preliminary results of Scott County's investigation in this matter. The Court will hear testimony providing a step-by-step analysis that leads to the conclusion that the parties and this Court can determine, to the exclusion of any other possibility, the identity of the voters who cast the uncounted ballots.

The Court will also hear from some of the voters who cast those ballots, and those voters will confirm not only the circumstances of their voting, but also for whom they cast their ballots in the election for House District 54A.

In sum, the evidence will demonstrate that had those ballots, those 20 ballots, been counted, the outcome of

1 the election would have been the same; that is to say, Representative Brad Tabke would have been reelected to the 2 3 Office of State Representative for House District 54A. Thank you. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Zoll. Before we get started, Ms. Andersen, I know you may 6 7 have other work to do today, so if you'd rather sit in the back, that's fine. You're also welcome to remain at that 8 9 table as well. All right? 10 MS. ANDERSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: 11 Thank you. 12 Mr. LeBeau, are you ready for your first witness? 13 MR. LeBEAU: (Nodding.) 14 THE COURT: And is this a voter witness or is this 15 a witness who is not a voter witness? MR. LeBEAU: Not a voter witness. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And are they appearing in person or by Zoom? 18 19 MR. LeBEAU: In person. 20 THE COURT: All right. I'll try not to ask those 21 questions again, and maybe if you let me know when you bring 22 up your other witnesses. Go ahead and tell me who that is. 23 MR. LeBEAU: Thank you. I'd like to call Julie 24 Hanson to the stand. THE COURT: All right. Ms. Hanson, would you 25

1	please step forward. The witness seat is over here, and if
2	you can maybe stop just in front of the Court in the well,
3	I'll go ahead and get you sworn in. All right?
4	Could you please raise your right hand?
5	(The oath was administered.)
6	THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead and have a seat.
8	And once you get situated, if you could please
9	state and spell your full name.
10	THE WITNESS: Good morning. My name is Julie
11	Hanson. It's J-U-L-I-E, H-A-N-S-O-N. I am the Scott County
12	Property & Customer Service Manager and Elections
13	Administrator.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	And Mr. LeBeau, if it assists you to tilt that
16	podium, that's just fine.
17	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you very much.
18	THE COURT: You're welcome. Go ahead.
19	MR. LeBEAU: And if it's all right, I have somebody
20	grabbing me water.
21	THE COURT: Of course.
22	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you.
23	JULIE HANSON,
24	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on her
25	oath as follows:

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 3 Q. Good morning.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. Could you please just restate your name for the record?
- 6 A. Absolutely. My name is Julie Hanson.
- 7 Q. And what -- what is your occupation?
- 8 A. I am the Property & Customer Service Manager for Scott
- 9 County, and under that umbrella, I am the Elections
- 10 Administrator.
- 11 Q. And how long have you been in this position?
- 12 A. I have been in this position for about six and a half years.
- 13 Q. And how many elections have you been involved in in that time
- 14 period?
- 15 A. I have been involved in approximately ten elections.
- 16 Q. And as elections director, what does that make you
- 17 responsible for?
- 18 A. Sure. I'm responsible for the goings-on, everything that is
- 19 affiliated with elections here in Scott County. We do have a
- 20 decentralized model in which Scott County handles both
- in-person and mail-in absentee votes, and the cities have
- agreed to do in-person absentee voting. So, we have things
- 23 spread out a little differently than maybe some other
- 24 counties do.
- 25 Q. And what is the nature of that relationship between Scott

- 1 County and the City of Shakopee?
 - A. The nature of the relationship is such that we provide the guidance, the training, the framework for the City to be able to administer the election. They provide election-day voting as well across the City of Shakopee.
 - Q. And ultimately, are you still responsible for the overall conduct of the election in Scott County?
- 8 A. I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

- 9 Q. As elections director, are you in charge of investigating 10 errors and discrepancies in elections in Scott County?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.
- 12 Q. What does that entail?
- 13 A. That would entail using my elections team to run detailed
 14 reports through the Statewide Voter Registration System; to
 15 interview election judges, City staff, County staff, whoever
 16 might be involved; and use all of our -- the tools within our
 17 disposal to try and get to the bottom of the issue.
 - Q. What are some -- in your six years of experience, that's roughly -- would that be twelve elections? What are some of the typical errors that you would see in a typical election season?
- A. Sure. In a typical election season, especially when doing
 our validation and audit post-election, the things that we
 generally see is we may have a voter or two in a precinct who
 may have checked in and then elected not to vote.

JULIE HANSON - DIRECT EXAMINATION

Other errors may include just general voter situations, especially on election day. We are here to provide that support. We get a lot of phone calls for just different situations that may occur on election day or during the absentee process. But generally -- and I don't even know that I would call those errors. Those are sort of expected differences.

We do have things like folks issue wrong ballots. As perfect as we would all like to be, we have 79 ballot styles in Scott County, so with our 100,000-plus voters, things do happen. And that is normal throughout the course of the election. We do have corrections, duplications, processes for things like that.

- Q. And did you encounter -- excuse me. Did you encounter any errors or discrepancies in this election?
- A. We didn't encounter any unexpected errors until after election day when auditing our results. Yes, I did. I was examining the Statewide Voter Registration absentee reports against the expected absentee results. In Shakopee Precinct 12-A, we were off one, meaning that we received votes for one ballot less than the system says was cast. That was not alarming to us. But in Shakopee Precinct 10, there was a difference of 20, and that is very unusual. So we began the process of really digging into what that could have been.
- Q. While we're talking about it, why would that one missing vote

L	in	P-12	not	be	unusual?
---	----	------	-----	----	----------

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

A. It does happen. I know in fact on election day -- different situation -- but it did happen that folks came in, they checked in, and they elected not to vote. It's not super common, but it does happen every election cycle. I know on election day, for example, a voter received an emergency phone call from her child and ended up needing to leave.

So it certainly wasn't surprising to me that that could happen, that someone would have waited at the City of Shakopee to vote and then maybe not cast their ballot.

- Q. Is it fair to say that that's more typical on election day than in absentee voting?
- 13 A. It is, yes.
- Q. And the one missing vote in P-12 or the 20 missing votes in P-10 were from the absentee voting time period; is that correct?
- 17 A. That is correct, the absentee voting period at the City of Shakopee.
- Q. And can you remind me, when did you notice that the error occurred?
- 21 A. We noticed that the error occurred during our audit process.
 22 That would have been on Thursday, November 7th.
- Q. And once you learned of it, that's when you began your investigation.
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. I'd like to talk about the City of Shakopee just for a few moments to understand how this works. What type of voting was being conducted in the City of Shakopee prior to October 18th?
- A. We would call that our "envelope absentee voting process."

 So a voter would fill out an absentee ballot application.

 They would bring it to an election judge and check in. The election judge would check them in through the Statewide

 Voter Registration System and then would give them not only their ballot but a secrecy envelope and a signature envelope to go with it. Once it has voted, then the voter would seal their ballot in the secrecy envelope, which would then go in what we call the "signature envelope" and be placed into a ballot box for later processing.
- Q. So, just so I'm clear, a voter that's going in to do that prior to October 18th, they're -- everything that you just described, they're doing that in the actual polling location?
- A. Yes, they are.

- Q. So they're going in, they're getting the envelopes, filling them out, and then at the end, they're turning both of those back in to the election judge in that particular location; is that right?
 - A. They would be putting them in a locked ballot box when they were complete. But yes, it would go into a box in that same room.

- Q. So -- I just want to be clear on the process here. Were
 there to be a missing ballot at that point in time, a

 potential voter would have had to come in, get the envelopes,
 turn it back in, but keep the secrecy envelope and the ballot
 for themselves?
- 6 A. Correct. In this scenario, yes, that would be correct.
- 7 Q. And have you ever seen that before?
- 8 A. I have not.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 9 Q. What -- you mentioned what you called "envelope voting" prior to October 18th. What are the other types, just so we're clear?
 - A. Sure, absolutely. After envelope voting concludes on October 17th -- and just to be very specific, direct balloting is not required through statute, but it is something that we've elected to do here in Scott County. So starting on, in this case, October 18th -- so it's 18 days before election day -- rather than the voter receiving the ballot and the envelopes to put it in, they receive the ballot and a secrecy sleeve so that they can, when they're done with their voting, just put their ballot right into the tabulator. So starting the morning of October 18th, we would call that "direct balloting."
 - Q. And that lasts until when?
- A. Until the day before election day, so in this case,
 November 4th.

- 1 Q. And then after that, just regular in-person voting.
- 2 A. Regular in-person election-day voting, and then we do accept
- absentee ballots until 8:00 p.m. here at the County, per
- 4 statute, on election day.
- Q. What -- what specific type of -- was the City of Shakopee taking mail-in ballots prior to October 18th?
- 7 A. They were not. Scott County handles all of the mail-in ballots. The cities just conduct the in-person voting.
- 9 Q. So a voter going in to the City of Shakopee prior to
 10 October 18th had to physically be present.
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. So none of the ballots in question that we're talking
 about -- we have the different universes of ballots -- none
 of this involves a mail-in absentee ballot. Is that correct?
- 15 A. Correct; it does not.
- Q. Let's go back to the investigation that we talked about a moment ago. After you discovered the error on -- what day was it again?
- 19 A. Thursday, November 7th.
- Q. Who did you first contact after you noticed the error had occurred?
- 22 A. I called Lori Hensen, the Shakopee City Clerk.
- 23 Q. And what was the nature of your conversation?
- A. I called to ask if she could tell me why we would have received results for 309 ballots, but according to the state

- 1 system, there were 329 issued.
- Q. And what was the impression that you received based on the conversation you had with her?
- A. She seemed surprised; that she wasn't aware of any issues.

 We did sit down the day before with the assistant city clerk
 and one of their staff members and went through their
 absentee results as well as all of their precinct results,
 but Thursday is when we actually found the error. She told
 me she was not aware of anything that had occurred.
- Q. And who did you speak with on -- you said the day before, on November 6th?
- 12 A. November 6th we had Heidi Emerson and Terri Valian here from 13 the City of Shakopee.
- Q. And I don't think we put this on the record, but what was

 Lori Hensen's role with the City of Shakopee?
- 16 A. She was the city clerk.
- Q. And so was she in charge of the City of Shakopee portion of the elections that were delegated then from --
- 19 A. Yes, she was.
- Q. So once you -- after the conversation with her, what was your next step?
- A. We asked the City of Shakopee to tear everything that they
 could think of apart. To look in all of their tabulators.

 Our tabulators have a write-in bin in the bottom, so if you
 cast a write-in vote, it does -- it does send it to a

JULIE HANSON - DIRECT EXAMINATION

separate slot. And that has happened, that someone has forgotten to check the write-in bin and bring those in. We asked them to look through every possible location. Look in cars, if they didn't make their way here. Anything that they could possibly think of.

We then, my team and I, went through every scenario we could possibly think of. The first thing that came to mind was Health Care Facility voting. The City of Shakopee does go onsite to health care facilities to assist voters that qualify for that. So we -- we dug through everything. We ran all the reports we could think of. We discovered that of the 329 voters, 87 of those would have voted through the envelope process, which is common. It's usually more direct balloting. Voters want to come in and fill out their ballot and put it right in the tabulator. We really concentrated on those, dug into that, discovered that all 87 envelopes were present, all 87 applications that matched those envelopes were present.

We also did receive an Excel spreadsheet log from the City of Shakopee that showed that they were recording their totals each day, both through envelope voting and direct balloting. And in the process of our investigation those first few days -- actually, it was the assistant city clerk -- I'm sorry, the assistant city administrator, Chelsea Petersen, that realized in that report that the City of

1	Shakopee actually did not balance from October 17th to
2	October 18th; that they were, in fact, off 21 ballots. That
3	enabled us to focus our investigation a little more on that.
4	The Health Care Facility voting didn't occur until the last
5	week of October, beginning of November.
6	MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, if I may, Ms. Hanson's
7	referred to what we have as Contestant's Exhibit 4. We've
8	stipulated to that already.
9	THE COURT: Any exhibit that's been stipulated has
LO	been received, so four is received. Go ahead.
L1	MR. LeBEAU: Oh. Would you like me to try to
L2	publish it for the first time?
L3	THE COURT: You're welcome to try to do that.
L4	MR. LeBEAU: I will. If I can have a moment, I'll
L5	give it my best.
L6	THE COURT: You may. Thank you.
L7	MR. LeBEAU: I have it up on my computer.
L8	THE CLERK: Ready?
L9	MR. LeBEAU: Yep.
20	BY MR. LeBEAU:
21	Q. Can you see that?
22	A. Yes, I can.
23	Q. Okay, perfect.
24	A. I have one here, so thank you.
25	Q. And do I have that on the right page?

- 1 A. Actually, if you could go to the -- there's one more page --
- 2 Q. Further down?
- 3 A. Yes, I believe it would be further down. We could start on
- 4 that one.
- 5 Q. (Scrolling.)
- 6 A. Yes, this one. Thank you.
- 7 Q. Can you please explain what this is.
- 8 A. Sure. So this that's titled "AB Count from 9/20 10/17" was
- 9 the City of Shakopee's log of the amount of envelope voters
- that they had through each day. September 20th was the first
- day of absentee voting for this election, and October 17th
- was the last day of envelope voting. So according to this,
- this just shows each day, and then the total of 1124 through
- 14 the envelope voting process.
- 15 Could I ask you -- do you have a question?
- 16 Q. Just a few questions.
- 17 | A. Yep.
- 18 Q. We're going to get sort of jumbled in numbers here.
- 19 A. Yes, we are.
- 20 Q. So I want to make sure our numbers are keeping straight.
- Earlier you referred to 87 envelope votes. What does that 87
- 22 number specifically refer to?
- 23 A. The number of voters in Shakopee Precinct 10 only.
- 24 Q. For the entirety of the early absentee voting process.
- 25 A. For the entirety of the envelope voting process.

- 1 Q. Which would be what days?
- 2 A. September 20th through October 17th.
- Q. Thank you. So 87 is September 20 through October 17. And back to the -- the list, what is this number that we're looking at?
 - A. This number is the total number of voters each day. This was not broken down by precinct or ballot split level, as actually we had asked for it to be. So the Statewide Voter Registration System does produce reports -- not always easy-to-read reports, but reports -- that show the ballot split. So, for example, some of the precincts in Shakopee have school district splits. As much as we would like our school district lines to run around the legislative boundaries, those kinds of things, they don't. So there are some shared precincts in Shakopee that may have two different school district numbers, that type of thing. But this report shows the total number of voters from each day through that initial approximately three-week time period.
 - Q. In aggregate for all precincts within the City of Shakopee.
- 20 A. Correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21 Q. And what day was this document provided to you?
- A. This was provided on Thursday, November 7th. I will be
 honest and say that I first looked at this and said, "I don't
 know what this is." So I had it; I didn't really dig into it
 until the following Tuesday.

- Q. And is this -- you are the head of elections for Scott County, as we've already established.
- 3 A. Yes.

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. The City of Shakopee is essentially working under you. Is this a document that you provided them to fill out?
- 6 A. No, it is not.
- Q. Is this document part of the training that you provided them on how to handle absentee ballots?
- 9 A. No, it is not.
- Q. So let's go back to where the numbers start going up. There
 was some -- there was a different document I think you wanted
 me to show?
 - A. Yes, could you go back to the second page, the page above? I would like to note that the total on this page says 1124.
- 15 Q. (Scrolling.) This one?
- 16 A. This one. Perfect. Thank you.

And then if we look at October 18th -- "DB" means direct balloting -- the record shows that there were 276 direct balloting applications and that the machine showed 1379. If you take 1124 from the previous page and you add 276, you do not get 1379; you get 1400. So that is where we focused our investigation on.

We later learned that the machine count was not actually written down; that the person who was doing the balancing actually just took the 276 from the total that they

- 1 had and extrapolated from there that they should have 1379.
- Q. We're going to talk a little bit more about that in a minute,
- 3 but --
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. -- the balancing that is done, what frequency is that done
- 6 in?
- 7 A. Every day.
- 8 Q. That's part of the training.
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. It's part of the training that you give to the City.
- 11 A. It is.
- 12 Q. And did that occur here?
- 13 A. It did not.
- 14 Q. So Exhibit 4 represents the -- just so we're clear, this is
- where you found the math to find the error that ballots were
- missing.
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I don't have any more
- 19 questions about this exhibit. Would you like me to keep it
- 20 up or take it down?
- 21 THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead and take it
- down. Thank you.
- 23 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- Q. Let's talk about the training between Scott County and
- 25 Shakopee for just a minute. I'd like to show you what is

- marked as Exhibit 3. It's a rather long document, so I'm
 just going to show you the lead page, if that's all right.
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. And can you describe what Exhibit 3 is.
- A. Sure. This is the absentee handbook that my team actually put together to provide to all of the cities during our training process.
- 8 Q. And what's the purpose of this document?
- 9 The purpose of this really is to help the cities better Α. 10 understand what the absentee process should be, everything from assisting the voter in person to running reports out of 11 12 the Statewide Voter Registration System, absentee ballot board, ballot opening, all of the details. 13 We also provide 14 the Secretary of State's absentee guide, so the two documents 15 together would provide really good foundational documents for folks to be able to refer back to. 16
 - Q. So is it fair to say that this is sort of a -- both what is legally required and what is the best practice?
- 19 A. Correct.

17

- 20 Q. And you use it for training purposes.
- 21 A. We do.
- Q. So if I'm a brand-new election judge that's going to be handling absentee ballots, I better know what's in this document?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And you mentioned that your office created it; is that right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Who in your office created it?
- 4 A. Amanda Geis.
- 5 Q. And how did you determine what to put in it?
- 6 A. We did absentee -- starting in the year 2000 -- I'm sorry,
- 7 2020, not the year 2000 -- is when the cities began issuing
- 8 in-person absentee ballots. I came into this role in the
- 9 spring of 2018. At that time, the County did all of the
- in-person voting. We started to have conversations with the
- 11 | cities about providing more convenient locations for our
- voters. Absentee voting, of course, was expanded and it was
- growing, and -- but we did not have this document in 2020 or
- in 2022, and in our conversations, the feedback that we
- received from our cities, they were looking for more
- documentation to be able to support their operations, so this
- document was created in early 2024.
- 18 | Q. And did you provide this document to the City of Shakopee
- 19 elections officials?
- 20 A. Yes, we did.
- 21 | Q. And when did you provide it to them?
- 22 A. That would have been in January of 2024, prior to the
- 23 presidential nomination primary.
- Q. And were City of Shakopee personnel trained on it, to the
- 25 best of your knowledge?

- Yes. 1 A. Does this document discuss the handling of secrecy envelopes? 2 Ο. 3 Α. It does. And can you just remind us, what is the secrecy envelope? 4 Ο. Sure. The secrecy envelope is the manila envelope that 5 Α. 6 during the envelope voting process or the mail voting process 7 a voter is provided with to ensure the secrecy of their 8 So they would fold up their ballot, put it inside 9 that secrecy envelope. 10 MR. LeBEAU: I'd like to show the witness what's been marked as AP0016. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. Does that correspond to an exhibit number of yours, Mr. Zoll? 13 14 MR. ZOLL: I understand that to be a Bates number 15 that Counsel is referring to. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 16 17 MR. LeBEAU: May I give it to the witness? You may approach. 18 THE COURT: 19 MR. LeBEAU: Thank you. 20 BY MR. LeBEAU: 21 Q. (Handing.) Can you identify what your handbook details with regard to the handling of secrecy envelopes? 22 23 Α. Absolutely. The last bullet in the section that talks about
 - A. Absolutely. The last bullet in the section that talks about separating the ballot from the ballot secrecy envelope tells them to store the ballot secrecy envelope.

24

- Q. And that is on AP00116. Is that the stamped number at the bottom?
- 3 A. That's correct.

MR. LeBEAU: If I could have a minute, I'll put that up.

6 THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. LeBEAU:

7

8

9

10

- Q. That's definitely hard to read from a distance. Just for the sake of those watching, can you read that line again, please?
- A. Absolutely. The last bullet says to store ballot secrecy envelope.
- 12 Q. And what's the purpose of storing the secrecy envelope?
- Really, statute dictates that all election materials need to 13 Α. 14 be retained. There is some argument whether this ends up actually being election material, but we want them to store 15 those ballot secrecy envelopes and return them to us. 16 17 actually even asked myself in the past why would we keep empty envelopes. This is exactly why we would keep empty 18 envelopes. We should have had these envelopes. That was one 19 20 of our questions at the City of Shakopee during our 21 investigation is where -- where are those envelopes; we can't 22 find them. And if those 20 ballots or 21 ballots would have 23 been left in those secrecy envelopes and returned to us, we would have imagined that we would have been able to find 24 25 them.

- Q. And just so we're clear, the secrecy envelope, it's not just a plain manila envelope; there's printed writing on it, correct?
- A. Correct. It does say, I believe, "Secrecy Envelope" right on the outside of it, yes.
- Q. And that's something that's provided to you, to the -- to the County as part of the elections process.
 - A. Correct. We purchase those from a vendor, and then we kind of farm those out to all of the cities for their use, yes.
- Q. And this is something that comes along with the regular ballot and other election material that you get from a specific vendor for that purpose; correct?
- 13 A. Yes. We do have different vendors for the ballots versus the 14 printed envelopes and materials, but yes, it is provided by a 15 vendor.
- Q. I can't go pick up -- a bundle of these up at Kinko's or something like that.
- 18 A. No.

8

- Q. During the course of your investigation, did the City officials indicate to you what their practice was for retaining secrecy envelopes?
- A. They did. When I spoke with Lori Hensen and I asked where the secrecy envelopes were, she indicated to me that they were thrown away.
- Q. And did you get the impression that that was a one-time deal,

- or was that something that had happened before?
- 2 A. It sounds like it was something that had happened before and we didn't realize.
- Q. And "something" when you say "happened before," it happened before in this election or previous elections?
- 6 A. Previous elections.
- Q. And just because this can be kind of confusing for those that don't do elections all the time --
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. -- that secrecy envelope, that is what actually contains the ballot that is inside the what we might call the "signature envelope."
- 13 A. Correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And so during the absentee ballot process, would those -when the secrecy envelope is removed from the written
 envelope, what happens at that point in the process?
 - A. When they are separated, the signature envelope is opened; the secrecy envelope is taken out; and we teach people to do that as a group. We don't want a signature envelope opened and then a secrecy envelope opened because I should never know what your vote is on that ballot. So if you have 20 that you're opening, the -- all of the signature envelopes should be opened, those secrecies removed and set to the side. Those signature envelopes then get bundled and set to the side, and those secrecy envelopes are then opened,

ballots removed.

And then the ballots are examined to make sure that nothing is damaged; that it's the right precinct. Again, as I talked about, that is something that unfortunately does happen. It is a human process. People aren't perfect. So there is a chance if you're opening, let's say, for Shakopee Precinct 1, that someone could have accidentally issued a Shakopee Precinct 10 ballot, that type of thing. So they are instructed to count the signature envelopes, to count the ballots, and then we count the secrecy envelopes as well when we do our process to make sure that everything exactly matches.

- Q. So the number of secrecy envelopes should match the number of signature envelopes.
- A. Unless you have an anomaly, like someone sent in a ballot without the secrecy envelope. It's very possible. And it's also very possible that someone would return their envelope without the ballot in it. It does happen. So that's just generally something as you're opening that you're making a note of, that if I have 20 signature envelopes and someone returned it without a ballot, that I know that I need 19.
- Q. And if something like that would have happened, would there be a written document that would memorialize that "I'm a member of the Absentee Ballot Board, and this came in and there was nothing in it?" Would there be some written

- 1 document just to log that?
- A. I honestly don't know if someone would write that on their incident log. I would think that they would, that that would be certainly the way to track things back for us to be able to say no, a ballot wasn't missing; in fact, it wasn't returned by the voter. Yes.
 - Q. On what day did you request the secrecy ballots -- or the secrecy envelopes from the City of Shakopee?
- 9 A. We requested those Thursday, November 7th.
- Q. In your opinion, concerning the secrecy envelopes, did the
 City of Shakopee election officials follow the procedures
 that were laid out in your handbook?
- 13 A. They did not.

7

8

24

- Q. I'd like to talk about -- you mentioned this just a second ago -- what is an incident log?
- An incident log is a document that we're provided with by the 16 Α. 17 Secretary of State's Office, or at least the format is something that's provided. We print those ourselves here. 18 And we give those out to both the absentee locations and the 19 The purpose is really to just record 20 election-day locations. 21 any anomalies, something that happens that maybe is outside 22 what you perceive to be the regular elections process, really 23 any incidents, just as the name indicates.
 - Q. Would that be something that would be contrary to what's in the handbook?

- A. No, that is -- that is part of the precinct process. An
 absentee room is stood up as a precinct and has to follow all
 of the regular election-day rules and guidelines as
 practicable as well.
- Q. So they're not exempt from filling out an incident log if something --
- 7 A. No --
- 8 Q. -- were to occur.
- 9 A. -- they are not.
- 10 Q. Are election judges in Scott County and Shakopee trained on reporting in the incident log?
- 12 A. They are.

21

22

- 13 Q. And what is that training?
- 14 We provide the training to the City staff in regards to Α. 15 absentee, and then there are train-the-trainer activities that occur. We do also train the election judges for 16 17 election day. The larger cities do their own training as well, so we may train the clerk and the clerk staff, and then 18 they would go out and train their hundreds of judges that 19 20 they employ.
 - Q. Can you remind me, what was the day that you discovered that the numbers that the City of Shakopee was recording when they went off?
- A. I believe it was Tuesday, November 12th. Monday was Veterans
 Day, so we were not here that day. But it would have been

- Tuesday, November 12th. I ended up having a phone conversation with not only Lori Hensen but the assistant city administrator, Chelsea Petersen, and a couple of other folks were present on that call. And she's actually the one that pointed out that she had found the discrepancy on that log.
- 6 Q. And that -- the date on the log traced back to what day?
- 7 A. Traced back to between the 17th and 18th of October.
- Q. And did you receive an incident log for October 17th detailing the discrepancy in the totals?
 - A. We did not. We got one incident log, which is not uncommon for the entire absentee process; that is normal. But there was not anything indicated on that log that there was a discrepancy or, for example, that 20 people had checked in and not voted or something like that.
- Q. You received one incident log in total from the absentee ballot location in Shakopee; is that right?
- 17 A. Correct. It was multiple pages, but yes, one log.
- Q. And anywhere did it discuss -- whether it was the 17th, the 18th, the 15th, the 16th -- anything dealing with missing ballots?
- 21 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

- 22 Q. Do you have an incident log for the missing ballot in P-12?
- 23 A. I do not.
- Q. So can you remind me the day that you first became aware of a discrepancy in the number of people that checked in and

- 1 ballots? Was it the 7th or the 12th?
- 2 A. It was the 7th that we initially discovered that there was an issue.
- Q. And the issue would have occurred, to the best you can tell, on October 17th.
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. So in the intervening 20 days, there was no indication made to you that there was an error.
- I did have a conversation at one point. I did some site 9 Α. 10 visits to the larger cities the Wednesday before election day just to check in with everybody, see how everybody was doing, 11 12 to talk about tabulators. We had set up a second tabulator for each location. The volume was much higher, honestly, 13 14 than I think any of us had anticipated. They indicated that 15 they thought they were off one ballot; that they thought the machine wasn't counting appropriately. And I did indicate 16 17 that they would need to re-zero and re-run their ballots. That would be the standard practice if a precinct was off. 18
 - Q. So you don't find out about anything for 20 days, and there's no record kept of anything that went wrong. Is that your testimony?
- 22 A. Correct.

19

20

- 23 | Q. In your opinion, is that proper procedure?
- 24 A. No, it is not.
- Q. Can we go back to the handbook for a moment? And I'd like to

- take you to AP00117. (Handing.)
- 2 A. Thank you.

- Q. We've talked a little bit about balancing. Can you explain what "balancing totals" means.
 - A. Sure. What we train on and what we teach folks to do is to run the Statewide Voter Registration System reports at the close of each day; that is what we do in our absentee room as well. And depending on the election and if you have different ballot styles for every location, your report can be different. But what we teach them to do is at the end of each day, to run those reports. The machine has the ability to run what we call an "interrupt tape," so you can actually shut down the machine, reboot it, and you can get the number of ballots for each ballot style. You do not get any results, the polls are not closed, but you can get that counted out for you by the machine.

The other option that we give folks -- and sometimes this does work better, of course depending on their size -- is they can count their applications and count those against the ballots. The ballots do need to be removed from the tabulator each day and put into a sealed transfer case, so we do give people the ability to use whichever works best for them, but the SVRS reports are definitely the most accurate way to know that you have, in fact, balanced to the ballot style level each day.

- Q. And what does the handbook say with regard to balancing?
- 2 A. The handbook says, "During direct balloting, once direct
- 3 balloting starts, it should be balanced if you have followed
- 4 the above directions. If not, you should sit down and figure
- out where you can balance and solve the issue right away."
- 6 It also gives some step-by-step instructions on how to run
- 7 the reports, what you should be looking at, and it does say
- 8 up at the top, "Do not wait until election day to balance."
- 9 Q. And "election day" being actual in-person election day --
- 10 November 5th in this case this year -- not one of the other
- 11 earlier checkpoints for when --
- 12 A. Correct.

- 13 Q. -- balloting changes. Did you discover during the course of
- 14 your investigation whether the City of Shakopee balanced
- their ballot totals before in-person voting began on
- 16 October 18th?
- 17 A. We discovered that they thought they balanced, but they did
- not follow the reports as directed, no.
- 19 Q. What did they do?
- 20 A. They kept track on that log, the spreadsheet that you showed
- earlier, and they tracked the amount of people that they had
- each day, but they did not balance to the precinct or ballot
- 23 split level.
- 24 Q. And the way they were balancing was not part of the training
- 25 that you provided.

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. So once again, they were operating contrary to the handbook
- and the training that you provided.
- 4 A. They were.
- 5 Q. Can you refer me -- maybe from memory, or I'd be happy to
- 6 give you the entire exhibit. I think you have the extra
- 7 page. I think you've got 16 and 17 there.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Can you show me in the -- or just direct me to where in the
- 10 handbook it discusses estimating.
- 11 A. There would be nothing in the absentee handbook about
- 12 estimating.
- 13 Q. Do you know if there's a Secretary of State rule that
- 14 discusses the process for estimating ballot totals?
- 15 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 16 Q. Are you aware of any law, rule, or policy for estimating
- 17 ballot totals in a precinct?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. To the best of your knowledge and experience, are you aware
- of whether estimating ballot totals is permissible?
- 21 A. To the best of my knowledge, I would say it is not
- 22 permissible. We direct them to balance every day, and you
- 23 balance -- elections is not a game of estimations; you
- 24 balance to the numbers.
- 25 Q. It's a game of certainty, I suppose.

- 1 A. I would --
- 2 MR. ZOLL: Objection. Counsel's testifying.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 4 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 5 Q. Why would estimating not be allowed?
- A. We need to know that the exact number of ballots that are reported are the exact number that were issued and that it is for the correct ballot precinct and school-district split for
- 9 everyone who voted.
- 10 Q. Do you have any incident log in your possession regarding --
- or indicating that there was an estimation of ballot totals
- 12 on the 17th or 18th?
- 13 A. I do not.
- 14 Q. Let's -- I'm done with that document.
- 15 A. Okay. (Handing.)
- 16 Q. Let's talk about Precinct 10. How many people voted by
- envelope absentee in all of Shakopee between September 20th
- 18 and October 18th?
- 19 A. According to the report and the numbers that we've been able
- to find, it looks like 1124 people voted, envelope voting.
- 21 Q. And how many specifically in just Precinct 10?
- 22 A. 87.
- 23 Q. That's where that 87 --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And in Precinct 10, again, these were the individuals -- were

- they able to mail their ballot in to Shakopee?
- 2 A. No.

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 Q. They had to return them in person?
- 4 A. They would either be in person in the absentee room or done through Health Care Facility voting.
 - Q. And it's within that number, that 87, that you found the discrepancy; is that correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Let's turn back to the 12th, November 12th that you
 10 mentioned. What did you do on the -- after confirming the
 11 ballot totals on November -- the error ballot totals on
 12 November 12th?
 - A. We continued to look into where those 20 ballots could have been. If in fact there were voters represented by that ballot, if it makes sense to try and figure out if, in fact, folks had checked in and just not voted. We dug down into every material that we had. We counted applications; we counted envelopes; we counted ballots. It is not part of our normal process that we would open absentee ballot boxes or any ballot boxes that we received from the City, but we did do so to verify, in fact, that we had 309 ballots from Precinct 10 and not the 329 that were checked in. So we spent a lot of time recounting ballots as a team, and I would say we recounted the Precinct 10 absentee ballots at least four times.

- Q. Why do you say it's not part of the normal process to open those absentee boxes?
 - A. Because they would normally balance, or balance possibly within one. But in order to really try and pinpoint where that error occurred to ensure that it wasn't here at Scott County through the mail balloting, all of that, we ran reports that showed what we took in, what the City took in, and what they took in against the actual amount of ballots that they had. So we were able to definitively determine that it was the City absentee room for Precinct 10 that did not balance.
- Q. And how long did this process take?

- A. We spent most of Thursday, the 7th; Friday, the 8th; and then we took a break. After a couple of 80-hour work weeks, everyone was pretty exhausted, so we took the weekend and Veterans Day off and came back to attack it fresh on the 12th and continued to just dig into everything that we had and every report that we could run, where we then determined that we believed we had identified the 20 voters.
- Q. And are you still investigating the matter?
- A. We have completed our questioning of City staff, of the
 election judges, of the folks involved in the process. We
 just haven't been able to put together a summary report. But
 yes, our investigation I believe is at its final stages.
 - Q. Have you made final conclusions in the investigation?

- We have. 1 A.
- I would like to go to Exhibit 1. (Handing.) 2 Q.
- 3 Α. Thank you.
- Can you identify this document? 4 0.
- It is an affidavit that I completed per your request. 5 Α.
- 6 Ο. And what does it -- can you read what it says?
- 7 Sure. Do you want me to read the whole thing? I'm happy to Α. if that's --8
- Well, hold on. I don't know that I 9 THE COURT: need it read. I can read it, so --10
- 11 MR. LeBEAU: Yeah.
- 12 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- No, not entirely. 13 Ο.
- 14 Α. Okay.

18

- 15 What did you conclude in your affidavit concerning the Q. missing ballots? 16
- 17 We concluded that we were in possession of the 20 absentee Α.
- ballot envelopes in question. That we conducted multiple search attempts; we exhausted all attempts to locate the
- 20 ballots. And we determined that they were validly cast by
- 21 valid voters through that precinct, and that we had never
- received the ballots here, and that they had never been run 22
- 23 through a tabulator.
- How did you conclude that they were validly cast? 24 Ο.
- We were able to go back to those 87 people and kind of break 25 Α.

- down the numbers from there. Thirty-seven of those were 1 Health Care Facility voting, so that left us with 50. 2 3 were able to go look at those records, match up the applications to the envelopes. And according to everything 4 that we could see, those numbers all came out exactly right.
 - Q. And so everything in the affidavit that you signed, is it still true and accurate now that you've concluded your investigation?
- 9 Α. It is.

5

6

7

- 10 Thank you. I'd like to go to Exhibit 2. (Handing.) Ο. I'll trade you. 11
- Thank you. 12 Α.
- And can you identify what Exhibit 2 is, please. 13 Ο.
- 14 This was a statement released by Ron Hocevar, the Scott Α. Yes. County Attorney, on November 27th. 15
- And is it -- what is it in reference to? 16 0.
- 17 It is in reference to our initial preliminary investigation Α. of the missing 20 ballots from City Hall. 18
- And are the conclusions that are referred to in that letter 19 Q. 20 still accurate today?
- They are. 21 Α.
- 22 There's a line on the letter that concerns the final fate of Q. 23 the 20 ballots. Can you read that portion?
- I believe that you would be referring to -- there's a line 24 Α. that says, "the County was advised they had been thrown into 25

- the garbage" and "the County has been unable to verify that the missing ballots were ever removed from their secrecy envelopes."
 - Q. What is that conclusion based upon?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. That is based upon our ability to see when voters came in, not only by what was entered into the Statewide Voter Registration System but also their completed application.

Those were dated, of course, when the voter were to come in.

They're also -- based on the timing in the Statewide Voter Registration System, we were able to determine that the opening of the initial envelope-voting ballots occurred before the last bunch, if you will, of ballots were opened and processed.

- Q. In the letter, it references that the ballots were thrown away. How did you conclude that?
 - A. Based on the fact that we did not receive any secrecy envelopes back from the City, and the information that we received from the staff, from the election judges; that they did confirm that once those were opened -- and of course they thought the ballots removed -- that those envelopes were swept into a garbage can.
 - Q. So to be clear, it's your best guess that they were thrown away, but you don't have any evidence to confirm that; correct?
- 25 A. Correct, that is our best -- best guess.

- Q. Is there any way for you to be certain as to what actually happened to those ballots?
 - A. There is not. We've been able to obtain video footage from the City of Shakopee. There is still 27 terabytes of data that we are going to attempt to go through to make a hopefully final conclusion. But the conversations, the interviews that we had with the election judges, they opened the initial batch of envelope-voting ballots; they were never given another batch, if you will, of ballots. So if we take the 17 people who voted between the 15th, 16th, and 17th of October, the initial Ballot Board approved those envelopes. Then there were three more that voted on the afternoon of October 17th. That gives us our 20 missing ballots.
 - Q. So you mentioned that there are -- you mentioned the video and that there are still -- a significant amount of time to watch.
- 17 A. Uh-huh.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. So your investigation is not done. You haven't finished watching the video from --
- 20 A. We don't know if the video actually exists, so we are
 21 waiting. We don't -- the information that we received from
 22 the City of Shakopee is that they had video from their City
 23 Council chambers where the Absentee Ballot Board and ballot
 24 opening was conducted back to October 23rd. We have had a
 25 digital forensic specialist obtain all of the stored footage

that they have. We don't even know that that footage exists. But we're going to take a look into that and see if there's any additional footage that we can obtain.

From the information that we were given, it was not the election judges who would have done the final opening, the final Ballot Board and the final opening of those ballots; it appears as though that was City staff. And that was not done in a room that we can find that was on camera.

- Q. So depending on whether or not you can find additional footage, your conclusions as to what happened may change.
- 11 A. It's possible.
- Q. But it's possible. You just mentioned -- so the final -- the final opening of those ballots was done by -- or opening or process --
- 15 A. From --

- Q. -- was done by City staff. Explain that for me. Sorry.
- A. No, that's okay. From what we've been able to determine, the Absentee Ballot Board -- so the hired party-affiliated election judges -- conducted their last Ballot Board on Thursday, October 17th, at approximately 10:00 a.m. Those ballots were then marked "accepted" into SVRS about noon. They had already started to open the absentee ballots that had been received earlier through the envelope process.

 Those last 20 were not included, and they were never given another batch. No one ever walked into the room -- like

- here's your initial (indicating); they balanced the envelopes to the ballots. No one walked into the room at a later time that afternoon and said "Here's more" for any of the precincts.
 - Q. Do you know why City staff would have processed those and not the Absentee Ballot Board?
- 7 It is allowable, per statute, for two people to do that Α. Not everyone does their absentee ballot board with 8 9 party-affiliated election judges. They're required to do 10 signature verifications; they're not necessarily required to do the entire process. But according to the time cards that 11 12 we've been able to receive, there were not election judges 13 present at the time that that process would have occurred on 14 Friday morning, the 18th.
- Q. And is this the point in time that the signature envelope and the secrecy envelope would have been separated?
- 17 A. Correct.

5

6

- Q. And it's because of the information that you received from
 the City of Shakopee that all of the other secrecy envelopes
 were thrown away, you concluded that these must have been
 thrown away as well. Is that right?
 - A. We did.

22

Q. You also -- the letter also states something with regard to whether the ballots were removed from the secrecy envelope.

Can you read that portion, please?

- 1 A. (Pause.) Could you help me?
- 2 Q. Sure.
- 3 A. Thank you.
- 4 Q. (Indicating.)
- 5 A. Got it. Thank you.
- Yes, in the conclusions on the last page, it

 states: "That the ballots were most likely never removed

 from their secrecy envelopes," "...when the secrecy envelopes

 were thrown away."
- Q. But you cannot be certain whether they were removed from the secrecy envelope or not, can you?
- 12 A. I cannot.
- Q. And you don't have any additional proof today of whether or not they were removed from the secrecy envelope and reviewed.
- 15 A. I do not.
- Q. Who was responsible for ballot security at Shakopee during the period of October 15th through the 18th?
- 18 A. It would have been Lori Hensen, the Shakopee city clerk.
- Q. I think this has been stated, but I just want to make sure
 that we're clear on the record: The ballots in question -the 20, 21 -- were never included in the final vote totals;
 is that correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that would be reflected in the -- or wouldn't be reflected in the second abstract for Scott County; is that

1		correct?
2	A.	Correct. They were not included in the second abstract.
3		MR. LeBEAU: And just for the record, Your Honor,
4		that's Exhibit 5.
5	BY M	R. LeBEAU:
6	Q.	And Exhibit 5 reflects what? That's the second ballot
7		abstract. Can you describe what that is?
8	A.	Sure. That is a report that shows an aggregate of vote
9		totals for each candidate as well as a breakdown of voter
10		totals by precinct. It does not break out absentee versus
11		election day in the abstract. It gives you one total, other
12		than stating how many absentee voters there were total.
13	Q.	On the Scott County letter, it also mentions Precinct 12;
14		correct? I believe it's a paragraph
15	A.	It does.
16	Q.	at the bottom of the first page.
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Could you read that, please?
19	A.	Sure. "While conducting normal auditing activities on
20		Thursday, November 7, County staff found that there was a
21		problem
22		THE COURT: You have to slow I'm sorry to
23		interrupt you.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: You have to slow down.

24

I'm sorry. Thank you. 1 THE WITNESS: So why don't you --2 THE COURT: 3 THE WITNESS: I will do that. THE COURT: -- start again if you don't mind. 4 5 Thank you. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 "While conducting normal auditing activities on Thursday, Α. 8 November 7, County staff found that there was a problem with 9 Shakopee returns in that there was a 21-ballot discrepancy 10 between two precincts (with more absentee voters recorded 11 than ballots received). The issues were noted to be 20 12 ballot records for Precinct 10 and one for Precinct 12A. Based on experience, staff noted it is not uncommon for one 13 14 voter to check in and not vote, so the discrepancy in 15 Precinct 12A was not pursued; the focus was on P10." BY MR. LeBEAU: 16 17 And to this date, there's been no investigation into P-12; Q. 18 correct? 19 Α. There has not. 20 So you can't conclude whether this falls into the same 0. 21 category as these other 20 or not, can you? 22 I cannot. Α. 23 Q. And earlier you gave sort of an example of what a person would have to go through and to vote absentee in person and 24 not have a ballot. Is this the same type of scenario?

- 1 A. This would be the same type of scenario, yes.
- 2 Q. And in your experience, have you ever seen that occur?
- 3 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 4 Q. So is it possible that there is one more ballot that's still
- 5 out there that can't be accounted for?
- 6 A. It's possible.
- 7 Q. In your opinion, how would you characterize -- based on the
- 8 facts that you know of this polling location and these 20, 21
- 9 missing ballots, how would you characterize that in the
- 10 administration of an election?
- 11 A. How would I characterize it. I would say it is
- inappropriate, something that should not have happened.
- 13 Q. And is it contrary to the training that you provided?
- 14 | A. It is.
- 15 Q. I want to talk about the dates in question during this time.
- 16 Early voting, envelope voting as you described it, began on
- 17 September -- ?
- 18 A. 20th.
- 19 Q. And concluded on what day?
- 20 A. October 17th.
- 21 | Q. And the 18th is the day -- what happens with all those
- 22 ballots once the 18th hits?
- 23 A. Starting late on the 17th, those ballots that have already
- been voted can be opened and run through the tabulator. So
- 25 normal process would be on the 18th, that not only would you

- have people, voters in-person voting putting their ballot in the tabulator, you would also have staff, election judges both together running those ballots that had been cast through the envelope process into that same tabulator.
 - Q. And so they're physically, again, separating the secrecy envelope from the signature envelope and putting the ballot in the machine.
- 8 A. Correct.

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q. And this is all predicated upon the fact that they would have balanced out the totals with those absentee ballots previously.
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. We touched on this earlier, but there was a reference to the fact that there was an estimation made for the 17th. Do you recollect that conversation?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 | Q. And what was this estimation that was made?
 - A. It appears as though the number from the tabulator was never actually written down on October 18th, so the staff took the number of voters that they had that day and subtracted from the number that was totally run. They did do math. I can't call it an estimation, but there wasn't verification through SVRS reports of what those totals should be. They should have been able to run reports showing exactly how many voters they had plus the voters they would have had on the 18th

- 1 through the direct balloting process.
- Q. Whatever math they did was not the proper procedure for handling the ballots; is that correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And once the secrecy envelope is detached from the signature envelope, there's no way to trace it back; is that correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. That's sort of the whole point; right?
- 9 A. Correct.

23

24

- Q. So can you say with absolute certainty that the 20 voters who have been identified as having missing ballots are the actual ballots that were lost?
- 13 A. I can't say with absolute certainty, no.
- 14 Q. And why is that?
- As much as we don't want to estimate and play games with 15 Α. probability, this is the most likely scenario based on all of 16 17 our investigations. But I was not there. I did not assist those voters, I did not do the balancing for them, so I 18 cannot say that -- at a hundred percent certainty that this 19 20 is -- that those are the 20 people. It is what makes the 21 most sense given all of the scenarios and the investigation that we've done, but no. 22
 - Q. And that -- I'm just trying to figure out how to phrase this, but -- and as you stated earlier, that investigation may not be done. There may yet be more evidence for you to dig in.

- 1 A. It is possible.
- Q. Based on your experience with the conduct that the City of
- 3 Shakopee had in this election, do you have certainty that
- 4 they followed all procedures for tabulating and counting the
- 5 ballots?
- 6 A. I do not.
- 7 Q. So the information that we have may not be reliable even from
- 8 what they provided.
- 9 A. We've been able to verify through multiple interviews. We
- not only interviewed City staff but the party-affiliated
- election judges as well. And is it possible it's not
- reliable? Of course, yes, it is possible. But we believe
- we've been able to put many pieces of the puzzle together and
- 14 verify against our questioning.
- 15 Q. And I certainly don't think anybody's doubting your efforts.
- Prior to November 7th, did the City ever inform you
- of a discrepancy in either P-10 or P-12?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. So how many days lapsed between you now knowing when an error
- occurred and with them informing you about it?
- 21 A. We actually informed them of the error, so -- but from the
- time that they were able to inform us on where the error
- occurred, that would have been from Thursday to Tuesday, so
- 24 about five days.
- 25 Q. But from when the error actually occurred --

1	A.	Oh, from the error actually occurred to when well, they
2		never informed us of an error. But from the time the error
3		occurred until we discovered, whatever the time frame would
4		be from October 17th to November 7th, a good three weeks.
5	Q.	And it was that same three weeks, 20 days, that lapsed before

- Q. And it was that same three weeks, 20 days, that lapsed before an investigation began; is that right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. You are certain the ballots are missing.
- 9 A. I am.

6

- 10 Q. And you can't say with certainty where they came from.
- 11 A. I can, yes. I mean -- where they came from at the precinct
 12 level --
- 13 O. Yes.
- 14 A. -- sorry. My elections brain. Can I say with absolute 15 certainty what happened? No.
 - MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, thank you. I tried to keep it right at time.

THE COURT: Thank you for that.

It's about time for our morning recess. We're going to take that at this time. We generally take 15 minutes, and then we'll come back and restart with Mr. Zoll's cross-examination.

(A recess was taken.)

THE COURT: We'll go back on the record.

Mr. Zoll -- we do not have our witness.

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1	Why don't you come back to the witness stand,
2	please. Thank you.
3	Go ahead, Counsel.
4	MR. ZOLL: One moment. I just want to make sure my
5	colleague is able to share exhibits for me.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. ZOLL:
8	Q. Thank you, Ms. Hanson. Unfortunately, some of my questions
9	are going to be repetitive of topics that you discussed
10	earlier in your testimony, but I do want to make sure that we
11	have a clear record and a full understanding of the issues.
12	Did you describe yourself as the Elections
13	Administrator for Scott County?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. Okay. And you've been in that position for about six and a
16	half years?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. And in that role, do you rely on both City and County staff
19	to carry out the functions of administering the elections?
20	A. I do.
21	Q. I have a few questions regarding some documents that I want
22	to go through before asking you questions regarding your
23	investigation, and I'd like to start with Exhibit 206.
24	THE COURT: And 206 is already is that one of
25	the objected-to exhibits, Counsel?

- 1 MR. ZOLL: I don't believe so.
- 2 THE COURT: All right.
- 3 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 4 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 Q. What is it?
- 7 A. This is the recount worksheet that we are provided from the
- 8 State's election system to use during a recount process, so
- 9 it gives us what the November 5th tallies are for each
- 10 candidate, which would include absentee totals, and then it
- gives us space to be able to provide our recount totals as
- 12 well.
- Q. And is this Exhibit 206 the document that was used in the
- 14 recount of the election for House District 54A?
- 15 A. Yes, it was.
- 16 Q. Did you fill out the information on this form?
- 17 A. I did. This is my handwriting; the only portion of this that
- is not is where it says "Recount Team Initials." That was --
- each of the election judges that participated for each
- 20 precinct, they initialed that themselves.
- 21 Q. Okay. I want to focus on Shakopee Precinct 10. And can you
- just confirm for me, what was the total number of ballots
- 23 that were counted for Aaron Paul in Precinct 10?
- 24 A. Yes. That would have been 534.
- 25 | Q. How about for Representative Brad Tabke?

- 1 A. 731.
- 2 Q. And what is the total for "Other"?
- 3 A. The "Other" would be undervotes, so if folks chose -- because
- 4 of course you can vote or not vote any race on a ballot.
- 5 "Other" would be undervotes. Mostly that's, you know, people
- 6 who did not vote that category. It could also be an overvote
- 7 if people voted equally for both candidates. Or it could
- 8 have been a write-in or a vote for someone else.
- 9 Q. And what's the total number of "Other" votes for Shakopee
- 10 Precinct 10?
- 11 A. 94.
- 12 Q. Okay. And if we were to add those numbers together, the 731
- for Tabke and the 534 for Paul and the 94 for "Other" -- and
- 14 I'm not going to ask you to do that math in your head -- but
- would that be the total number of ballots that were counted
- 16 for Shakopee Precinct 10 in this election for House District
- 17 54A?
- 18 A. Yes, it would.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now I want to turn to Exhibit 5, and I believe you
- were asked questions about Exhibit 5 previously. And we'll
- just start with the title page here. Do you recognize this
- 22 document?
- 23 A. I do.
- 24 | O. What is it?
- 25 A. It is the Abstract of Votes Cast, so this is the report that

- 1 is generated from the official returns through the State's election registration system that is provided to the 2 3 Canvassing Board for their signatures. From this page, are you able to tell whether this is the 4 Q. abstract that was signed before or after the recount? 5 6 Α. I am not, not from this page. 7 Q. Okay. 8 MR. ZOLL: Can we just move to the final page of 9 Exhibit 5?
- 10 BY MR. ZOLL:
- Does this page allow you to answer that question? 11 0.
- 12 It does. This is the second version of the abstract Α. 13 completed after the recount.
- 14 What information allows you to say that? Q.
- 15 Just even the date. The second Canvassing Board was held on Α. the 25th of November. 16
- 17 Q. Okay.
- MR. ZOLL: Finally, I want to focus on page 18 of 18 73, so page 18 of Exhibit 5. 19
- 20 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 21 Q. Can you tell me what's reflected on this page?
- 22 This is U.S. Representative District 2 race. I don't think Α. 23 this is the page you want.
- 24 MR. ZOLL: Yeah --
- MS. KITZE COLLINS: 25 Oh.

- 1 MR. ZOLL: Sorry. One more.
- 2 MS. KITZE COLLINS: (Scrolling.)
- 3 MR. ZOLL: Here we go. So this is page 18. It's
- 4 page 20 of the PDF but page 18 of the report.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 6 MR. ZOLL: That's my fault.
- 7 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 8 Q. So can you tell me what's reflected on this page?
- 9 A. Yes. This gives us the precinct-by-precinct breakdown of the
- 10 votes for State Representative 54A for both Mr. Paul,
- 11 Representative Tabke, and then any write-ins. As I said,
- 12 precinct by precinct as well as the totals.
- 13 Q. Okay. And how many total votes across all precincts were
- 14 cast for Aaron Paul?
- 15 A. It appears as though it was 10,965.
- 16 Q. How about for Representative Brad Tabke?
- 17 | A. 10,979.
- 18 | Q. And "Write-in"?
- 19 | A. 36.
- 20 Q. These results don't show undervotes or overvotes that you
- 21 described that were in the "Other" category of the recount
- 22 tally sheets; is that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. What's the margin in terms of the number of votes between
- 25 Representative Tabke and Mr. Paul?

- 1 A. 14.
- 2 MR. ZOLL: You can take that exhibit down.
- 3 BY MR. ZOLL:
- Q. I'd like to ask you some questions about the process of
- 5 reporting election results. Does your office prepare totals
- from the absentee ballots that are received within Scott
- 7 County?
- 8 A. We do.
- 9 Q. And do you separately prepare totals from the ballots that
- 10 are cast on election day?
- 11 A. We do.
- 12 Q. Are those then combined?
- 13 A. They are. They are combined and reported to the Secretary of
- 14 State.
- 15 Q. Okay. Is that combination, is that an automated process or
- is that something that you and your staff do by hand?
- 17 A. It is an automated process.
- 18 Q. Okay. Is there a software that does that work for you?
- 19 A. There is.
- 20 Q. Okay. From your experience as the Elections Administrator,
- 21 do you have a view as to whether absentee voting or election
- votes cast on election day tend to favor one party or the
- 23 other?
- 24 A. Not at this time. I would say in the past, prior to 2020 and
- 25 COVID, I think we would see more Democrat votes through the

1	absentee process. But I don't believe that that holds tru	ıe
2	currently, either here or from what I've seen across the	
3	state or nationally.	
4	Q. Okay. Thank you. I want to ask you a few questions about	-
5	the process of casting an absentee ballot in person, and	Γ
6	understand you addressed this with questions from Mr. LeBe	eau.
7	But are voters required to complete an absentee ballot	
8	application when voting by absentee in person?	
9	A. They are.	
10	MR. ZOLL: Can we pull up Exhibit 10?	
11	THE COURT: And Exhibit 10 has already been	
12	received, as was Exhibit 206.	
13	MR. ZOLL: Thank you.	
14	And can we move to the second page of Exhibit 10)?
15	BY MR. ZOLL:	
16	Q. Is this an example of an absentee ballot application?	
17	A. It is.	
18	Q. Using this form, are you able to determine the date an	
19	individual voted? Well, let me back up one step.	
20	If an individual voted in-absentee, they would	
21	complete this form when they showed up at the polling	
22	location?	
23	A. Correct, either at the polling location or there is a	
24	possibility they would already have one that they would be	ring
25	with them, yes.	

- Q. Using this form, is it possible to determine the date that they arrived or appeared at the polling location?
 - A. There is. There's two examples. What you see at the top
 where the word "APP" says, meaning application, there's two
 dates. October 16th is listed as one of those dates, so that
 initial date would have been the date that they applied. And
 if you can scroll down a little bit as well, down to the
 signature, there's a date dated there by the voter and a
 "received date" down towards the bottom. For the General, it
 says this was a registered voter, the date that this
 application was received, and the date the ballot was issued.
 All of those dates indicate -- in this example, it was
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And the voters, you had described that they, when voting, place their ballots inside a secrecy envelope.
- 17 A. Correct.

October 16.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 18 Q. And then that is placed inside a signature envelope.
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Going back to the first page of Exhibit 10, is this an example of a signature envelope?
- 22 A. It is.
- 23 Q. And are you able to tell whether this ballot was accepted?
- A. If we scroll down a little bit towards the bottom of the envelope, it is marked "Accepted" in the bottom left corner.

- 1 Q. Does this tell you the date it was accepted?
- 2 A. This envelope does not. We do train the staff and our
- 3 Absentee Ballot Board to date these envelopes. We did find
- 4 through this process that that was not done. Looking in the
- 5 Statewide Voter Registration System at the ballot history, we
- are able to see what date it was accepted.
- 7 Q. Okay. And to be clear, there's not a -- on Exhibit 10, a
- 8 spot to include the date. There's not a "Date Accepted"
- 9 blank for them to fill in.
- 10 A. There is not.
- 11 Q. But it was your testimony that using other sources of
- information, it is possible to determine the date upon which
- a particular ballot was accepted?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And can you just explain again what that other source of
- information is?
- 17 A. Yes. It is the Statewide Voter Registration System. It is
- the software that we use provided by the Secretary of State's
- 19 Office to administer the election.
- 20 THE COURT: Counsel, would you approach for a
- 21 minute, please?
- 22 (Off-the-record bench discussion.)
- THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 24 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 25 Q. On Exhibit 10, there was a -- on the signature page, there is

- a signature that was visible. Do you see that?
- 2 A. I do.
- 3 | Q. Would that be -- who would have signed that?
- 4 A. That would have been a City staff or election judge, whoever
- 5 assisted the voter that day.
- 6 Q. So that's not the voter's signature there.
- 7 A. It is not.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 MR. ZOLL: We can take the exhibits down.
- 10 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 11 Q. As part of your work as the Elections Administrator for Scott
- 12 County, did you take steps to confirm the accuracy of the
- results of the election?
- 14 A. We did.
- 15 Q. In the process of doing so this year, as you testified
- previously, you determined that 20 ballots from Shakopee
- 17 Precinct 10 were not included in the total number of ballots
- counted in the 2024 General Election; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And you conducted an investigation into the circumstances
- 21 regarding why those ballots were not counted?
- 22 A. Yes, we did.
- 23 Q. Is that investigation that you performed something you did in
- your role as the Scott County Elections Administrator?
- 25 A. It is.

- Q. As part of your role to confirm the accuracy of the election results?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And to confirm that the election was conducted consistent with Minnesota law?
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. Put differently, was the investigation that you conducted performed as part of your job as the Scott County Elections Administrator?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. You had testified earlier that errors or discrepancies
 12 in the conduct of an election are not unusual and provided an
 13 example of providing the voter the wrong ballot. Do you
 14 recall that testimony?
- 15 A. I do.
- Q. Why is it that errors or discrepancies like that are not unusual?
- A. Just because as hard as we try, it's still a human process, and humans make mistakes.
- Q. We try, but we don't always succeed; correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. You were also asked questions regarding the absentee voting guide that you and your staff prepared. Do you recall those questions?
- 25 A. I do.

- Q. And you were asked about the provision that says that the secrecy envelopes should be stored or maintained. Do you recall that?
- 4 | A. I do.
- Q. Do you know whether that is a requirement of Minnesota Election Law?
- A. I don't know that it is. I have actually been told that
 secrecy envelopes are technically not included in the
 retaining of election materials. We have always tried to err
 on the side of caution, and everything that is involved in
 the process we would prefer to keep for the 22-month
 retention period.
 - Q. Okay. I'm going to give my colleague, Ms. Kitze Collins, a heads-up that Exhibit 2 will be the next exhibit that I'll have a question for you on.

But by late November -- or -- yeah, by late November 2024, had you reached a preliminary conclusion through your investigation regarding the 20 uncounted ballots from Precinct 10?

20 A. We had.

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. I'm showing you what has been admitted as Exhibit 2. Do you recognize this document?
- 23 A. I do.
- Q. Is this a memo that summarizes the preliminary conclusions?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Did you assist in the preparation of this document?
- 2 | A. I did.
- 3 Q. Did you review it before it was finalized?
- 4 A. I did.
- 5 Q. At the time, did you believe it to be accurate?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. And do you continue to believe that it is accurate today?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. I'm going to call your attention to the second-to-the-last 10 page of Exhibit 2 where the final paragraph begins with the 11 sentence, "Although the investigation is not complete and
- remains ongoing..."? Do you see that?
- 13 A. I do.
- Q. And that's followed by a series of bullet-pointed conclusions. And I'll just ask you: Do you continue to agree with the conclusions that are stated there?
- 17 | A. I do.
- Q. Has your investigation continued after the date of this
 November 27th memo?
- 20 A. It has.
- Q. Has that continuing investigation caused you to change any of your conclusions?
- 23 A. It has not.
- Q. Has your continuing investigation caused you to increase or decrease your confidence in the conclusions you reached?

- A. The investigation would have increased my confidence in the preliminary decision that we believe we had made.
 - Q. And more specifically, has the continuing investigation caused you to increase or decrease your confidence in the identity of the 20 individuals who cast the uncounted ballots?
 - A. I would say it was -- increased my confidence.

Q. Okay. I'd like to work through the process of your investigation step by step to make sure we have a clear understanding of how you reached your conclusions. So let me start here -- and I'm sorry it's repetitive, but I want to make sure that we can walk through this in a logical, stepwise approach.

How did you first determine that 21 ballots cast in the 2024 General Election had not been counted?

- A. In our normal audit that we began the morning of

 November 7th, we as standard practice go through and verify
 all of the vote totals off of all the tapes, everything that
 is received. Part of that process is to run reports out of
 the Statewide Voter Registration System to look at totals of
 ballots accepted at each location. And that is what led us
 to discover that there were 20 or possibly 21 ballots missing
 from the two precincts in Shakopee.
- Q. And were you able to determine whether those ballots were cast on election day or whether they were cast as absentee

L	ballots?

- 2 A. We were. They were cast as absentee ballots.
- 3 Q. How did you reach that conclusion?
- A. The Statewide Voter Registration System that we're using to balance is only balancing absentee ballots. It is not looking at election-day balloting at all.
- Q. So am I understanding that you would have had a total number of absentee ballots that had been cast -- or strike that.

 Maybe I'm -- I want to be precise.

When you look at the Statewide Voter Registration

System, are you looking at ballots that had been requested,

that had been sent, or that had been accepted for purposes of
this balancing?

- 14 A. We are looking at the amount of ballots that have been accepted.
- Q. Okay. So to determine that these uncounted ballots were cast as absentee ballots, would you first identify the total number of accepted absentee ballots?
- 19 A. We would.

10

11

12

- 20 Q. And then what would you compare that to?
- A. We would compare that to the amount of applications that we received from the City of Shakopee. All of their materials were returned to us the day after the election, so we would go back to those applications, physically count those applications. We would count the envelopes. Of course the

- envelopes would only give us the total that were voted during
 the envelope process, right, not through direct balloting.

 And in this case, we actually went back to the physical
 ballots and counted the physical ballots.
 - Q. Would you have checked anything prior to getting to that step? And I guess what I'm asking is when you first discovered this imbalance, were you looking at all those materials you described, or were you looking at the vote totals compiled by you and your staff?
 - A. We were looking at the vote totals. We were looking at the breakdown between election day and absentee. Our system gives us the ability to run reports both for election day and absentee. We had our party balance of election judges working alongside with staff to verify the election-day vote totals. We were concentrating on the absentee side.
 - Q. Okay. So to determine that 21 ballots that were cast as absentee ballots had not been counted -- and I'll use a hypothetical number here -- if you looked in the SVRS and saw that 50,000 absentee ballots had been accepted in Scott County, when you looked at the totals for absentee ballots that had been counted, it would have been 49,979.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And that's how you discovered that there was that discrepancy.
- 25 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Were you able to determine whether the at that point 21 uncounted ballots came from a specific precinct?
- A. We were. We were able to determine that there was one from Shakopee 12A and 20 from Shakopee Precinct 10.
- 5 Q. How did you do that?
- A. The vote totals -- apologies, not the vote totals. The total
 ballots accepted issued by the Statewide Voter Registration
 System shown by those reports, and the number of cards cast
 is what our system would call it, so the number of ballots
 that we received results for was off that 21.
 - Q. So to identify the specific precincts from which those ballots were cast, is it fair to say you just did more granular analysis, as what led you to conclude that there were 21 uncounted ballots?
- 15 A. Correct. We balance on a precinct-by-precinct level.
- Q. Okay. Voters can mail in their absentee ballots or cast absentee ballots in person; is that correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.

11

12

13

- Q. Were you able to determine whether the 20 uncounted ballots from Shakopee Precinct 10 were mailed in or cast in person?
- A. We were. The City of Shakopee does not do mail-in absentee balloting; we do all of that at the county level. So it would have been in-person votes.
- Q. Okay. And how specifically were you able to make that determination that these would have been ballots cast in

- 1 person through the City of Shakopee?
- The ballots are kept separate from what is done here at the 2 Α. 3 county level, whether it be at our Public Works facility where in-person voting is done, mail balloting, those types 4 They are combined at the end, but we were able to 5 of things. 6 determine simply by looking at the reporting that there was a 7 discrepancy in the numbers just for the City of Shakopee. can break down those reports both in SVRS and our system, our 8 election tabulation system, by location. 9
- 10 Q. Okay, and I think I may have a question for you with an exhibit on that --
- 12 A. Sure.
- Q. -- a little bit later. That's very helpful. How many accepted absentee ballots were there from the City of Shakopee for Precinct 10?
- 16 A. 329.
- Q. And that's based on information that you obtained from where?
- 18 A. The Statewide Voter Registration System.
- Q. And did you testify that you counted the physical ballots that were returned to the County by the City of Shakopee?
- 21 A. We did that as well, yes.
- Q. And how many ballots did you count in that physical count?
- 23 A. 309.
- 24 | O. And that's for Precinct 10?
- 25 A. For Precinct 10, correct.

- 1 Q. Ballots that came from the City of Shakopee.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you able to determine, just looking at a physical ballot, what precinct it was cast for?
- 5 A. Yes. The ballots are marked at the top corner as well as the bottom as to which precinct it is for.
- Q. Okay. So, at this point in your analysis that we're discussing here, we've narrowed the source of the 20 uncounted ballots from Shakopee Precinct 10 to a universe of 329 ballots cast by voters at the Shakopee early voting location; is that correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. Do the records maintained by either Scott County or the
 Minnesota Secretary of State allow you to identify by name
 the voters who cast those 329 ballots?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you able to further narrow the source of the 20 uncounted ballots from Shakopee Precinct 10 to a specific date range?
- 20 A. We were.
- MR. ZOLL: If we could pull up Exhibit 2 again?

 And I'll want to look at the first paragraph of the second page.
- 24 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 25 Q. I think about midway through this paragraph there's a

- reference to a spreadsheet provided by City staff tracking
 absentee ballots submitted in Shakopee. Do you see that?
- 3 A. I do.
- 4 Q. Was that spreadsheet useful for your investigation?
- A. Yes. At the beginning, I could not really make heads nor tails of the report, but yes, once we really dug into that and their totals, it was.
- 8 MR. ZOLL: Can we pull up Exhibit 202, which has 9 been stipulated to its admission?
- 10 THE COURT: And 202 has been received.
- 11 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 12 Q. Do you recognize this?
- 13 A. I do.
- 14 Q. Is this an email from Lori Hensen to you?
- 15 A. It is.
- 16 Q. And remind me, who's Lori Hensen?
- 17 A. She's the former Shakopee city clerk.
- Q. Okay. And in this email -- by the way, what's the date of this email?
- 20 A. Thursday, November 7th.
- Q. Okay. In this email, Ms. Hensen says, "This is an excel spreadsheet that Kay our election judge in the AB room made to keep (pause) track" -- I had a typo in my notes. Sorry, that's the reason I paused there -- "to keep track of the ballot votes made." Do you see that?

- 1 A. I do.
- 2 Q. And is this the spreadsheet that's referenced in the County
- Attorney's memo that we looked at that's Exhibit No. 2?
- 4 | A. It is.
- Q. And if we can turn to the next page of Exhibit 202, is this a
- 6 copy of that spreadsheet?
- 7 A. It is.
- 8 Q. In the course of your investigation, did you talk to the
- 9 individual who prepared this spreadsheet?
- 10 A. I did.
- 11 0. Who was that?
- 12 A. Her name was Kay Gamble.
- 13 Q. Did Ms. Gamble explain to you how the spreadsheet was
- 14 prepared?
- 15 A. She did.
- 16 Q. Can you explain for the Court once again how you were able to
- 17 use this spreadsheet or how this spreadsheet was helpful to
- 18 narrow down the date range when the uncounted absentee
- 19 ballots were cast?
- 20 A. I can. Not on this page, but on the third page, so -- yes,
- 21 this one. This gives us the absentee ballot count from the
- 22 first day of absentee through the last day of envelope
- voting, which they have totaled up to be 1124.
- 24 Q. Okay, and I'll stop you there. Did you ask Ms. Gamble about
- 25 the process she used to create the information on this page

- of the spreadsheet?
- 2 A. I did.
- Q. What did she describe to you in terms of the process she used?
- A. She described to me, especially during this part, that they were balancing their applications that they took in and counting applications against the Statewide Voter

 Registration System and then logging the total number of voters that came in each day.
- Q. So they were doing the balancing for -- across all the precincts that were voting at that location?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. But not on a precinct-by-precinct basis.
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. But they were performing some balancing.
- 16 A. They were.

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay, and then where would you take me next on this
 spreadsheet to explain to the Court how this helped you focus
 in on a particular date range when the 20 uncounted ballots
 were cast?
 - A. Sure. So the total of this page indicates that all of those numbers added together are 1124. And if we can go back up one page and look at that first date. We were able to look at this, take the 1124 from the previous sheet, add the 276 direct balloting applications, and not arrive at 1379. The

- total of that should be 1400. So that is exactly 21 off on that date.
 - Q. And that's the number of the discrepancy that you initially discovered when comparing accepted absentee ballots in the SVRS system against -- I believe the term you used for what your machines would say -- the "cards read" for absentee ballots.
- 8 A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 Q. And is that how you were able to determine that the 21-ballot discrepancy began on or before October 18th, 2024?
- 11 A. It is.
- Q. You were asked questions about the 1379 number that reflects the machine count. Do you recall those questions?
- 14 A. I do.
- Q. And I don't want to misstate anything you said, so please
 correct this: You were asked how that estimate was prepared,
 and you clarified that math was performed to arrive at that
 number. Can you explain -- well, let me back up one step.

Do you have an understanding of the math that was performed to determine that the number was 1379?

- A. From what I was told by Ms. Gamble, the actual machine total was not recorded at the end of October 18th, so she took the machine total and subtracted the amount of direct balloting applications to arrive at the 1379.
- Q. Do you understand from your discussions or interviews with

- 1 Ms. Gamble whether the machine total was recorded on a date 2 subsequent to October 18?
- 3 A. Yes, I understand that it was.
- Q. And what's your understanding around the -- that recording, that writing-down of the number?
- A. That each night at the end of the day, they would -- the
 staff in the room would hand-record a number on a piece of
 paper. She would then take it and put it into her
 spreadsheet. Most days she worked early morning, not closing
 shifts, so someone else at the end of the day wrote down or
 had written those numbers down, and she would move them into
 the spreadsheet.
- Q. And is it your understanding that that would have occurred beginning October 21st and continuing forward?
- 15 A. That is my understanding, yes.
- Q. Okay. So the math that was performed was simply to get from the number recorded from the machine at the end of the day on October 21st to what it would have been at the end of the day on October 18th.
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Next up will be Exhibit 9, and as that's being pulled up -and this is again another exhibit that's been stipulated to
 by the parties. Do you know how many absentee ballots were
 cast at the Shakopee early voting location prior to
 October 18th, 2024?

- 1 A. I do just doing the subtraction. So there were 329 total.
- 2 We know that there were 87 cast prior to October 18th. That
- does match up with our amount of envelopes that we have, and
- 4 applications as well.
- 5 Q. How many absentee ballots -- well, maybe I can ask a question
- 6 that will help this be more clear. Prior to October 18 -- so
- 7 through October 17 -- voters who arrived at Shakopee to cast
- an absentee ballot were doing what you described as "envelope"
- 9 balloting"; is that correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And then what about beginning on October 18 going forward?
- 12 A. They would have done the direct-balloting method right into
- 13 the tabulator.
- 14 Q. Okay. And how many envelope voting -- or how many voters for
- Precinct 10 voted using the envelope method at Shakopee?
- 16 A. Prior to October 18th, there would have been 50.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. We know that there were an additional 37 that voted through
- 19 Health Care Facility voting, which is also the envelope
- 20 process, but that was done later in the month.
- 21 Q. Let me ask you about Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this
- 22 document?
- 23 A. I do.
- 24 | O. What is this?
- 25 A. This is a -- could you scroll down just a little -- or I'm

sorry -- up. Apologies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

This is the report that we are able to obtain out of the Statewide Voter Registration System -- which we abbreviate to "SVRS" -- of the "Absentee Ballots By" -- it says "Status And Location." So we can use all of these methods that are listed in the description to bring them down. If I were to run absentee ballots by current status and location and not put any of the limiters on it or the filters, I would get every location, including Scott County absentee. But in this case, this would be only -- the "Shakopee-M" would be Shakopee-Main, and in this case, that would be Shakopee City Hall.

- 13 Q. And is this limited to the envelope voting method?
- 14 A. In this case, we have -- yes. We do not have the direct
 15 balloting listed as the application delivery method, so those
 16 would have been taken out.
 - Q. And if we wanted to know the precise number of individuals who voted using the envelope method at Shakopee for Precinct 10, would we -- could we determine that by going through this exhibit? I'm not going to ask you to do it now, but --
- 22 A. Yes, we could.
- 23 Q. How would one do that?
- A. We could certainly add these up. I believe at the end of this report as well -- it's multiple pages, but I believe at

- the end of the report, it may give us a total. (Pause.) It does not. So we would have to add these up and get that total.
- Q. And on each line of this, there's "Accepted" and then a date.

 Do you see that?
- 6 A. I do.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 7 Q. What does that reflect?
- A. That reflects the date that it was actually accepted by the
 Absentee Ballot Board into the Statewide Voter Registration
 System.
 - Q. Okay. And you had testified previously about Health Care Facility voting. Can you describe what Health Care Facility voting is?
 - A. Sure. So there's a provision in law that allows for facilities that meet certain qualifications -- and generally it's those folks that aren't mobile, right, that aren't able to come to an in-person or election-day location. So to staff the two-party balanced election judges, or it could be a mixture, go and create the election day sort of experience for the voters at the health care facility. They do request from the health care facility that those voters fill out absentee applications in advance so that the clerk or the staff can enter those into the system, prepare that paperwork, including the ballot, and then bring it to the health care facility for someone to vote.

- Q. And is there a way, looking at this report, for you to determine whether a ballot was cast through Health Care Facility voting versus in-person envelope voting?
- A. I can determine that, yes, because I can see that by the date. So for example, on this page that we're looking at, the first three say 10/7, 10/7, 10/17. That was during the normal envelope voting process. The fourth one says October 30th, and we know based on conversations and also the spreadsheet that was provided by the City of Shakopee what days they did Health Care Facility voting, and October 30th was one of those days.
 - Q. Okay. And if we wanted to know how many individuals cast ballots at Shakopee in person using the envelope voting method, could we determine that using this report?
- A. Only for Precinct 10, yes.

- Q. Sorry. I meant to limit that to Precinct 10. Thank you for that correction.
 - And we would do that by adding up the number of entries that have dates on October 18th or earlier for when their ballots were accepted?
 - A. We would, and also, during our investigation, we took the envelopes that we received back and the applications and matched them up with this report.
 - Q. Okay. I'll represent to you that I had counted the number of entries with dates on October 18 and earlier, and I came up

- 1 with 47. Does that seem correct?
- 2 A. That seems right, yes.
- Q. Okay. So -- but if we want to know for sure, anyone could just go through and count the number of entries on the sheet.
- 5 A. Absolutely.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 MR. ZOLL: We can take that exhibit down.
- 8 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 9 Q. So, if we assume my counting is correct -- which is not an

 10 assumption that anyone should make -- but if we do, at this

 11 point, we've narrowed down the source of the uncounted

 12 ballots from Precinct 10 down to the 47 individuals who voted

 13 in-person absentee ballots during envelope voting at the

 14 Shakopee early voting location; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. Through your investigation, you ultimately narrowed this set of 47 voters down to 20 individuals who cast the uncounted ballots from Shakopee Precinct 10; is that correct?
- 19 | A. We did.
- Q. I'd like to explore how you were able to narrow from 47 down to the 20 specific individuals who cast those ballots.
- Let me start here: At some point in time, did the
 City of Shakopee begin opening absentee ballot envelopes in
 preparing them to be counted?
- 25 A. They did.

- 1 Q. Do you know when that occurred?
- 2 A. I do. They started at approximately 11:00 on October 17th.
- 3 Q. How do you know that?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. We know that based on interviews through the election judges and the staff, as well as using their time cards that we were provided by the City of Shakopee.
 - Q. Can you describe generally how that process works for the opening of the absentee ballots?
 - A. Sure. The Absentee Ballot Board or the opening team is provided with the envelopes that need to be opened on a precinct-by-precinct basis. You would not intermingle Precinct 1 and Precinct 2, for example. One would open all of Precinct 1, complete that, and then move on to Precinct 2.

We know based on our interviews that Ms. Gamble provided the total of the envelopes that the Absentee Ballot Board should have the morning of the opening process. And also, based on our interviews of the election judges, we were able to determine that they counted the envelopes that they were provided in like an expandable folder that had been locked in the absentee room. They were provided the folder and the number. They counted the amount of envelopes to the list that they were provided.

And then your opening process would be opening all of the signature envelopes; pulling all of the secrecy envelopes out, setting those to the side; and then opening

JULIE HANSON - CROSS-EXAMINATION

all of the secrecy envelopes, removing the ballots. And then you have a total of signature envelopes, total of secrecy envelopes, and a total of ballots.

And then the ballots are also examined, and they get initialed by the Absentee Ballot Board to confirm that it was the accurate precinct as well as that it wasn't something that could not be run through the machine; for example, it was torn or damaged in some way.

- Q. Did Ms. Gamble describe to you the source of the number that she provided to the Absentee Ballot Board for the number of ballots and envelopes that they should be counting?
- A. She did not, actually. We were told by one of the other election judges step-by-step how everything was processed, and he indicated to us that he was given that by Ms. Gamble.
- Q. Did this individual indicate whether the numbers of envelopes and ballots that were counted during the opening process reconciled with the numbers provided by Ms. Gamble?
- A. He did. He could only tell us for sure for the precincts that he opened himself. He could not recall which precincts he had opened from that long ago, but he did indicate that all three of them that were opening at the time were having conversations. As we talked about, there's human error, right? You might misfile a Shakopee 10 envelope in with Shakopee 1. So they were having conversations about balancing throughout the day until their opening was

- 1 complete.
- 2 Q. Through the course of your investigation, do you have any
- 3 evidence to suggest that the numbers did not balance when
- 4 they completed that opening process on October 17th?
- 5 A. I do not.
- 6 Q. And based on your investigation, is it your understanding
- 7 that the Absentee Ballot Board counted the ballots
- 8 themselves?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Who is the individual that then you spoke with regarding the
- 11 process of opening those ballots?
- 12 A. A gentleman named Rocky -- and I apologize, I'm not sure --
- Swearengin is the last name I believe? I'm not sure how to
- pronounce that, but he was the gentleman that we spoke with.
- 15 Q. Okay. And he was an election judge with Shakopee?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Did the Shakopee Absentee Ballot Board accept any absentee
- 18 ballots on October 17th?
- 19 A. They did.
- 20 Q. Do you know how many?
- 21 A. I know -- I know how many from Precinct 10.
- 22 | O. Sorry --
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 Q. -- let me ask the question differently.
- 25 A. Sure.

- Q. Do you know how many absentee ballots from Precinct 10 the Shakopee Absentee Ballot Board accepted on October 17th?
- 3 A. I do. Seventeen.

- Q. Were these included in the set of ballots that were opened and prepared for counting by the City on October 17th?
 - A. Not from what we've been able to gather, no.
 - Q. And what's the basis for that conclusion?
 - A. We know, as I stated, based on the time frames for what activities were done when. The Ballot Board convened about 10:00 a.m. At that time, there was just two members of the Ballot Board, which is fine. One of the judges that was participating in opening was coming in late that day. So both Rocky and Latisha Porter did the Absentee Ballot Board together first.

Those envelopes, once they were accepted by them, were then brought back to the absentee ballot room and stored in a locked box or a filing cabinet. And they began opening about 11:00 with the three of them -- so the third Absentee Ballot Board judge did come in about 11:00 -- those absentee ballots, the 17. And then there were a subsequent three people that also voted on the 17th that were not accepted until the 18th. Those were not accepted in SVRS by the clerk until approximately noon, and their opening process had already begun about 11:00. We did ask specifically if they had started any precincts and then anyone had come and

- brought additional ballots later and said, "Oh, here's" -
 "here's another batch," and we were told no. They only

 opened what was already available to them as of about 11:00

 on Thursday, the 17th.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- MR. ZOLL: Can we take a look at Exhibit 3? And once you're able to pull that up, we'll want to look specifically at page 12.
- 9 BY MR. ZOLL:
- Q. This is the absentee voting manual or the document that was prepared by your staff. Are these the procedures for opening the absentee ballots from the envelopes?
- 13 A. It is.
- Q. Okay. And the first item, does that simply describe when that process can start?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me look at item number two, which says, "Check recorded absentee ballot return envelopes and verify the number with SVRS accepted absentee ballot audit report."
- Is it your understanding that that was done as part of the opening process?
- 22 A. It is.
- Q. And would that have been, to your understanding, performed by Ms. Gamble?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. That's where those numbers came from?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- Q. And item number three refers to separating the absentee ballot return envelope and the secrecy envelope. Was that performed do you know?
- 6 A. It was.
- Q. How about item four of placing empty absentee ballot return envelopes in a box? Is it your understanding that that was performed?
- 10 A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

- Q. And then item five is a number of sub-bullet points. I'd ask you just to look through those, and my question is going to be this: But for the final bullet point of "Store ballot secrecy envelope," is it your understanding that each of those bullet points in item number five were performed by the team at Shakopee that was opening the absentee ballot envelopes on October 17th?
 - A. It is, with the exception of the second bullet, "Board members must initial ballots." From our investigation, it sounds like the Ballot Board members were not the ones initialing the ballots. It was a combination of Ballot Board members and staff before they were run through a tabulator.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether the failure to initial a ballot by an election official invalidates that vote in any way?
- 25 A. To my understanding, it does not. During the recount

- process, we are specifically told if a ballot is not initialed, that that is not a basis for challenge.
 - Q. And is it your understanding that the ballots that had been opened and removed from the secrecy envelopes were securely stored, as indicated in point number six?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

22

23

- 7 MR. ZOLL: We can take down Exhibit 3.
- 8 BY MR. ZOLL:
 - Q. You indicated that the 17 absentee ballots that were accepted by the Shakopee Absentee Ballot Board at the beginning of the day on October 17th were stored in a locked box and cabinet.

 Am I correct in my recollection?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How do you know that?
- A. Just based on the interviews that we performed. The Ballot
 Board members that were part of the opening process indicated
 that they did go to the cabinet, the filing cabinet, bring
 the ballots to the City chambers, where they were opened, and
 then returned them, as well as any time they did Ballot
 Board, they took those envelopes and brought them to the
 storage container themselves.
 - Q. Let me just ask you this: Do you have any reason to doubt the trustworthiness of the individuals that you interviewed as part of your investigation?
- 25 A. I do not.

- Q. Do you question the reliability of the information that they conveyed to you?
- 3 A. I do not.

- Q. So after that ballot opening process was completed on
 October 17, how many absentee ballots remained sealed in
 their envelopes at the Shakopee early voting location?
 - A. To my recollection, I believe there were 99 in total. That is what we've been able to determine based on the dates that things were accepted and then opened on Friday, the 18th.
 - Q. All right. And then let me ask a narrower question: After the ballot opening process or the envelope opening process on October 17, how many absentee ballots for Precinct 10 remained sealed in their envelopes at the Shakopee early voting location?
 - A. 20.
 - Q. What did -- I'll just ask it this way: What happened with those 20 ballots, based on your investigation? Did they -- you had testified that the Absentee Ballot Board members informed you that they had placed them in a locked cabinet after they had accepted them. Can you walk us through the life of those envelopes as you were able to reconstruct through your investigation from that point forward?
 - A. Absolutely. It appears as though the morning of -- well, let's back up. As you stated, those envelopes were placed into the locked filing cabinet. They were stored overnight

until the morning of the 18th. The final accepting of the 1 absentee envelopes was done Friday morning, the 18th, at 2 3 approximately 9:30. And then those envelopes would have been 4 opened. We have not been able to determine that any of the 5 6 Absentee Ballot Board was actually involved in that process. 7 It looks like the final accepting and opening was done by the city clerk. 8 And when you refer to the "final accepting" on October 18th 9 Q. 10 at approximately 9:30, do you know how many ballots were accepted in that process? 11 I know for --12 Α. 13 For Precinct 10. 0. 14 Precinct 10? Α. 15 Thank you. Ο. There were three. 16 Α. 17 Okay. One of these times I'm going to remember to add Q. "Precinct 10" to my question. 18 19 When -- I'm sorry if you said this: When the 20 remaining 20 envelopes were opened, do you know who performed 21 that action of opening those envelopes? 22 It appears as though that was done by Lori Hensen. Α. 23 Q. What's the basis for that conclusion? 24 Some of that was based on she was the one to accept those Α. envelopes into SVRS, which, from what we were able to 25

1		determine, was the normal practice. The Absentee Ballot
2		Board would accept the envelope and she would mark them in
3		the Statewide Voter Registration System. But we also were
4		not able to determine based on our interviews that there was
5		anyone else involved in opening other than the three people
6		who opened on Thursday.
7	Q.	Did you interview Lori Hensen as part of your investigation?
8	A.	We did.
9	Q.	Did she inform you as to whether or not she had opened those
10		envelopes?

- 11 A. She stated she had not; that she was doing another activity 12 at that time.
- 13 Q. Did you find that statement to be credible?
- 14 A. I did not.
- 15 Q. Why not?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. In speaking with anyone involved in the elections process at all, no one else had been involved in the opening of envelopes except the three people, as I indicated, and Ms. Hensen herself, and two of those three people did not even work on Friday. So Friday afternoon is when Mr. Swearengin came in at about noon, and those envelopes, according to the timestamps in SVRS, were accepted much earlier than that.

We also interviewed the rest of the City employees that were involved in elections towards the beginning of our

1		process. They indicated they were not involved in accepting
2		or opening envelopes at any time during the process.
3	Q.	Okay. Thank you.
4		You were asked a question as to whether it's your
5		best guess that the envelopes were thrown away. Do you
6		recall that question?
7	A.	I do.
8	Q.	Do you have any other explanation for what happened to the
9		secrecy envelopes?
10	A.	I do not.
11	Q.	You were also asked questions about the fact that there's no
12		incident log reflecting the 21-ballot discrepancy for
13		Shakopee. Is it surprising to you that you don't have an
14		incident log reflecting that?
15	Α.	I would say yes and no. We were we were the ones that
16		reported the error to Shakopee, and that is not the normal
17		part of the absentee or the absentee room or the election
18		day process at all. So I didn't record that on an incident
19		log.
20		I have seen an interrupt tape from our machines at
21		one point with what I've been told is Lori Hensen's

I have seen an interrupt tape from our machines at one point with what I've been told is Lori Hensen's handwriting on it that does indicate that there were 309 ballots for Shakopee 10, not the 329, but there was no indication on the tape of a minus-20 or anything like that. There was an indication for a minus-1 for Shakopee 12A.

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And are you able to tell the date that that interrupt tape was run?
 - A. I am not. Based on our interviews and the questioning that we've done of folks, I believe it was actually run on election day. That is when we determined that Lori was actually balancing the absentee, was on election day.

MR. ZOLL: Can we just take a look at Exhibit 203?

THE COURT: And 203 has already been received.

MR. ZOLL: Thank you.

10 BY MR. ZOLL:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. What is this?
 - A. This would be the interrupt tape that I was describing. I did ask -- I was able to obtain this tape as well. I did ask the city administrator if there was anything else attached, and there was not. But this gives us -- every ballot is assigned a ballot ID number. We started the County with Belle Plaine Township at 4001 and go all the way through 4079. So -- and by the handwriting on this, you can see Jackson 1, Jackson 2, Louisville, Louisville. The City of Shakopee also performed absentee voting for those two township voters. And then we would get into the Shakopee precincts.

As we've talked about, some of these have a split,

1	so ballot ID number 4060, according to the tape, is Shakopee
2	Precinct 4, School District 181. 4061 is School District 720
3	but for the same precinct, and so on and so forth. And if
4	you get down to ballot ID number 4069, that would be I
5	apologize 4068, that would be Shakopee Precinct 10. I
6	would have anticipated that this should have said 329, but it
7	does, in fact, indicate 309.

- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you. Just a few more questions.
- 9 A. Sure.
- Q. Is it your conclusion that the 20 uncounted ballots from
 Precinct 10 were lost during the process of opening and
 preparing for counting the absentee ballots that were
 accepted on October 17 and 18?
- 14 A. It is.
- Q. In the course of your investigation, have you identified any other plausible explanation for when or how the 20 uncounted ballots were lost?
- 18 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you able to identify the 20 individuals who cast the absentee ballots for Precinct 10 in Shakopee that were accepted by the City of Shakopee on October 17 and 18?
 - A. I believe that we have, yes.

MR. ZOLL: Can we just pull up one more time Exhibit 9?

25

22

23

- 1 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 2 Q. Are the individuals who cast the 20 absentee ballots for
- 3 Precinct 10 that were accepted by the City of Shakopee on
- October 17 and 18 identified in this Exhibit 9 as "VOTER #1,"
- 5 "VOTER #2," and so on?
- 6 A. They would be, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And just to confirm, is it your conclusion that the
- 8 individuals identified as VOTER #1 through VOTER #20 on
- 9 Exhibit 9 are the individuals who cast the 20 uncounted
- 10 ballots from Shakopee Precinct 10?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Are you confident in that conclusion?
- 13 A. I am confident.
- 14 Q. Have you identified any other plausible explanation for the
- 15 source of the 20 uncounted ballots?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. You were asked whether you're absolutely certain that the 20
- 18 voters identified by the County marked or cast those ballots.
- 19 Do you recall that question?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Do you currently have any doubt that the 20 voters
- identified by the County cast the uncounted ballots?
- 23 A. I don't have any doubt.
- 24 Q. Do you have -- and I'm sorry, it's going to be a repetitive
- 25 question: But do you have any doubt in that conclusion

JULIE HANSON - REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1		that's based on reason or common sense?
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	Do you have any doubt in that conclusion that is not fanciful
4		or not capricious?
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	Is it fair to say that when you testified that you can't be
7		absolutely certain, that you were just acknowledging the mere
8		possibility of doubt?
9	A.	Yes.
10		MR. ZOLL: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
11		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12		Mr. LeBeau?
13		Just to inform on timing, it would be my intent to
14		keep going until you are concluded.
15		MR. LeBEAU: Okay. Thank you.
16		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY M	IR. LeBEAU:
18	Q.	Ms. Hanson, your conclusions that are reflected in your
19		affidavit and the letter from the County are informed by
20		information that you received from Ms. Hensen at Shakopee,
21		the City of Shakopee; correct?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	And you earlier stated that you found her not to be credible;
24		is that correct?
25	A.	It is.
	1	

JULIE HANSON - REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- Q. So the conclusions that you are making are based on information received by somebody that you don't trust or you don't find credible and potentially skewed by bad information. Isn't that true?
- 5 MR. ZOLL: Objection, leading.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 7 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- Q. Is there reason to believe that the information that you received is not credible?
- 10 A. It's possible the information we received from Ms. Hensen is
 11 not credible, but she was not our sole source of information
 12 as well.
- Q. But she was the sole source of information concerning the activities that she took when she was acting alone; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. And when she was acting alone, was that the time period where the majority of the ballots in question were processed?
- 18 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- Q. And I believe you stated earlier that Ms. Hensen -
 Ms. Hensen is -- I forget the way you phrased it. But she

 has not claimed responsibility for the missing ballots; is

 that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 0. (Pause.)
- MR. LeBEAU: Sorry, Your Honor. I'm just going

- 1 through some notes here.
- THE COURT: That's fine. Take your time.
- 3 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 4 Q. Earlier you were asked -- and we don't need to pull it back
- 5 up, but with regard to the handbook, that the only part of
- 6 it -- referring to number five -- that wasn't performed was
- 7 keeping the envelope and initialing the ballot. Do you
- 8 recall that conversation?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I can pull it up if you'd like.
- 11 A. No, that's okay. Thank you.
- 12 Q. But isn't it also true that part of what wasn't performed in
- number five was actually separating the ballot from the
- 14 secrecy envelope?
- 15 A. That -- you're right; that would be correct.
- 16 Q. Kind of a big deal, right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So your understanding of where this error occurred and how it
- occurred is contingent upon the veracity -- partially
- 20 contingent upon the veracity of what Ms. Hensen told you;
- 21 correct?
- MR. ZOLL: Objection, leading.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 24 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- Q. Did Ms. Hensen give you any indication of where the error in

- 1 the ballots came from?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. What did she say with regard to -- or what did she indicate with regard to her role in missing ballots?
- 5 A. She indicated that she did not participate in opening; that it must have been the other city staff.
- 7 Q. And your conclusion was that she did?
- 8 A. I'm sorry?
- 9 Q. Was your conclusion that she did have a role in that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Who was responsible -- you answered this earlier, so I just
 want to get on to this other questioning, but who was
 responsible for processing the -- in your investigation, the
 ballots in question?
- 15 A. It appears --
- MR. ZOLL: Objection, vague.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained. Why don't you rephrase it.
- 18 MR. LeBEAU: Sure.
- 19 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- Q. Who was responsible for processing the 20 ballots that appear to have gone missing?
- A. From the course of our investigation, it looks like it was

 Ms. Hensen.
- Q. And I think, based on your earlier testimony, you indicated that -- was she acting with somebody else or was she alone?

- A. We have not been able to determine that she was acting with anyone else. We believe she was acting alone.
- Q. And would that have included also -- the processing piece,
- 4 not just removing it from the secrecy ballot, but would that
- 5 have also involved uploading it into the SVRS system?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And so the information that we see in Exhibit -- (pause) -- I
- 8 believe the last exhibit that we looked at was 201? The
- 9 spreadsheet?
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. The information contained on there indicating what day a
- ballot was accepted, based on your investigation, who would
- that have been entered by?
- 14 A. Ms. Hensen.
- 15 Q. In your experience, have you ever had ballot -- a ballot's
- 16 precinct mismarked?
- 17 A. Can you clarify what you mean by "mismarked"?
- 18 Q. You had indicated that on the ballot the precinct is marked
- 19 for what -- the precinct the ballot corresponds with?
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. Has that ever been mismarked?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Is that part of the printing that's done before you get it?
- 24 A. It is. It's part of the programming with our election
- software, and then the printing itself.

- Q. Is it true that an absentee ballot can be cured or changed after it's cast, as long as it's done by election day?
 - A. If you mean like can it be retrieved by the voter, if they're able to change their mind, yes, as long as it's done before those envelopes are opened and cast through a tabulator. So normal process would be -- we call that "clawing back a ballot." Someone would be able to claw back their ballot generally through the end of business on October 17th. After that time, they are run into the tabulator, intermingled, so at that point, someone would not be able to claw back their ballot and revote.
 - Q. Are the number of ballots that are missing in this case different -- or greater than the difference between the candidates for House Race 54A?
- 15 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. In your time and experience as the Elections Director for Scott County, have you ever experienced an error like this before?
- 19 A. I have not.
- Q. How would you characterize the scope of the error that is currently being dealt with?
- 22 A. Very large. This is a -- it's a big deal.
- Q. Do you believe that Ms. Hensen followed the procedures that are laid out in your absentee ballot handbook?
- 25 A. I do not.

Q. What remaining steps do you have in the course of your investigation?

A. We are waiting to retrieve any camera-footage data. We had a digital forensic specialist from our sheriff's office obtain the camera footage from the City of Shakopee. What they were able to deliver for us was after the Absentee Ballot Board opening them and the Ballot Boards concluded, so we were not able to see any footage in the Council chambers of what had occurred. But according to the specialist, there's a possibility that there could be additional data stored that the City wouldn't normally know how to get to.

There's a BCA program that can be utilized to be able to extract some of that. We are going to go through with that and continue with that investigation, but it is a lot of data; like I said, I believe 27 terabytes of data. And we will only be able to utilize -- and "we," I mean the sheriff's office -- able to utilize the BCA program for just a period of time. So not only will it have to extract all of that data and store all of that data, but then of course we'll have to look through it as well. To my understanding, that likely won't be until sometime in January.

Q. I just want to go back to just the facts of the day in question. You had indicated that you had spoken with the other three election judges; correct? Absent from Ms. Hensen.

- A. We were able to speak to two out of the three Absentee Ballot
 Board judges. One did not respond to our inquiry.
 - Q. So is it fair to say that those would be the -- the three people that you spoke with are the three people that would have the most knowledge about the missing ballots?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

4

5

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And how did you characterize the versions of the stories that you've gotten from them?
- I would say that they've lined up very well. 9 Α. They were able 10 to provide a lot of context that being sort of sitting outside of the process that we didn't have between the two in 11 particular. We did also speak with folks that worked in the 12 absentee room that really weren't involved in opening. We 13 14 spoke with the additional city staff, the assistant city administrator. Everybody was able to provide a little piece 15 of the puzzle. But yes, the Absentee Ballot Board, the two 16 17 in particular that we spoke with, provided the most context for us. 18
 - Q. And did their -- did their version of what occurred line up with what you learned from Ms. Hensen?
 - A. Not entirely. We honestly went into this thinking that these envelopes probably disappeared from the afternoon of the 17th when all of the opening occurred. But based on all the interviews and the timelines, the time stamps of everything, we don't believe that to be true. We believe that to be the

- morning of the 18th. And no one was able to -- no one else
 was involved in that process that we've been able to speak
 with, so no one was able to confirm or deny what had happened
 on the morning of the 18th.
 - Q. So there's others that may have information that would be pertinent to this?
 - A. I don't know that there's anyone else we could speak with, no. If Ms. Hensen is the one doing the activity and she was alone, there's no one else that we could talk to that could provide any framework around it.
 - Q. And you've concluded that whatever Ms. Hensen told you was not credible; is that right?
 - A. There could be portions of what she told us that were not credible. She told us the morning of the 18th that she was preparing Health Care Facility ballots. Based on the time stamps involved in the SVRS system, that doesn't appear to be true. That was not done until much later. She was, however, accepting the final ballots that were envelope-voted on the 17th.
 - Q. So is it possible that some of the information that she has uploaded into the SVRS system or other processing that she has done was not done in accordance with the absentee ballot handbook?
 - A. It is possible.

Q. Is it possible that that information that you are relying on

JULIE HANSON - RECROSS-EXAMINATION

1	in your investigation is clouded by what Ms. Hensen has told
2	you?
3	A. I don't know that I fully understand your question. I'm
4	sorry. Can you clarify for me?
5	Q. Sure. Are you does your investigation rely on information
6	that you've obtained from Ms. Hensen?
7	A. Honestly, no. I would say our information that we obtained
8	from everyone else involved in the process is how we've come
9	to our conclusion, not the information that she gave us.
10	Q. But is she the only one that would have firsthand knowledge
11	of what happened with the ballots in question?
12	A. Yes.
13	MR. LeBEAU: I think that's all I have, Your Honor
14	Thank you.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	Mr. Zoll, do you have any questions?
17	MR. ZOLL: Yes, but I as every lawyer says in
18	this circumstance, I think I can be brief.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. ZOLL:
22	Q. You were asked just now about information that Lori Hensen
23	would have input into the SVRS indicating the date that the
24	20 absentee ballots were accepted. Do you recall those
25	questions?

JULIE HANSON - RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Looking at the absentee ballot applications associated with
- 3 those ballots, are you able to determine when those voters
- 4 would have cast those ballots?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And based upon your interviews, do you have an understanding
- as to whether the Absentee Ballot Board would have accepted
- 8 those 20 ballots on October 17th?
- 9 A. To my understanding, there were 17 of the 20 that were
- accepted on the 17th by the Absentee Ballot Board.
- 11 Q. Are you able to see in the SVRS system who updates the
- information regarding the status of a ballot?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. It's linked back to a user?
- 15 A. It is.
- 16 Q. Do you know who marked those 17 ballots as being accepted?
- 17 A. I do. It was Ms. Hensen.
- 18 Q. Okay. Okay. But looking at the absentee ballot applications
- for those voters, you can determine the date that they voted;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And looking at the signature envelopes, you can see that they
- were accepted; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. The only information Ms. Hensen would have put into the

JULIE HANSON - RECROSS-EXAMINATION

1 system would be the date on which that acceptance occurred; 2 correct? 3 MR. LeBEAU: I'll object. THE COURT: On what ground? 4 5 MR. LeBEAU: Leading. 6 THE COURT: It's recross, so overruled. 7 Go ahead. 8 Α. Yes. BY MR. ZOLL: 9 10 Ο. You testified that -- strike that. I think I want to ask the question a little bit differently. 11 12 You spoke with two out of the three members of the 13 Absentee Ballot Board for the City of Shakopee in the course 14 of your investigation; is that correct? 15 Α. Yes. And specifically with respect to October 18th, were you able 16 Ο. 17 to ascertain whether the two individuals you spoke with were part of the process of opening the absentee ballots? 18 19 Α. We were. 20 And can you remind me how you were able to ascertain that? Ο. 21 Α. Sure. Both through conversations with the two folks that we 22 had as well as their time cards that were provided by the 23 City of Shakopee and the time stamps in SVRS, we were able to determine that they did, in fact, participate in the opening 24

process the afternoon of the 17th, but they did not on the

25

JULIE HANSON - FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 1 morning of the 18th.
- Q. And with respect to the member of the Absentee Ballot Board
- with whom you did not speak, were you able to ascertain
- 4 whether that individual was involved in the opening of
- 5 ballots on the morning of October 18th?
- 6 A. We were, and she did not work on the morning of the 18th.
- 7 Q. And how did you determine that that individual did not work
- 8 on the morning of October 18?
- 9 A. Based on the time cards provided by the City of Shakopee.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- MR. ZOLL: No further questions.
- 12 THE COURT: All right.
- 13 Mr. LeBeau?
- 14 MR. LeBEAU: Thank you. Just very quickly.
- 15 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 17 | Q. Thank you for your time this morning.
- 18 A. You're welcome.
- 19 Q. How many ballots are missing?
- 20 MR. ZOLL: Objection; this is beyond the scope of
- 21 recross.
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- Q. Who processed the ballots that are missing?
- 25 A. It appears as though Lori Hensen did.

JULIE HANSON - FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1	Q. And did she follow the procedures laid out in your handbook
2	for processing these ballots?
3	MR. ZOLL: Objection; once again, beyond the scope
4	of recross.
5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	Well counsel, why don't you approach.
7	(Off-the-record bench discussion.)
8	BY MR. LeBEAU:
9	Q. Earlier sorry.
10	MR. LeBEAU: I'm good, Your Honor. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12	(The witness was excused.)
13	THE COURT: So, we are going to be in recess until
14	1:30. We'll reconvene at that time.
15	(Lunch recess.)
16	THE COURT: We'll go on the record.
17	The Court and the parties counsel have had
18	some discussions. I understand that the parties were able to
19	reach an agreement and a stipulation that eliminates the need
20	for some witness testimony. I've written the stipulation
21	down and read it in the presence of the parties for their
22	agreement to it, and I'll read it here then in open court.
23	And counsel, I will just ask if that's, in fact,
24	the stipulation. All right?
25	First, this type of election contest is a matter of

1	first impression.
2	Second, preservation of secrecy envelopes is the
3	best practice.
4	Third, there are more voters in a regular election
5	than in a special election.
6	Mr. LeBeau, is that the stipulation?
7	MR. LeBEAU: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Zoll?
9	MR. ZOLL: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11	Mr. LeBeau, why don't you go ahead and call your
12	next witness.
13	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you, Your Honor. I would call
14	the Mayor of Shakopee, Matt Lehman.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	Mr. Lehman, you can come up here to the witness
17	stand. Before you ascend the ramp, why don't you stop in the
18	well and I'll get you sworn in. You can come forward. Thank
19	you.
20	Sir, could you please raise your right hand?
21	(The oath was administered.)
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. You may go ahead and have a
24	seat on the witness stand.
25	Let's go off the record for a second.

1		(Off-the-record discussion.)
2		THE COURT: You may proceed.
3		MR. LeBEAU: Thank you.
4		MATT LEHMAN,
5	havi	ng been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his
6	oath	as follows:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
8	BY MR. LeBEAU:	
9	Q.	Good afternoon, sir. Can you please identify yourself for
10		the record?
11	A.	My name is Matt Lehman. I'm the Mayor of Shakopee.
12	Q.	And where do you live?
13	A.	In Shakopee. Do you want my address?
14	Q.	Yes, please.
15	A.	815 East Eighth Avenue, Shakopee.
16	Q.	And what's your occupation?
17	A.	Currently I'm semi-retired. I did 40 years in the automotive
18		industry.
19	Q.	And as we mentioned earlier, you are an elected official, and
20		what's your position?
21	A.	I've been an elected City Council member since November of
22		2001, and I was elected Mayor in November of '22. '22. So
23		I've been a mayor two years now.
24	Q.	And are you a member of the Scott County Canvassing Board?
25	A.	I am.

- Q. And how did you obtain that position?
- 2 A. Well, I didn't know that I was a part of it until I received
- an email saying "You're a part of this," and I come to learn
- 4 that it's part of state statute who was to sit on the County
- 5 Canvassing Board. And it includes the mayor of the largest
- 6 city of the County -- that's my understanding -- a couple of
- 7 county commissioners, and the court administrator, I think it
- is, and I'm not sure about the last one.
- 9 Q. And did you serve on the Scott County Canvassing Board during
- 10 this last election?
- 11 | A. I did.

1

- 12 Q. And did the Canvassing Board certify the results of this
- 13 election?
- 14 A. They did.
- 15 Q. And how did you vote on that --
- 16 A. Well, it wasn't a vote; it was a signature on a document of
- what was the results of the ballots that they had in hand.
- 18 | Q. And would those results be reflected in what we have as
- 19 Exhibit 5, the second abstract of the election? I can show
- 20 it to you. It's the --
- 21 A. Yeah, I don't have that, so I don't know. I'm going to
- assume if it's the same thing that came from Scott County
- election administration, that that would be the correct
- 24 document.
- MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, Exhibit 5 is already taken

1 into --

THE COURT: It has been received.

3 BY MR. LeBEAU:

- Q. Mr. Mayor, were you aware of the potential for missing ballots while you were on the Canvassing Board?
- A. Well, we met twice. We were aware at the first Canvassing
 Board meeting that there was 329 sign-in voters and only 309
 ballots, so we were aware of that.
- 9 Q. And who informed you of that discrepancy?
- 10 A. What is her name? Julie -- Hanson? Is that the election administrator for Scott County?
- Q. And so did you vote to approve -- I think you may have mentioned this. I'm sorry. Did you vote to approve the canvass results?
- A. I did, and it wasn't a vote by hands; it was a signing of a document. We were told that we were only to look at the ballots that they had in hand, even though I raised the question, "What about these other missing ballots?"
- 19 Q. And when you raised that question, what were you told?
- A. We were to look at only the ballots that they had in hand, physical ballots that they had in their possession.
- Q. And were you informed as to whether the missing 20 ballots
 were part of the results of the -- of the canvass -- the
 final canvass results?
- 25 A. You know, I think we asked those questions, and I'm not

really clear on that. But I assume that if we're showing precinct by precinct, that it would show Precinct 10, how many people signed in to vote and how many ballots were there. So I would assume that's in there, that we were certifying that we knew that these were missing at that time.

- Q. So do you believe the numbers in the canvass report to be correct?
- A. You know, I haven't looked deep enough to see if it shows the missing ballots, but I think the ballots on hand are correct.
 - Q. And does the canvass report -- and I can show it to you. Are you aware of whether that includes the missing 20 ballots?
- 12 A. I don't -- I'm not sure if it does or not.
- 13 Q. Let me --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

19

20

21

- 14 A. I'm actually not sure on the policy, the election law on how
 15 that's handled because, you know, there was disagreement
 16 amongst legal people of if you're only going to count ballots
 17 in hand, well, technically, they're not in hand because
 18 they're missing. I don't have an answer for you on that one.
 - Q. And so what were you advised with regard to voting on the -- or approving the canvass results with regard to the question that you raised about the missing 20 ballots?
- 22 A. Well --
- 23 MR. ZOLL: Objection, hearsay.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. LeBEAU: Oh, I'm sorry.

- 1 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- Q. What was the impression that you received regarding the missing 20 ballots and approving the canvass results?
- A. We were only -- our role is only the ballots that they have in hand.
- Q. And let me pull up the canvass -- the -- Exhibit 5 and grab that.
- 8 MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 9 THE COURT: You may, and you don't need to ask
- 10 again. That's fine.
- 11 MR. LeBEAU: Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- Q. (Handing.) Mr. Mayor, showing you what is -- or can you describe the document that I've shown you?
- 15 A. It looks like total number of votes per candidates and
 16 write-ins for one precinct in Jackson Township, Precinct 1,
- and Shakopee's 1 through 14 precincts, with a total for each
- candidate, number of write-ins.
- 19 Q. And it was -- were you here for the earlier testimony today?
- 20 A. I was not.
- Q. (Pause.) I'm trying to find what line exactly to direct you
- to. Can you tell us what the results for Precinct 10 were in
- 23 the document in front of you?
- 24 A. Mr. Paul had -- I wish I had a slide scale here -- 534, I
- believe, and Mr. Tabke had 731, with one write-in ballot.

1	Can I fold this document to make sure I'm accurate?
2	Q. Yeah, go right ahead.
3	A. (Pause.) That appears to be accurate.
4	Q. And is it your understanding that the 20 missing ballots are
5	not reflected?
6	A. My understanding is they're not, as we were only tasked with
7	the ballots that were in hand.
8	Q. Okay. And without that without those results in there,
9	what is your opinion as to the veracity of the canvass
10	report?
11	MR. ZOLL: Objection. Calls for an opinion from a
12	non-expert witness.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	BY MR. LeBEAU:
15	Q. Mr. Mayor, with the acknowledgment that the 20 missing
16	ballots are not in the report, do you believe that it
17	accurately reflects the results of the election?
18	MR. ZOLL: Same objection.
19	THE WITNESS: No.
20	THE COURT: I'll overrule it.
21	You can answer the question, sir.
22	A. Can you repeat that again? Do I think that this is an
23	accurate reflection? I think there's 20 ballots that haven't
24	been counted. And at the second Canvassing Board meeting, I
25	asked the question again, and the election administrator,

1	Julie Hanson, said that that 329 and 309 shows up in a
2	separate system called the state system? I'm not sure
3	what she called it. It was an acronym.
4	BY MR. LeBEAU:
5	Q. SVRS, I believe.
6	A. That sounds about right.
7	MR. ZOLL: Your Honor, there was no question
8	pending that elicited this testimony, and I would ask that it
9	be stricken.
10	THE COURT: All right. That's granted.
11	Sir, if you could just listen to the questions
12	Counsel is asking and just respond to those, I would
13	appreciate that. Thank you.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay. All right. So I have a
15	question.
16	THE COURT: Well, hold on. Just let's the
17	questions sort of come from counsel and not from you, so
18	we'll let Mr. LeBeau, if he's got another question, and then
19	if he does, that's fine. And then if Mr. Zoll's got
20	questions, he'll turn to you. Okay?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
22	MR. LeBEAU: I have no further questions, Your
23	Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Go ahead and
25	have a seat.

MATT LEHMAN - CROSS-EXAMINATION

1		Mr. Zoll, do you have questions?
2		MR. ZOLL: I do. Thank you.
3		CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY M	R. ZOLL:
5	Q.	Mayor Lehman, you did not yourself investigate the
6		circumstances surrounding the 20 ballots that were not
7		counted from Shakopee Precinct 10, did you?
8	A.	I did not.
9	Q.	You were not involved in the process of accepting or counting
10		absentee ballots from Shakopee during the early voting
11		process, were you?
12	A.	Counting absentee ballots. I was not.
13	Q.	As you sit here today, do you have any reason to doubt the
14		conclusions that have been drawn through Scott County's
15		investigation regarding the 20 uncounted ballots?
16	A.	My understanding is the investigation is still ongoing, and
17		I'm not sure it's final. If it is, I'm not aware that it's
18		finalized yet.
19	Q.	So let me ask the question again with the understanding
20		that well, are you familiar with the preliminary findings
21		that were issued by Scott County regarding the investigation?
22	A.	Yes, I read that on the County's website.
23	Q.	And as you sit here today, do you have any reason to doubt
24		the conclusions that were drawn in that document?

A. I don't think that conclusion is -- has a finding in it.

25

MATT LEHMAN - CROSS-EXAMINATION

1		says a lot about what they suspect happened, but there's
2		really no factual that I found. It's kinda best guess.
3	Q.	Do you have any firsthand knowledge regarding the handling of
4		the 20 ballots that were not counted from Shakopee
5		Precinct 10?
6	A.	I have no firsthand knowledge.
7		MR. ZOLL: Okay. I have no further questions.
8		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
9		Mr. LeBeau?
10		MR. LeBEAU: I don't have any further questions,
11		Your Honor.
12		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13		Thank you, sir. You may step down.
14		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. Do you want
15		this back?
16		THE COURT: Why don't you just leave it right on
17		the counter there. Thank you very much.
18		Mr. LeBeau, you can go ahead and call your next
19		witness.
20		MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I'm going to call our
21		first voter witness.
22		THE COURT: All right.
23		MR. LeBEAU: So I just want to take a minute to
24		make sure I'm following the proper procedure.
25		THE COURT: That's fine. Could you tell us which

1	voter number that is?
2	MR. LeBEAU: Yes. (Pause.) Your Honor, it's going
3	to be Voter Number 14.
4	THE COURT: All right. Why don't we have Voter
5	Number 14 come forward.
6	And Mr. LeBeau, you verified that Voter Number 14
7	is, in fact, the voter identified on the sealed spreadsheet
8	corresponding with that individual's name; is that correct?
9	MR. LeBEAU: Correct, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11	Voter 14, could you please stop right there?
12	THE WITNESS: Sure.
13	THE COURT: Just raise your right hand.
14	(The oath was administered.)
15	THE WITNESS: I do.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. You may go to the witness
17	stand and have a seat.
18	We are not asking our voter witnesses to identify
19	themselves here in court; that's consistent with the order
20	that I issued yesterday. Voters will only be identified by
21	voter number.
22	Mr. LeBeau, you may proceed.
23	And for the media, we noted in the order that voter
24	witnesses are not to be on camera. They may be
25	audio-recorded; they may not be by camera.

MR. LeBEAU: Just one moment, Your Honor. 1 I want to make sure I have the right paperwork. 2 3 VOTER NUMBER 14, 4 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified under oath as follows: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LeBEAU: 7 Voter 14, I'm going to share two documents with you, and I'd 8 Q. ask that you please identify them. (Handing.) Do you need 9 10 your glasses? Yeah. 11 Α. MR. LeBEAU: Forgot his glasses. 12 13 (Woman approached with reading glasses.) 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 BY MR. LeBEAU: Voter 14, are these a copy of your absentee ballot envelope 16 Q. 17 and your absentee ballot application? Yes, they are. 18 Α. And did you vote in the 2024 election? 19 Q. Yes, I did. 20 Α. 21 Q. And do you recall what day you voted? It was either the 16th or the 17th. I think it's the 17th. 22 Α. 23 Q. And I believe it would be indicated on the -- on the ballot. I'm sorry, on the envelope. 24 25 Α. I'm not sure -- okay, I see it now. The 15th.

- 1 Q. (Indicating.)
- 2 A. It was on the 15th.
- 3 Q. Okay. Thank you. And where did you vote?
- 4 A. City Hall. In Shakopee.
- 5 Q. Thank you. And why exactly did you vote on that particular
- 6 day?
- 7 A. I wanted to get my early voting in on that week, so I went in
- 8 that day.
- 9 Q. And did you cast a vote in the House District 54A race?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And for whom did you vote?
- 12 A. I voted for Aaron Paul.
- 13 Q. And sir, are you familiar with the facts of this case and why
- 14 we're here today?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. And how did you hear about it?
- 17 A. I had a phone call from Reid that I was amongst voters who
- their ballots were not handled properly.
- 19 Q. Voter 14, I want to -- I want to ask: How do you feel about
- 20 knowing that your ballot may have gone missing?
- 21 A. I found it very unacceptable if that was what dealt my
- 22 ballot, that it never made it through.
- 23 Q. How long have you lived in Shakopee?
- 24 A. 27 years.
- 25 Q. And have you always resided in Precinct 10?

I believe it's always been that precinct. 1 A. Is it that you've stayed in the same spot but the lines have 2 Q. 3 moved? Correct. 4 Α. How has the area changed in the time that you've lived there? 5 Q. 6 MR. ZOLL: Objection. Relevance. 7 I'll sustain the objection. THE COURT: BY MR. LeBEAU: 8 Voter 14, can you describe to the Court your feelings with 9 0. 10 having to testify as to how you voted? I wish my voice would be heard about this incident, that it's 11 Α. something that should not have happened. And I wish my 12 ballot would have made it through when I submitted it 13 14 properly. 15 I have no further questions, Your MR. LeBEAU: 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. LeBeau, just so the record's clear, as far as the absentee envelope and ballot 18 application you were showing, what exhibit number was that? 19 20 MR. LeBEAU: I'm sorry. From the redacted --21 THE COURT: Well, whatever you were showing, I just 22 want the record to reflect that. 23 MR. LeBEAU: Oh, sure. Confidential Exhibit 314. 24 THE COURT: All right.

25

I understand the confidential exhibits haven't yet

1	been offered or received into evidence; that	they aren't
2	stipulated to.	
3	MR. LeBEAU: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	
4	BY MR. LeBEAU:	
5	Q. (Handing.) Voter 14, can you re-identify wha	t those
6	documents are?	
7	A. One is the envelope signature that you submit	, and the other
8	is the absentee ballot with my name and addre	ss and what
9	precinct.	
10	Q. And are these true and accurate representation	ns of the
11	documents that you filled out and submitted t	o the City for
12	the purposes of voting?	
13	A. Yes, they are.	
14	Q. Thank you.	
15	MR. LeBEAU: I would move the admis	sion of
16	Confidential Exhibit 314.	
17	THE COURT: Any objection?	
18	MR. ZOLL: Your Honor, it's not cle	ar to me that
19	the witness was shown a version of the docume	nt that is
20	marked with the exhibit number. So I would l	ike to have that
21	clarification, and I'm happy to provide a cop	y that's marked,
22	just so we can confirm the exhibit number.	
23	THE COURT: That's fine.	
24	Go ahead. Thank you.	
25		

1	BY MR. LeBEAU:
2	Q. (Handing.)
3	A. Okay, this is this is what I submitted.
4	Q. Okay. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: All right. Then as far as 314, is
6	that do you have any objection to that?
7	MR. ZOLL: No objection.
8	THE COURT: All right, 314 will be received, that
9	being the Sealed Exhibit 314.
LO	MR. LeBEAU: No further questions.
L1	THE COURT: All right.
L2	Mr. Zoll, any questions?
L3	MR. ZOLL: I have no questions for the witness,
L4	Your Honor.
L5	THE COURT: All right.
L6	Sir, thank you for coming here today.
L7	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
L8	(The witness was excused.)
L9	THE COURT: Go ahead and call your next witness,
20	Mr. LeBeau.
21	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you, Your Honor. (Pause.)
22	Sorry for the delay. I'm just trying to get the
23	steps correct.
24	I would call Voter 15 to the stand.
25	THE COURT: All right, Voter 15?

1	Just pause for one moment, ma'am, in the well, if
2	you don't mind. You can come forward, but just if you
3	just pause there, I have something I want to briefly address.
4	Counsel, in general, I do sequester witnesses, and
5	I don't know if there are any other witnesses in the
6	courtroom. So it would be my preference that those
7	individuals are seated outside the courtroom. I had made a
8	wrong assumption about that, and I just wanted to clarify.
9	Thank you.
10	Okay. Could you raise your right hand?
11	(The oath was administered.)
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. You may have a seat on the
14	witness stand.
15	MR. ZOLL: Your Honor, can we have a brief recess
16	to confer with you for a moment?
17	THE COURT: Sure.
18	(Off-the-record bench discussion.)
19	THE COURT: If there's anyone in the gallery who is
20	going to be called as a witness today, I understand counsel
21	may have only spoken with you by phone, and therefore, they
22	may not recognize you here in court. Please, at this time,
23	if you could seat yourself outside of the courtroom, the
24	Court would appreciate that.
25	And Mr. LeBeau, as far as the witness we now have

1	on the witness stand, Voter 15, you have confirmed that
2	Voter 15 is, in fact, the person identified as Voter 15 on
3	the sealed voter identification key?
4	MR. LeBEAU: Yes.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
6	You may proceed.
7	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	VOTER NUMBER 15,
9	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified under
10	oath as follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. LeBEAU:
13	Q. Voter 15, I'm showing you two documents. (Handing.) Could
14	you please identify them?
15	A. Yeah, this is a Minnesota absentee ballot.
16	Q. And what's the other document?
17	A. It's an envelope.
18	Q. And the first one you referenced is the ballot application;
19	is that correct?
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. And is
22	THE COURT: Hold on one second.
23	You need to answer "yes" or "no," ma'am.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	

- 1 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 2 Q. And this is an exhibit marked 315; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Can you identify what these documents are? Or I'm sorry.
- 5 Are these a true and accurate representation of the documents
- 6 that you filled out as your absentee ballot application and
- 7 your absentee ballot signature envelope?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I would move Confidential
- 10 Exhibit 315 into the record.
- 11 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. ZOLL: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Sealed Exhibit 315 will be received.
- MR. LeBEAU: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 17 | Q. Voter 15, did you vote in the 2024 election?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. And on what day did you vote?
- 20 A. I think it was the 17th.
- 21 Q. And where did you vote?
- 22 A. Right here in the -- I voted right here at the -- I can't
- 23 think of the name of it.
- 24 Q. The building we're in?
- 25 A. Yes, yes, yes. I'm sorry.

- Q. No, that's just fine. And why did you vote on that particular day?
- A. There was really no particular reason. It was -- we wanted to vote early, and we just decided -- just decided to go that day.
- 6 Q. Did you vote in the House District 54 race?
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. And --
- 9 THE COURT: I'll --
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 13 Q. And for whom did you vote?
- 14 A. Aaron Paul.
- 15 Q. And are you familiar with the facts of this case?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And how did you hear about it?
- 18 A. I heard about it through the news and -- and then you had called us.
- Q. And how does it make you feel to know your ballot may have gone missing?
- A. It upsets me a lot because it was a major election, and not to have my voice heard as to who I want really upset me.
- Q. And when you were voting at the City of Shakopee location,
 can you describe the process that you went through to cast a

VOTER NUMBER 15 - CROSS-EXAMINATION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

A. We had to -- we had to sign an absentee form, and then we were given an envelope to put that in and another envelope to put both of those in. When we -- when we received those forms, we walked to a voting booth, voted. We put our vote -- our voting form in an envelope, we put that in another envelope, and then we gave it to somebody or put it in a box.

MR. LeBEAU: Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Zoll?

MR. ZOLL: Just one clarifying question.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. ZOLL:

- Q. You were asked whether you voted in the election for House District 54A. Just for clarification, when you responded to that question, you were responding that you voted in the election for House District 54A?
- 21 | A. Uh-huh.
- 22 O. Okay, not House District 54B?
- 23 A. Well, I guess I'm not really -- I'm not really sure.
- Q. You would have voted for the House District in which Brad
 Tabke and Aaron Paul were the candidates; is that correct?

VOTER NUMBER 15 - CROSS-EXAMINATION

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that was clear for the
3		record.
4	A.	Yes.
5		MR. ZOLL: No further questions.
6		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7		You may step down. Thank you.
8		(The witness was excused.)
9		THE COURT: Mr. LeBeau, are the witness's exhibits,
10		though, still on the witness stand?
11		MR. LeBEAU: Oh.
12		THE COURT: Thank you.
13		You can go ahead and call your next witness.
14		MR. LeBEAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
15		Call Voter 17.
16		THE COURT: All right. Voter 17. And I assume
17		that person may be seated outside the courtroom?
18		MR. LeBEAU: Correct. May I go get them?
19		THE COURT: You may.
20		MR. LeBEAU: Thanks.
21		(Witness entered the courtroom.)
22		THE COURT: Voter 17, if you could come forward to
23		this area right almost by the ramp, then I can get you sworn
24		in. Thank you.
25		Could you please raise your right hand?

1	(The oath was administered.)
2	THE WITNESS: I do.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. You may lower your hand and
4	have a seat.
5	Mr. LeBeau, as far as Voter 17's identity, have you
6	confirmed that in fact Voter 17 is the person identified on
7	the sealed voter identification key?
8	MR. LeBEAU: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	MR. LeBEAU: And I have a different copy. I have a
11	larger copy than what they have marked. Do you just want to
12	confirm they're the same?
13	MR. ZOLL: I prefer that he just identify it.
14	MR. LeBEAU: Okay.
15	VOTER NUMBER 17,
16	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified under
17	oath as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. LeBEAU:
20	Q. (Handing.) Voter 17, can you identify the exhibits that I've
21	shown you?
22	A. The top one is the envelope, security envelope I believe, and
23	then the second one is the absentee ballot application, 2024.
24	THE COURT: Could you tell me what exhibit you're
25	looking at?

- THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Exhibit 317.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Yep.
- 4 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- Q. Voter 17, are these a true and accurate copy of your absentee
- 6 ballot application and your absentee ballot envelope?
- 7 A. They appear to be those documents, yep.
- 8 MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I would move Confidential
- 9 Exhibit 317 into the record.
- 10 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 11 MR. ZOLL: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. 317 will be received.
- 13 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 14 Q. Voter 17, did you vote in the 2024 election?
- 15 A. I did.
- 16 Q. On what day did you vote?
- 17 A. The 15th of October.
- 18 | O. And where did you vote?
- 19 A. Shakopee City Hall.
- 20 Q. And did you -- did you go by yourself or did you go in a
- 21 group?
- 22 A. No, my wife and I went.
- 23 | Q. And why did you vote on that particular day?
- 24 A. We were going to be out of the country on election day.
- 25 Q. Are you familiar -- oh, did you vote in the House District

VOTER NUMBER 17 - DIRECT EXAMINATION

1		54A race?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	And for whom did you vote?
4	A.	Aaron Paul.
5	Q.	Are you familiar with the facts of this case?
6	A.	Briefly. Since we were out of the country, we weren't
7		following it that closely. I understand that there were a
8		number of ballots that were not counted, and mine happened to
9		be one of those ballots.
10	Q.	How does it make you feel knowing that your ballot may not
11		have been counted?
12	A.	Frankly, I feel like I've been robbed. It makes me question
13		the integrity of our voting system actually.
14	Q.	How does it make you feel to have to testify today about how
15		you voted?
16	A.	I mean, quite frankly, it shouldn't have to happen. With the
17		technology this country has, we should be able to get this
18		down ironclad, so
19	Q.	Do you have an opinion of what you think is a fair result of
20		your vote not being counted?
21	A.	A fair result of my vote not being counted?
22		THE COURT: Counsel
23		MR. ZOLL: Objection.
24		THE COURT: approach, please.
25		(Off-the-record bench discussion.)

VOTER NUMBER 17 - DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	MR. LeBEAU: I have no further questions, Your
2	Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. LeBeau.
4	Mr. Zoll?
5	MR. ZOLL: No questions.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step
7	down.
8	(The witness was excused.)
9	THE COURT: I'll just make a brief record of the
10	sidebar conference. I indicated that it was not relevant
11	what the voter felt was the appropriate remedy. That's a
12	question of law for the Court's determination in this case.
13	Do you have another witness, Mr. LeBeau?
14	MR. LeBEAU: I do, Your Honor, and actually, one of
15	the county commissioners is now available to appear by Zoom.
16	THE COURT: All right. We'll get that set up. It
17	might take us a moment, so we'll go off the record.
18	(Off-the-record discussion.)
19	THE COURT: We'll go back on the record.
20	Mr. LeBeau, could you just indicate again your
21	witness's name?
22	MR. LeBEAU: It's Commissioner Dave Beer.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	Commissioner Beer, you've been called as a witness
25	in the case. Are you able to hear us?

DAVID BEER - DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	THE WITNESS: I can hear you, yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. You're testifying here
3	remotely via Zoom. I'll have you raise your right hand. All
4	right. Thank you.
5	(The oath was administered.)
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. You may lower your hand.
8	Can you please state and spell your full name?
9	THE WITNESS: David Beer. D-A-V-I-D, B-E-E-R.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11	You may proceed, Mr. LeBeau.
12	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	DAVID BEER,
14	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his
15	oath remotely, via Zoom, as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. LeBEAU:
18	Q. Mr. Beer, can you tell us where you live?
19	A. 4439 Coachman Lane, Prior Lake, Minnesota.
20	Q. And what's your occupation?
21	
	A. Landscape owner and also a county commissioner for the County
22	A. Landscape owner and also a county commissioner for the County of Scott, District 4.
22	of Scott, District 4.
22 23	of Scott, District 4. Q. And how long have you been a county commissioner?

DAVID BEER - DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 1 Board?
- 2 A. I was this past election, yes.
- 3 Q. And did you vote to certify the results in the 2024 election?
- 4 A. I did sign off on the abstract, yes.
- Q. And were you aware of potential discrepancies regarding the
- 6 number of ballots on that abstract?
- 7 A. On the abstract? I guess the clarification would be the numbers on the actual abstract?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. I was aware that the number on the abstract was the number of
- votes tallied through the machines.
- 12 Q. Are you aware of the issue of missing ballots in the --
- 13 A. Well aware.
- 14 Q. And what is your -- what is your awareness of the missing
- 15 ballots?
- 16 A. That there is 20 or 20-plus-one ballots that did not make it
- 17 through the tabulator.
- 18 Q. And who informed you of that?
- 19 A. I believe initially it may have been our county administrator
- or our elections official, one of those two, or both.
- 21 Q. So did you --
- 22 A. Well, it also could have been our county attorney. I mean,
- any of those three parties.
- Q. So did you vote to approve the canvass results?
- 25 A. I voted to approve the canvass results on the abstract that

DAVID BEER - CROSS-EXAMINATION

had gone through the tabulation machine, yes. 1 So not with the 20 ballots noted on the report? 2 Q. 3 Α. Correct. And why was that? 4 0. Well, we were told through our county attorney that we were 5 Α. 6 simply acknowledging the number on the abstract of votes that 7 had been tallied, and if there was to be a contest, that would be the point at which it would be triggered. 8 9 Q. So do you believe the canvass report without the missing 10 results to be accurate? MR. ZOLL: Objection. Calls for an opinion. 11 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 You may answer the question, sir. 14 THE WITNESS: State the question again, please? 15 BY MR. LeBEAU: Sure. Do you believe that the canvass report with the 16 0. 17 missing -- with the 20 missing ballots not included on the report is an accurate -- is an accurate report? 18 I do not. 19 Α. 20 Ο. Thank you. 21 MR. LeBEAU: That's all the questions I have. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 Mr. Zoll? 24 MR. ZOLL: Yeah, a few questions.

25

DAVID BEER - CROSS-EXAMINATION

	r.	
1		CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY M	IR. ZOLL:
3	Q.	Thank you, Commissioner Beer. In your testimony, you
4		indicated that the Canvassing Board had approved the vote
5		totals based on what had been run through the tabulation
6		machine? Did I hear that correctly?
7	A.	You did. Yes, that's correct.
8	Q.	Did the Canvassing Board meet a second time and certify the
9		results of the hand recount of the ballots for House District
10		54A?
11	A.	We did meet a second time to canvass the same well, I
12		guess it would be the second abstract of the votes that had
13		been hand-recounted for that race.
14	Q.	And did you sign that second abstract?
15	A.	I did sign that second abstract.
16	Q.	Did you conduct any independent investigation of the
17		circumstances surrounding the 20 ballots that were not
18		counted from Shakopee Precinct 10?
19	A.	Myself, independently?
20	Q.	Correct.
21	A.	No, I did not.
22		MR. ZOLL: No further questions.
	Ì	

THE COURT: All right. Mr. LeBeau?

MR. LeBEAU: Thank you.

23

24

25

DAVID BEER - REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY M	IR. LeBEAU:
3	Q.	Commissioner Beer, did you was there discussion of the
4		missing 20 ballots at the second canvass meeting?
5	A.	Yes, there was.
6	Q.	And what was your impression of that discussion?
7	A.	Well, I think somebody had I don't remember who had
8		mentioned it "Let's talk about the elephant in the room,"
9		to which I had mentioned, "It's the elephant and the room."
LO		It was a fairly well-known fact at that point. And so it was
L1		a point of discussion, yes.
L2	Q.	And do you believe the second canvass approval to be accurate
L3		with the missing 20 ballots?
L 4	A.	It does not include the missing 20 ballots, so it's only
L5		accurate for what was hand-recounted.
L6	Q.	Thank you.
L7		MR. LeBEAU: No further questions, Your Honor.
L8		THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr. Zoll?
L9		MR. ZOLL: No, Your Honor.
20		THE COURT: All right.
21		Thank you, sir. You may depart the Zoom meeting.
22		THE WITNESS: Thank you for your patience in
23		connecting. Thank you.
24		(The witness was excused.)
25		THE COURT: Mr. LeBeau, do you have another

1	witness?
2	MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, may I have five minutes to
3	check on who I have out there?
4	THE COURT: Sure, that's fine.
5	MR. LeBEAU: And there was one other sorry
6	Commissioner Wolf I don't believe will be available for some
7	time. I'd like to confer with Mr. Zoll, as I know he had a
8	witness that was coming as well.
9	THE COURT: All right. Sure. That's fine. We'll
10	just wait for five minutes. Thank you.
11	(A short recess was taken.)
12	THE COURT: Mr. LeBeau, did you have another
13	witness?
14	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, I'd call
15	Voter 16.
16	THE COURT: All right. Voter 16, if you could come
17	forward near this ramp here and just stop, then I'll get you
18	sworn in. Okay?
19	Would you please raise your right hand?
20	(The oath was administered.)
21	THE WITNESS: I do.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	And Mr. LeBeau, have you confirmed that Voter 16
24	is, in fact, the individual identified on the voter
25	identification key that's filed under seal?

VOTER NUMBER 16 - DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	MR. LeBEAU: I have.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed.
3	MR. LeBEAU: Thank you. I'm going to confer with
4	opposing counsel.
5	(Off-the-record discussion.)
6	VOTER NUMBER 16,
7	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified under
8	oath as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
LO	BY MR. LeBEAU:
L1	Q. (Handing.) Voter 16, can you identify the documents that I
L2	placed before you?
L3	A. It's the voter envelope and the absentee ballot.
L4	Q. And what is this exhibit marked?
L5	A. 316.
L6	Q. Thank you. And is this are these documents true and
L7	accurate representations of the absentee ballot application
L8	and absentee signature envelope that were yours and filled
L9	out by you?
20	A. Yes.
21	MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I would move Exhibit
22	Confidential Exhibit 316 into the record.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Zoll, any objection?
24	MR. ZOLL: No objection.
25	THE COURT: All right. Confidential or Sealed

VOTER NUMBER 16 - DIRECT EXAMINATION

- Exhibit 316 will be received. 1 2 MR. LeBEAU: Thank you. BY MR. LeBEAU: 3 Voter 16, did you vote in the 2024 election? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. And on what day did you vote? 7 October 15. Α. 8 And where did you vote? Q. 9 Α. At the Shakopee City hall. 10 THE COURT: Ma'am, could I -- could I ask you to 11 just come forward and just speak --12 THE WITNESS: Sure. At the Shakopee City Hall. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 BY MR. LeBEAU: 15 And why did you vote on that particular day? Q. We were going to be out of town. 16 Α. And did you vote -- did you cast a vote in the House District 17 Q. 54A race? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And for whom did you vote?
- 21 A. Rand -- Aaron Paul. Sorry. Aaron Paul.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- MR. LeBEAU: No further questions.
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Zoll?
- MR. ZOLL: No questions.

VOTER NUMBER 10 - DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step
2	down.
3	(The witness was excused.)
4	THE DEPUTY: Do you want her to keep that thing?
5	THE COURT: Oh, ma'am, why don't you
6	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
7	THE COURT: Mr. LeBeau would take that exhibit.
8	Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you.
9	MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, for my final vote witness,
10	I would call Voter 10.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	(Witness entered the courtroom.)
13	THE COURT: Voter 10, could you please come forward
14	just to this area by the ramp?
15	Thank you. And once you get there, if you could
16	just raise your right hand.
17	(The oath was administered.)
18	THE WITNESS: I do.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. You can have a seat.
20	And Mr. LeBeau, as to Voter 10, have you confirmed
21	that Voter 10 is, in fact, the individual identified as
22	Voter 10 on the voter identification key that's sealed?
23	MR. LeBEAU: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25	

VOTER NUMBER 10 - DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	VOTER NUMBER 10,
2	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified under
3	oath as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. LeBEAU:
6	Q. Voter 10, I'm showing you a document that's been marked as
7	310. Can you please identify what those documents are?
8	A. Say that again?
9	Q. Can you please identify what those documents are?
10	A. It's your application that you fill out before you get the
11	ballot.
12	Q. And what's the other document?
13	A. It's the exterior of the envelope that you put your ballot
14	into.
15	Q. And are these true and correct representations of the
16	envelope and application that you filled out for this
17	election?
18	A. Yeah.
19	MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I would
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	MR. LeBEAU: Oh, thank you.
22	I would move Exhibit 310 into the record.
23	THE COURT: Any objection?
24	MR. ZOLL: No, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: All right. So Sealed Exhibit 310 is

VOTER NUMBER 10 - DIRECT EXAMINATION

1		received.
2		And sir, if you could just speak up a little bit?
3		It's a little bit hard to hear you.
4		THE WITNESS: Okay.
5		THE COURT: Thank you.
6	BY M	IR. LeBEAU:
7	Q.	Voter 10, did you vote in the 2024 election?
8	A.	I did.
9	Q.	And on what day did you vote?
10	A.	The 16th 17 16th.
11	Q.	And where did you vote?
12	A.	At the Shakopee City Hall.
13	Q.	And why did you vote on that particular day?
14	A.	I was going to be out of town on election day.
15	Q.	And did you vote did you cast a vote in the House District
16		54A race?
17	A.	I did.
18	Q.	And for whom did you vote?
19	A.	Aaron Paul.
20		MR. LeBEAU: No further questions, Your Honor.
21		THE COURT: All right.
22		Mr. Zoll?
23		MR. ZOLL: No questions.
24		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25		Thank you, sir. You may step down.

1	(The witness was excused.)
2	MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I'm waiting on
3	Commissioner Tom Wolf, who I believe is presently in the air.
4	So I know Mr. Zoll has a witness that had some time
5	constraints, so
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	Mr. Zoll, is it all right with you if a witness is
8	called out of order?
9	MR. ZOLL: I'm happy to do that, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	MR. ZOLL: May I go to the hall and grab the
12	witness?
13	THE COURT: You may.
14	MR. ZOLL: And we'll be calling Kay Gamble.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16	(Witness entered the courtroom.)
17	THE COURT: Ms. Gamble, if you could just come
18	forward and pause here on the ramp, I'll get you sworn in.
19	Thank you.
20	Would you raise your right hand?
21	(The oath was administered.)
22	THE WITNESS: I do.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. You can have a seat on the
24	witness stand.
25	And once you're situated, if you could please state

1		and spell your full name.
2		THE WITNESS: Kay Gamble. K-A-Y, G-A-M-B-L-E.
3		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
4		You may proceed.
5		MR. ZOLL: Thank you, Your Honor.
6		KAY GAMBLE,
7	havi	ng been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on her
8	oath	as follows:
9		DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY M	R. ZOLL:
11	Q.	Thank you, Ms. Gamble. Did you receive a subpoena to testify
12		here today?
13	A.	Yes, I did.
14	Q.	Did you serve as an election judge in connection with the
15		2024 General Election?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Was that with the City of Shakopee?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Did you serve as an election judge for election day or for
20		early voting?
21	A.	Just early voting.
22	Q.	Okay. When you began working as an election judge with the
23		City of Shakopee, did you take an oath to carry out your
24		duties impartially and not in a manner that would benefit a
25		particular party or candidate?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Does that same commitment of impartiality apply to the
- 3 testimony you're providing here today?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Did you perform your duties as an election judge at a
- 6 particular location?
- 7 A. City Hall.
- 8 Q. The Shakopee City Hall?
- 9 A. City. (Nodding.)
- 10 Q. Can you describe generally as an election judge at Shakopee
- 11 City Hall what you did?
- 12 A. I assisted voters in the early person voting by getting them
- their ballots, answering any questions.
- 14 Q. Did you serve on the City of Shakopee's Absentee Ballot
- 15 Board?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. Were you one of the individuals who would accept absentee
- 18 ballots?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Were you one of the individuals who opened ballot envelopes?
- 21 A. No.
- MR. ZOLL: I'll ask my colleague to pull up what
- has been marked as Exhibit 202.
- 24 And Your Honor, if I may, I have a binder with
- exhibits that might be helpful for the witness to have?

- 1 THE COURT: That's fine.
- 2 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 3 Q. I'm going to hand you -- there's tabs in here, and I'll just
- 4 ask you to turn to tab 202. (Handing.)
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Do you have Exhibit 202 in front of you?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And do you recognize this document?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What is it?
- 11 A. It's an Excel spreadsheet for the townships for the direct
- ballot applications, the machine counts, and then the
- absentee -- what would be the envelopes, the AB envelope
- 14 count.
- 15 Q. Let me go back one step to the first page of Exhibit 202 --
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 | Q. -- which might be one page further back than what you're
- 18 looking at right now.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. What's on this first page of the exhibit?
- 21 A. An email that it looks like I sent to Lori. I sent her all
- those on the last day with the spreadsheets I made for these.
- 23 Q. And is the email that you sent, is that towards the bottom
- 24 of --
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. -- that page?
- THE COURT: Could you answer "yes" or "no"?
- THE WITNESS: Oh, yes.
- 4 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 5 Q. And I'll do my best to try not to interrupt your responses
- and just ask if you could, for the benefit of the court
- 7 reporter, make sure I stop. You'll probably know what I want
- 8 to ask -- you can usually guess that -- but it's easier for
- 9 the court reporter if we do our best not to talk over each
- other. I'm as guilty of that as anybody.
- So, you mentioned this is an email you sent to
- 12 Lori. Who is Lori?
- 13 A. Lori is the city clerk of the City of Shakopee.
- 14 Q. And what's her last name?
- 15 A. Hanson.
- 16 Q. And did you send this --
- 17 A. Or Hensen. Sorry.
- 18 | Q. Hensen?
- 19 A. Hensen.
- 20 O. With two E's?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 | Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you send this email in connection with your work as an
- 25 election judge for the City of Shakopee?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And in the body of that email, it says that you're "attaching
- 3 the Excel spreadsheets I made for the daily counts of TWPs,
- 4 the DB machine counts, and the AB counts." Do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. I'm going to ask you what each of those terms mean. What are
- 7 the daily counts of TWPs?
- 8 A. That's the townships.
- 9 Q. And why are townships relevant?
- 10 A. They were included in the AB -- in the early person voting
- and people could vote -- well, if they were in those
- 12 townships, they could vote at City Hall.
- 13 Q. Okay. Okay. And what about the DB machine counts? What's
- 14 that?
- 15 A. That's direct balloting. That's when they -- people could
- vote and put it in the machine.
- 17 Q. Okay. And it says "machine counts." What does that mean?
- 18 A. That would be -- the machine count was the number at the end
- of the day that the machine had --
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. -- on it.
- 22 | Q. And then you also referenced AB counts. What does that refer
- 23 to?
- 24 A. Those were the envelopes, the count each day of when
- people -- when we did the envelopes before direct balloting.

- Q. Were there two different forms of absentee voting that occurred at the City of Shakopee?
- 3 A. Forms?
- Q. Manners of casting their ballots. And maybe I'll just ask it this way --
- 6 A. Oh.
- Q. -- was there a period of time where voters were required to place their ballots into envelopes?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And then was there a period of time where they would place the ballots directly into machines?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall when that -- did those happen sequentially? Like was it all envelopes and then all directly into the machines?
- A. Yeah, the 17th was the last day of envelopes, and then -October 17th. October 18th was the start of direct
 balloting.
- Q. Okay. So now turning to the second page of Exhibit 202, which would be the first page of the spreadsheet, is this a document that you attached to your email?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is the -- focusing on the first page, what's reflected there and what's the source of that information?
- 25 A. It's the count, the -- from 9/20 to 10/17 for the envelopes.

- And then from 10/18 to 11/4 in yellow were the direct balloting. And those were counts from the applications.
- Q. Okay. And is that for everything that was occurring in Shakopee City Hall, or was that for the townships?
- 5 A. That -- this particular one was just the townships.
- Q. Okay. And this may be obvious, but sometimes I have to ask obvious questions: How do you know that? Is that indicated on the page somewhere?
- 9 A. Yes, at the top.
- 10 Q. Okay. What's reflected in the row at the bottom of this that
 11 is "DB Totals"?
- 12 A. That was just for direct balloting. Those are the numbers that were in yellow.
- 14 Q. And for overall totals?
- 15 A. The whole entire election.
- Q. And did you add those up or is that something the spreadsheet did?
- 18 A. Excel did, the spreadsheet did.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to the next page of the spreadsheet, and can you tell me what's reflected here?
- 21 A. That's the Direct Balloting Applications and Machine Counts.
- Q. Okay. And I think we can understand what the "Date" column means.
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. What is included in the "DB Apps" column?

- 1 A. That was the number of applications that we had that day.
- 2 They would count -- we would count the applications at the
- 3 end of the day.
- 4 Q. Do you know who counted those applications?
- 5 A. The election judges that were there at the end of the day.
- 6 Q. Okay. And was that information conveyed to you?
- 7 A. They were supposed to write it -- yeah, they were supposed to
- 8 write it down on a sheet of paper.
- 9 Q. And then would you take the information that they wrote down
- and put it into the spreadsheet?
- 11 A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is that the source of the information?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And the next column that says "Machine," can you tell
- me what's reflected in that column?
- 16 A. That was the number on the machine where people inserted
- their ballots at the end of the day.
- 18 Q. Okay. And then there's a -- an "Agent" column. Do you know
- 19 what that reflects?
- 20 A. Those were agent deliveries. Agent is where somebody can
- come in -- if somebody's at home and they're not capable of
- coming in, they can be their agent. So they come in and they
- get the forms; they bring them home. They fill them out;
- 24 they come in; and then we give them a ballot. They take the
- ballot home; they fill it out and then they bring it back.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. And that's the number that we have each of those days.
- 3 | O. And then how about the "HCF" column?
- 4 A. That's Health Care Facilities. And that would be the health
- 5 care facilities -- they sent applications out to the health
- care facilities and they came back; we got their envelopes,
- 7 everything ready, and then they went over there and people
- 8 voted.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thanks.
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. In the row for October 18 at the top of this, it says "276 DB
- 12 Apps."
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. Do you see that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And 1379 in the "Machine" column. Do --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Why are there so many more ballots in the "Machine" column
- 21 than the "DB Apps"?
- 22 A. Because the "Machine" also reflects the absentee ballots --
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. -- envelopes.
- 25 | Q. That makes sense. And do you know when approximately the

- absentee envelope ballots would have been run through the machine?
- 3 A. On the 18th.
- Q. Okay. The information here for the machine count on
 October 18, was that a number taken directly from the machine total?
- 7 A. Unfortunately, they did not write the number down that night,
 8 and so we -- so on Monday, I kind of did back-math to get
 9 that.
- 10 Q. Can you describe the back-math that you did?
- 11 A. Well, the number on the 21st for the machine count, I minused
 12 the DB apps from that, and then I minused the DB apps from
 13 the 18th.
- 14 Q. Okay. So is it your understanding that the 1587 --
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- for October 21st was a number that was taken from the tabulator machine?
- 18 A. It was -- it was on that day because I was there at the end
 19 of the day, and I wrote it down.
- 20 Q. Okay. So you know for sure that that's --
- 21 A. I know that number was correct, yes.
- Q. And then there's 208 DB apps. Does that reflect the people who voted that day?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And just to make sure I'm understanding, these would have

- been voters who went to the tabulator machine and fed their
 ballots directly into it?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. So to figure out what the machine total would have been at the end of October 18, did you just subtract 208 from 1587?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And then going forward from October 22nd through

 November 4th, do you have an understanding as to whether the

 numbers reflected in the "Machine" column were numbers that

 were taken directly from the machine?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. You have that understanding, and is that, in fact, what you believe happened?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. They -- yes.
- Q. Did you update the information in the spreadsheet on an ongoing basis, or did you create it after the fact?
- 19 A. The spreadsheets were created on the 26th.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. They were on a sheet of paper. They were created on the 22 26th.
- 23 Q. And did you create that spreadsheet on the 26th?
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. And --

- 1 THE COURT: Could you answer --
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 4 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 5 Q. Did the information that you took from the written sheets,
- 6 was that accurately transcribed into the spreadsheet?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at the third page of the
- 9 spreadsheet. And can you just describe for the Court what's
- 10 reflected here?
- 11 A. This is the count each day of the envelopes, the absentee
- 12 ballot envelopes.
- 13 Q. And when you say the "absentee envelopes," would these be
- envelopes that had been completed by voters who showed up to
- vote at the Shakopee early voting location?
- 16 A. Yes, at the end of every day, we count the applications. We
- remove the envelopes from the box, count those. They have to
- 18 match, and they did.
- 19 Q. Okay. And those are the numbers that are reflected here?
- 20 A. Yep. Yes.
- 21 Q. And do those numbers reflect all the ballots that were
- 22 received in envelopes at the Shakopee early voting location
- on each of those days?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you do that on a precinct-by-precinct basis, or did you

- do it as an overall total amount for ballots for the day?
- 2 A. Overall for the day.
- 3 Q. Okay. And did you say that the number of applications and
- 4 envelopes matched each day?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And they reflect the numbers that are on that chart?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. The total number at the bottom of the third page, what is
- 9 that number?
- 10 A. 1124.
- 11 Q. And what does that reflect?
- 12 A. That reflects the total of AB envelopes that we had.
- 13 Q. And do you recall that there were 276 direct balloting
- 14 applications on October 18th?
- 15 A. Yes. I counted them.
- 16 Q. If we were to add together the 1124 reflected here with the
- 17 276 ballots from the 18th, what number would that give us?
- 18 A. It should have been 1400.
- 19 Q. And if all of the ballots had been run through the tabulator
- 20 machine, is that the number that you would have expected --
- 21 MR. ZOLL: And if we could just go back one page.
- 22 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 23 Q. Is that the number that you would have expected to see in the
- 24 "Machine" column for October 18th?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. The number that is there is 1379?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And that's 21 ballots fewer; correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did you notice that discrepancy at the time?
- 6 A. On Monday -- excuse me -- on Monday I did.
- 7 Q. Okay. What, if anything, did you do after noticing that?
- 8 A. I let them know that we were off on the numbers.
- 9 Q. When you say "them," to whom are you referring?
- 10 A. To Lori and Terri.
- 11 Q. Okay. Who's Terri?
- 12 A. Terri is -- I don't know her title. She works for Lori.
- 13 Q. Okay. Another City of Shakopee employee?
- 14 A. City of Shakopee employee.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And did you say that direct balloting process began on
- 16 October 18th?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall whether the Shakopee Absentee Ballot Board met
- on October 17th to accept absentee ballots?
- 20 A. Yes, they did.
- 21 | Q. But you weren't a member of the Absentee Ballot Board?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Do you know whether the Absentee Ballot Board on October 17th
- began the process of opening the absentee ballot envelopes?
- 25 A. Yes, they did.

- 1 Q. How do you know that?
- 2 A. I was told that they were.
- Q. Do you know whether they took any steps to confirm that the
- number of envelopes they were opening matched the number of
- 5 ballots that had been accepted by the City of Shakopee?
- 6 A. Yes. There was a sheet of paper. I gave them the numbers
- off of the report from the Shakopee Voter Registration
- 8 System, SVRS, and those numbers, when they counted the
- 9 envelopes, should have matched those.
- 10 Q. Okay. And the numbers that you pulled from the SVRS system,
- is it your understanding -- well, do you know if those
- reflected ballots that had been designated as having been
- 13 accepted?
- 14 A. Just accepted.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. So you ran a report in the SVRS for absentee ballots that had
- 18 been accepted at the Shakopee early voting location. And do
- 19 you know through what date or time that report ran?
- 20 A. It would have -- the envelopes that they opened would have
- been through the previous week; I think the 11th. Because
- 22 the 14th was a Monday, right? Fifteenth -- yeah, 14 -- 14,
- 23 | 15, 16, and 17 wouldn't have been on that report.
- Q. When did you -- I think my questions might have been a little
- 25 unclear.

1 A. Okay.

8

9

10

- Q. When did you run the report that you used to provide those numbers?
- A. The report was run I believe the morning -- it was either the end of the day the 16th or the morning of the 17th. I can't remember if Rocky had run the report Wednesday night. If not, I always ran the report in the morning.
 - Q. Okay, so if any ballots were accepted after the point in time where you ran that report, they wouldn't have been captured by that; correct?
- 11 A. No, they would not have.
- Q. Okay. How did you provide those numbers to the members of the Absentee Ballot Board that were going through the process of opening the envelopes?
- 15 A. On a bright yellow sheet of paper.
- Q. Okay. Were your numbers -- did you get any feedback on the accuracy of your numbers?
- Well, when they counted Precinct 1, they told me that it was 18 Α. off, and I was like -- I wasn't sure. I looked back, and the 19 20 number that was on the sheet was actually the ones that 21 included spoiled ballots, so I corrected that. And then they 22 came back and said that Precinct 1 was still off, and it was 23 because Jackson P-1s were filed in with the Shakopee P-1 So I asked them to please check to make sure 24 there was no Jackson P-1s in there, and there was. Once they 25

- 1 pulled those, it matched.
- Q. Okay. And from that point forward, did you receive any
- indication that the numbers of ballots or envelopes that they
- 4 were counting were not consistent with the numbers that you
- 5 provided to them?
- 6 A. I got nothing after that.
- 7 Q. Okay. And when you provided that set of numbers -- again,
- 8 did you say that -- were those handwritten?
- 9 A. They were handwritten.
- 10 Q. Okay. And I think you mentioned Rocky. Who's Rocky?
- 11 A. He was another election judge.
- 12 Q. Do you happen to remember his last name?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. The numbers that you provided, were those broken out
- by individual precincts?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you have an understanding as to whether there were
- absentee ballots that were accepted by the City of Shakopee
- after -- well, after you ran your report on the morning of
- 20 the 17th?
- 21 A. Yeah, they -- they had a ballot board on the 17th that did
- 22 the 14, 15th, and 16th. They compared those and accepted
- 23 them, and then they were put into the system on the 17th as
- 24 being accepted.
- 25 | Q. Did you input data into the SVRS system at all?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Okay. Do you know whether those ballots that were accepted
- 3 by the Absentee Ballot Board on the 17th or after that were
- 4 opened, the envelopes were opened and processed for counting?
- 5 A. I -- I don't recall that. I think they just opened up the
- ones that were in the file cabinet.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this: Did you work as an election
- 8 judge on October 18th?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. And what was your role on October 18th?
- 11 A. Just assisting voters in getting their ballot.
- 12 Q. And I understand it was happening at Shakopee City Hall.
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. But was there a specific room where that was occurring?
- 15 A. Yes. There was a conference room when you walked in
- 16 (indicating) off to the right all the way down at the end.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did you notice anything else that was occurring in
- 18 that room when you arrived on October 18th?
- 19 A. Yes. Lori was in there accepting -- or going through the
- 20 ballots from the 17th, comparing -- you know, like a ballot
- 21 board would do, comparing the signatures and the envelope,
- and then accepting them into the system.
- 23 Q. Was there anyone assisting her in that process?
- 24 A. No, there was not.
- 25 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Hensen was also opening the envelopes

- 1 in that process?
- 2 A. Yes, she was.
- 3 Q. How do you know that?
- 4 A. I did see her opening envelopes. I just -- that's all --
- 5 Q. You saw it with your own eyes.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. Okay. Sometimes my questions are obvious.
- Did you say anything to Ms. Hensen when you observed her activities on the morning of October 18th?
- 10 A. Well, I did ask her if she needs somebody else to be watching
 11 because that's -- a ballot board, you need two people to do
- that, usually one from each party. And she said she was fine
- as long as she was in the room and there were the other two
- of us in there.
- 15 Q. The "other two of us" would be yourself and whom?
- 16 A. And Mary.
- 17 Q. Is Mary another election judge?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Were you and Mary there to help voters cast ballots?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you or Mary assist Ms. Hensen in any way with her
- handling of absentee ballots on October 18th?
- 23 A. No.
- MR. ZOLL: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

KAY GAMBLE - CROSS-EXAMINATION

1		Mr. LeBeau?
2		MR. LeBEAU: (Pause.) If it's okay, Your Honor, I
3		just have notes in multiple places.
4		THE COURT: That's fine.
5		CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY M	R. LeBEAU:
7	Q.	Ms. Gamble, are you a politically designated election judge?
8	A.	No, I am not.
9	Q.	I want to talk about the back-math that you mentioned
10		earlier. The spreadsheets that you created, were these
11		documents that were given to you by Scott County?
12	A.	No, I created these as an internal report just so that we
13		could see that we were keeping balanced and accountable. It
14		was just meant for us in there.
15	Q.	And you had said that there was an underlying document that
16		informed the exhibit that was just on the screen. What was
17		that?
18	A.	Oh, you mean the yellow sheet of paper?
19	Q.	Yeah.
20	A.	That was that was the yellow sheet of paper that I had
21		written down the precincts from the SVRS report that was run,
22		so when they counted the envelopes when they opened them,
23		they matched.
24	Q.	In looking at the well, let's talk about the balancing
25		procedure. Did you follow the balancing procedure that's

KAY GAMBLE - CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 1 outlined in the absentee handbook?
- 2 A. What do you mean by "balancing"?
- 3 MR. LeBEAU: If I might, Your Honor, I'd like to
- 4 pull up Exhibit 3.
- 5 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 6 Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And the -- if I could direct you to the second tab. What
- 9 does the second tab outline?
- 10 A. A balancing?
- 11 Q. Is that the procedure that you followed in creating the
- 12 spreadsheet?
- 13 A. (Pause.) No, the spreadsheet was just made for our use to
- match the numbers to the report, to make sure we were fine.
- 15 Q. But it wasn't balancing --
- 16 A. It balanced up until the transition between AB envelopes and
- 17 direct balloting.
- 18 Q. But not by using that procedure; is that correct?
- 19 A. Well, can I read it?
- 20 O. Please.
- 21 A. (Pause.) I was not on the ballot board. This is completed
- 22 by the ballot board. I never did ballot-boarding.
- 23 Q. Okay. But that's not my question. That's not the procedure
- that you followed in giving out those balance totals; is that
- 25 correct?

KAY GAMBLE - CROSS-EXAMINATION

- A. (Pause.) Again, I wasn't on the ballot board. This was a report that we ran -- this was a report that we -- if this is the same report, it's a report that we run -- we ran every night.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. And it was sent to Lori.
- 7 Q. So that was not the procedure that you would --
- 8 A. Well, no, the number that's written on my -- on my 9 spreadsheet is just the counting of the AB envelopes.
- Q. So can you walk me through just the timeline again of how this all occurred. So on the 17th is when you noticed that the numbers don't match up; is that correct?
- 13 A. No. It was that Monday, the 21st.
- 14 Q. Okay. That you first noticed it on the 21st.
- A. Well, I noticed it -- yeah, I noticed that the math wasn't adding up, and when you ran the report to the report showed -- it didn't match the number on the machine.
- Q. So when was the last time you had a number that didn't match up according to your chart?
- 20 A. The AB envelopes.
- 21 Q. And what day would that have been?
- 22 A. That would have been probably after running the report after
 23 the 17 -- after -- it would have been running the report
 24 Monday -- well, I don't even know if they ran a report. I
 25 wasn't there at the end of every day, so I couldn't -- but it

- would have been probably me coming in Friday morning and counting the -- counting the absentee applications and then running the report to see if we were at that number.
- Q. So you weren't on the -- you were not on the Absentee Ballot Board.
- 6 A. I was not on the Absentee Ballot Board.
- Q. So what was your particular role with dealing with absentee ballots?
- 9 A. My -- with absentee ballots, I -- a voter would come in and I
 10 would find them on the system, get their envelopes and
 11 everything ready, and explain how to vote and how to put it
 12 in there. That's what my job was.
- Q. So would it be very, like, front-of-the-house as opposed to back-of-the-house actually moving the ballots around?
- 15 A. I was not moving ballots around.
- 16 Q. Okay. Just trying to understand --
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 | O. -- the different roles. There's a lot of different roles.
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. You said that you -- that the spreadsheet was created on the 21 26th.
- A. That was after my -- because I was using just a Post-it note for them to write numbers down just because it was just an internal report; it had nothing to do with anything else.

 And somebody criticize -- one of the other judges criticized

- my Post-it note. So I thought okay, I already have a 1 Louisville one up there; I'll just put this in here so it 2 3 looks nice, you know. So this spreadsheet is a reflection of the Post-it notes 4 Q. that --5 6 Α. Yeah. 7 -- you had previously had. Ο. 8 Α. Yeah. And do you have all the Post-it notes still? 9 0. 10 A. Once I had it in there and I verified the numbers of the No. report, I threw the Post-it notes away. There was no reason 11 to keep them. 12 When you couldn't verify the numbers on the 17th, what did 13 Ο. 14 you do with those? 15 MR. ZOLL: Objection; misstates the witness's 16 testimony. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. LeBEAU: 18 Was there a time when you couldn't verify the -- you had 19 Q. 20 mentioned that there was a time that the numbers were off. 21 What day was that? 22 That would have been the 21st. That was -- because nobody Α.
- A. That would have been the 21st. That was -- because nobody
 wrote the number down on the machine, and so we kind of
 have -- I kind of have to back it, and that's -- and I didn't
 do it 'til the end of the day because we had already had

voters and we were really busy. So I really couldn't take the time.

And the machine, also they -- at the end of every day, they were supposed to run the full tape on the machine. When I went over there to confirm, it was zero. The tape never -- never showed an amount.

- Q. So the tape had never been run either?
- 8 A. No, the tape was run. The tape just never showed any numbers 9 the whole entire time --
- 10 Q. And why would that be?
- 11 A. I don't know. I asked and -- (shrugging).
- Q. So just so I'm clear, the spreadsheet -- the spreadsheet that was the exhibit, that was created on the 26th; right?
- 14 A. Right. Right.

3

4

5

6

- 15 Q. And that had numbers going all the way back to what day?
- A. The -- numbers going all the way back to -- you want the AB numbers? It went all the way back -- but the AB numbers had started on the 20th. That's the first day of in-person voting. So the -- what you're seeing, the AB count there, that was -- that was the numbers.
- 21 Q. And so you noticed the error on the 21st.
- 22 A. I noticed the error on the 21st.
- 23 Q. And what was the corresponding day that the error occurred?
- A. I'm assuming with the transition between envelopes and direct balloting, which would have been the 18th when they started

- 1 putting them in the machine.
- 2 Q. And what's that assumption based on?
- 3 A. That we were balanced with AB envelopes before that time.
- 4 The 1124 balanced with the SVRS report.
- Q. Let's talk about the 17th. You said that the Ballot Board met to accept those ballots; correct?
- 7 A. To accept the ballots from the 14th, 15th, and 16th.
- Q. And what goes into that process when they meet to accept ballots?
- 10 A. They -- they were doing it in a chamber because it has to be
 11 open to the public. And they were -- they would take the AB
 12 application and the envelope, and they would (indicating)
 13 compare the information, signature, name, making sure
 14 everything matched. If it did, they accepted it, and then I
 15 imagine it just, you know, went into a pile. And then Lori
 16 would take those and accept them into the machine.
- 17 Q. And did you watch them go through that process on the 17th?
- 18 A. No, I did not; I was in the AB room. I just know the 19 process --
- 20 Q. So you --
- 21 A. -- with what they're supposed to do.
- 22 Q. Right. You assume that's what they did --
- 23 A. Well, I'm assuming, yeah.
- Q. You had mentioned earlier that -- when asked about the numbers that you provided, that after the 17th, you said, "I

- got nothing after that" about the handwritten notes.
- 2 A. Well, when they -- when they were saying how Precinct 1 was
- off, if it was off because they had spoiled -- the number had
- 4 spoiled ballots in it that had to be removed. And then the
- Jackson P-1 was filed in with the Shakopee P-1s, the
- 6 envelopes, and I'm assuming they just saw "P-1" and put it in
- 7 the P-1 file. Once they did that. But after that, I did not
- 8 hear whether or not the numbers matched or didn't match.
- 9 assume I would have been told if they didn't.
- 10 Q. On October 18th, you mentioned that you had personally
- witnessed Lori was processing the absentee ballots?
- 12 A. She was doing what the ballot board would do, probably the
- ones from the 17th.
- 14 | Q. And what did you actually see her do?
- 15 A. Well, she was comparing the -- comparing the information, and
- then she was accepting those into the machine.
- 17 Q. How do you know she was accepting them into the machine?
- 18 A. She was sitting down at the end, the very end, and
- 19 (indicating) -- that's what she was doing.
- 20 | O. And --
- 21 A. And she had a pile of them here (indicating) and she was
- 22 going through and then doing whatever she does on the
- 23 machine, and she was going through like that (indicating).
- 24 Q. But you didn't actually see the screen.
- 25 A. I didn't actually see the screen, no. But they would have

KAY GAMBLE - REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 1 had to have been accepted for the report to come out.
- 2 Q. I think you mentioned this earlier, but I just want to be
- 3 clear: The -- you don't dispute that there's 21 -- the
- 4 numbers are off by 21; is that correct?
- 5 A. I don't dispute that, no.
- 6 Q. But your role in this was never in handling or separating the
- 7 ballot and secrecy envelope from the signature envelope --
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. -- correct? And who was that -- what group would have been
- in charge of that process?
- 11 A. It was Rocky, Latisha, and Lori.
- 12 Q. And when -- who would have been in charge of that process on
- the 17th and the 18th?
- 14 A. Lori.
- 15 Q. Exclusively?
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 THE COURT: You need to answer "yes" or "no."
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 20 MR. LeBEAU: I don't have any further questions.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 22 Mr. Zoll?
- 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 25 Q. Just a couple clarifying questions for you, Ms. Gamble. Did

1		you compare the numbers that you included in the spreadsheet
2		you prepared against reports from the SVRS?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And did those numbers line up?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And I believe you mentioned the Absentee Ballot Board met on
7		the 17th to accept ballots from the 14th, 15th, 16th, and
8		17th. Do you know whether it included the 14th to the 17th?
9		Might it have been the 15th through the 17th?
10	A.	It was only the 14th through the 16th because on the 17th we
11		were still voters were still coming in with envelopes.
12	Q.	All right. Fair enough.
13		MR. ZOLL: No further questions.
14		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15		Mr. LeBeau?
16		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY M	IR. LeBEAU:
18	Q.	So just on that last point: So the operative ballots that
19		were processed on the 17th and the 18th were from the 14th,
20		15th, and 16th?
21	A.	So being accepted on the 17th. The Ballot Board met to do
22		the 14th, 15th, and 16th because they had met on the 14th and
23		done the week before. So they had the 14th, 15th, and 16th
24		to do. And then they I'm not sure if they filed them
25		away filed them and that's when they started opening
	l	

1	envelopes. On the 18th, the 17th would have had to have been
2	verified and accepted into the system.
3	MR. LeBEAU: Okay. Thank you very much.
4	THE COURT: Any other questions, Mr. Zoll?
5	MR. ZOLL: Can I have just one moment?
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	MR. ZOLL: (Pause.) I don't have any further
8	questions.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
10	You may step down, ma'am.
11	THE WITNESS: Do I leave these here?
12	MR. ZOLL: Yes.
13	THE COURT: You can leave them on the witness
14	stand. That's fine.
15	(The witness was excused.)
16	MR. LeBEAU: May I recover those?
17	THE COURT: That's fine. Mr. LeBeau, do you have
18	another witness? Or is your witness who was in the air now
19	available?
20	MR. LeBEAU: Let me check.
21	MR. ZOLL: And Your Honor, if not, we do have one
22	additional witness available.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. LeBEAU: I would defer because he's still not
25	ready.

1	THE COURT: All right. That's fine.
2	Who is that, Mr. Zoll?
3	MR. ZOLL: Chelsea Petersen.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. ZOLL: And if I may go retrieve the witness?
6	THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you.
7	In general, we would take a mid-afternoon recess,
8	but we've had a number of breaks today and started a little
9	late, so my intent is just to continue going.
10	(Witness entered the courtroom.)
11	THE COURT: Ms. Petersen, if you could just come
12	forward to this ramp area, we'll get you sworn in. Thank
13	you.
14	Would you raise your right hand?
15	(The oath was administered.)
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. You can have a seat on the
18	witness stand.
19	And once you get there or situated, could you
20	please state and spell your full name.
21	THE WITNESS: Chelsea Petersen. C-H-E-L-S-E-A,
22	P-E-T-E-R-S-E-N.
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
24	Mr. Zoll, when you're ready, you can proceed.
25	MR. ZOLL: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 CHELSEA PETERSEN,

- 2 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on her
- 3 oath as follows:
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. ZOLL:
- 6 Q. Thank you, Ms. Petersen. Where do you work?
- 7 A. The City of Shakopee.
- 8 Q. And what is your title?
- 9 A. Assistant City Administrator.
- 10 Q. In your role as Assistant City Administrator, did you become
- aware of the fact that 20 ballots from Shakopee Precinct 10
- had not been counted in the 2024 General Election?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How did you learn that fact?
- 15 A. Lori called me on -- it would have been Friday after the
- election. I forget the date. She called me right around
- 1:00 to tell me that.
- 18 Q. Okay. And when you refer to "Lori," who are you referring
- 19 to?
- 20 A. Lori Hensen, the city clerk.
- 21 | Q. Were you involved in any of the efforts to determine what
- happened with the 20 ballots?
- 23 A. That afternoon I went back to City Hall and looked around in
- that regard. Prior to that, no, I had no knowledge of it.
- But yes, Friday afternoon we went back and we looked through

- every area where any of our elections equipment had been.
- Q. I'm going to call your attention to Exhibit 201, and I'll
- 3 bring you a binder that has a physical copy of it.
- 4 (Handing.) And you can just open that to tab 201.
- 5 And I'll just -- the first question will be are you
- 6 familiar with this document?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is this an email that you sent to Lori Hensen and Bill
- 9 Reynolds on November 12th?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And the top email on November 12th, is that the most recent
- 12 email in a thread of emails?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you testified as to who Lori Hensen is. Who's Bill
- 15 Reynolds?
- 16 A. He's the City Administrator.
- 17 Q. Okay, for the City of Shakopee?
- 18 A. Yes, correct.
- 19 Q. I'm going to call your attention to the last -- or excuse
- 20 me -- the second-to-the-last page of Exhibit 201. And in the
- 21 middle, you'll see an email from Bill Reynolds to you and
- Lori Hensen sent at 2:42 p.m. on November 8th. Do you see
- 23 that?
- 24 A. A lot of pages in here. (Pause.) Yes
- 25 Q. And is that forwarding another email that Mr. Reynolds had

- 1 sent to -- it looks like "Council Members"?
- 2 A. Yep, that was informing our City Council.
- Q. So the Council Members, that would include the Shakopee City
- 4 Council?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Okay. In the body of the email that goes on to the final
- 7 page of Exhibit 201, Mr. Reynolds says near the end: "It is
- 8 very important to note that every night after closure of
- 9 absentee balloting, our staff conducted a count of ballots
- vs. registrations and those checked out every night."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 THE COURT: You need to answer "yes" or "no."
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm sorry.
- 15 THE COURT: That's all right. Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. ZOLL:
- Q. Did you discuss the subject matter of this email with
- Mr. Reynolds before it was sent?
- 19 A. Yes. So, after Lori had called me to tell me that there
- was a -- 20 missed ballots were missing, I was with Bill, and
- so I informed him he needs -- he needed to inform Council.
- 22 | O. Do you know what the basis was for his statement that staff
- conducted a count of ballots versus registrations every
- 24 night?
- 25 A. So while I was with Lori and searching through City Hall, I

1	was asking her a number of questions on how how they did
2	their daily procedures and what documents and/or counts might
3	exist. And I was told throughout the course of that that
4	yes, they did count the ballots every night and they tied
5	them out. That's my words, not necessarily hers. But they
6	did count them out, ballots versus registration, every night,
7	so that was the information I had, and that was the
8	information I provided to Bill.

- Q. Okay. Turning back to the previous page of Exhibit 201, Lori Hensen emails that she's attaching "the spreadsheet that my election judge kept throughout the elections 46 days."
- Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yep.

9

10

- Q. Do you recall receiving that email and seeing the exhibit that was -- or the attachment that was described there?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. On the second page of Exhibit 201, there's an email from you at 9:21 on November 12th. Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What are you conveying in this email?
- A. So I had gone through the spreadsheet and done math, so they
 had one spreadsheet of the absentee ballots day by day, and
 then they flipped it to a new sheet in the same spreadsheet
 for the direct-balloting ballots. And so I totaled them up,
 and I guess I referenced which sheets I was talking about in

here, and added them together and -- so there were 1124 from
the absentee ballots, and 276 is what was shown from the
first day of direct balloting when people actually came and
put their ballots to the tabulator. It should have been
the added them together and -- so there were 1124 from
the absentee ballots, and 276 is what was shown from the
first day of direct balloting when people actually came and
put their ballots to the tabulator. It should have been
the absentee ballots, and 276 is what was shown from the
first day of direct balloting when people actually came and
put their ballots to the tabulator. It should have been
the absentee ballots, and 276 is what was shown from the
first day of direct balloting when people actually came and
put their ballots to the tabulator. It should have been
first day of direct balloting when people actually came and
put their ballots to the tabulator. It should have been
first day of direct balloting when people actually came and
put their ballots to the tabulator. It should have been
first day of direct balloting when people actually came and
put their ballots to the tabulator. It should have been
first day of direct balloting when people actually came and
put their ballots to the tabulator. It should have been

- Q. I'll have you turn to Exhibit 202 in the binder, and that begins with an email. If I could just have you turn to the second page of that exhibit. And my question for you: Is this the spreadsheet that you were just describing?
- 12 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And can you just point to where the numbers were that you were just describing? So the -- the 276 and the 1379, where is that seen?
- A. So this is Lori's original spreadsheet, so I saved math on mine, I guess. Okay. So the third page says "AB Count from 9/20 10/17." That's where the 1124 is, the total.
- 19 Q. Okay.
 - A. And then if you back up one page to "DB Applications and Machine Counts," so there was 276 DB applications on that 10/18; that was the first day of direct balloting. So then I took the 1124 from page 3 of the spreadsheet, added it to 276, and got 1400. But the machine was reading 1379 according to page 2 of the spreadsheet.

CHELSEA PETERSEN - CROSS-EXAMINATION

- Q. Okay. Since you first became aware of the discrepancy of 21 ballots, have you learned of any information that causes you to believe that ballots were intentionally destroyed?
 - A. Not that I learned, no.

MR. LeBEAU: Objection.

THE COURT: What's the objection?

MR. LeBEAU: Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. ZOLL: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. LeBeau?

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. LeBEAU:

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Ms. Petersen, can you refresh my collection -- my recollection on what day you first became aware of the 21 missing ballots?
- A. I would have to -- actually, it was the Friday after the election. The date is escaping me, but these emails -- on Friday, November 8th.
- Q. So prior to that, you had no knowledge of any -- of any concerns within your election staff?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. And as the Deputy City Administrator -- do I have that title right?
- 24 A. Assistant City Administrator, yeah.
- 25 Q. Are you in charge of City elections?

CHELSEA PETERSEN - CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 1 A. I am in charge of Lori Hensen, the city clerk. Elections
 2 fall under her in a joint effort with the County.
- 3 Q. Are you familiar with the County's absentee ballot training?
- A. I am aware that they offer it. I'm not aware of when -- I've never sat through the training, no.
- 6 Q. You wouldn't be required to.
- 7 A. Right. Yeah.
- Q. Do you know -- based on your knowledge, are you aware if Lori
 Hensen or any of her staff would have engaged in training?
- A. I believe Lori attended, and I believe we talked through that
 with County election staff. Beyond that, I don't know. I

 would think some of her staff may have, but I know we trained
 our election judges in-house, which is why Lori attended
 their training.
- Q. Based on what you now know, do you believe that the training procedures in the absentee ballot manual were followed?
 - A. I've not read the manual itself, but I believe that there were procedures that were missed, yes. Whether they were County or State, I'm not sure.
 - Q. I want to just talk about the email at the top of what is -the exhibit you just looked at, which I think for us is
 Exhibit 3, but it's the same thing in both instances. Where
 it's referred to an estimate.
- 24 A. Uh-huh.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 Q. Do you know what they mean when they refer to an estimate?

CHELSEA PETERSEN - CROSS-EXAMINATION

- A. I -- I don't, frankly. I think it was -- so there's the SVRS system where they log all the official reports and things
 like that. I think it was called an estimate because we kept an in-house spreadsheet, notes. That wasn't the official documentation, so I think that's why they called it an estimate.
- Q. Did you personally witness or see how the absentee ballots were being processed?
- 9 A. I was there for a short period of time. I had an intern with
 10 me and we just kind of sat and watched for 15 or 20 minutes
 11 maybe. And we did watch the election judges, you know, doing
 12 their checks and balances, making sure the names and
 13 signatures matched and things like that. But we left before
 14 any envelopes were opened. It was just for informational
 15 purposes.
 - Q. Did you -- did you observe that on October 17th?
- 17 A. I believe so. I have to look at the calendar to make sure,
 18 but I believe so. The first day that they started processing
 19 them, and that would have been the 17th, yeah.
- 20 Q. Did you observe it on October 18th?
- 21 A. No.

- 22 O. And is Ms. Hensen currently employed by the City?
- 23 A. She is not.
- MR. LeBEAU: I have no further questions.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. LeBeau.

1		Mr. Zoll?
2		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY M	R. ZOLL:
4	Q.	You were just asked about a question that you posed in
5		Exhibit 201 to Ms. Hensen of can you please define and/or
6		better explain the "estimate" that Kay made. Do you recall
7		that question?
8	A.	Uh-huh.
9		THE COURT: Can you answer "yes" or "no"?
10		THE WITNESS: Yes.
11		THE COURT: Thank you.
12		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
13		THE COURT: That's all right.
14	BY M	R. ZOLL:
15	Q.	To your recollection, did Ms. Hensen ever respond to that
16		email?
17	A.	She did not in writing. After that, I had checked in with
18		her a couple of times, said "How can I help?" You know, I
19		was attempting to assist in the process. And no, she didn't
20		respond in writing, and to the best of my recollection, it
21		was just that they were unofficial records because the State
22		keeps all the official records.
23	Q.	If Kay had made an estimate, would Kay be the best person to
24		explain that?
25	A.	Yes.

1	MR. ZOLL: No further questions.
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	No other questions, Mr. LeBeau?
4	MR. LeBEAU: (Shaking head.)
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step
6	down.
7	(The witness was excused.)
8	THE COURT: Mr. LeBeau?
9	MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I apologize. I have left
LO	one final voter anxiously waiting in the hallway. So I would
L1	like to call that individual at this time.
L2	THE COURT: Seems like a
L3	MR. LeBEAU: And that will conclude all the voter
L4	testimony.
L5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And which voter
L6	is that, what voter number?
L7	MR. LeBEAU: One second. (Pause.) It would be
L8	Voter Number 4.
L9	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	(Witness entered the courtroom.)
21	THE COURT: Voter Number 4, why don't you come
22	forward to the area of the ramp, and once you get there, if
23	you could please raise your right hand and I'll get you
24	sworn. Thank you, sir.
25	(The oath was administered.)

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. You can have a seat on the
3	witness stand.
4	And then Mr. LeBeau, as to this voter, could you
5	please repeat the voter number?
6	MR. LeBEAU: Number 4.
7	THE COURT: As to Voter Number 4, have you verified
8	that Voter Number 4 is, in fact, the person who is identified
9	on the witness identification key that is filed under seal?
10	MR. LeBEAU: One second. I think I have the wrong
11	sheet of paper. (Pause.) Your Honor, if I could I just
12	want to confirm one more time because I know we're sensitive
13	about this and
14	THE COURT: Sure, that's fine.
15	MR. LeBEAU: I believe I'm about 99.9 percent
16	correct.
17	THE COURT: We're off the record.
18	(Off-the-record discussion.)
19	THE COURT: And we will go back on the record.
20	MR. LeBEAU: Sorry. I apologize. I just wanted to
21	be absolutely certain.
22	THE COURT: All right. And so have you, in fact,
23	verified that Voter Number 4 is, in fact, the person who's
24	identified on the witness identification key as Voter
25	Number 4?

- 1 MR. LeBEAU: Yes, Your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.
- 3 MR. LeBEAU: Thank you.
- 4 VOTER NUMBER 4,
- 5 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified under
- 6 oath as follows:
- 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 9 Q. (Handing.) Sir, I'm showing you a document that's marked at
- the top. It's I believe 304; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Can you please identify that document?
- 13 THE COURT: Could you please slide closer to the
- 14 microphone, sir? I'm having a hard time hearing you. Thank
- 15 you.
- 16 A. This is the form to fill out when you come to register.
- 17 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 18 Q. Is the first one titled "Signature Envelope"?
- 19 A. What's that?
- 20 Q. Is the first document titled "Signature Envelope"?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. And is the second one titled "Minnesota Absentee Ballot"?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And are these signed by you?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Are these true and accurate representations of the signature Q. envelope and absentee ballot application that you filled out 2 for the 2024 election? 3
- 4 Α. Yes.

- 5 MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I'd move that they be admitted.
- THE COURT: What exhibit is that? 7
- MR. LeBEAU: Exhibit 304. 8
- 9 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 10 MR. ZOLL: No, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. Sealed Exhibit 304 is
- 12 received.
- 13 MR. LeBEAU: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. LeBEAU:
- 15 Sir, did you vote in the 2024 election? Q.
- Yes. 16 Α.
- 17 And what day did you vote? Q.
- It was a Wednesday. Was it the 15th or 16th? (Pause.) 18 Α.
- 19 16th.
- 20 And where did you vote? 0.
- 21 Α. City Hall.
- 22 And why did you vote on that day? Q.
- 23 Α. I was going to be out of town on election day.
- And did you vote in the House District 54A race? 24 0.
- 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q.	And for whom did you vote?
2	A.	Mr. Paul.
3		MR. LeBEAU: Your Honor, I have no further
4		questions.
5		THE COURT: All right. Mr. Zoll?
6		MR. ZOLL: No questions.
7		THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You may
8		step down.
9		(The witness was excused.)
LO		THE COURT: Mr. LeBeau, do you have any other
L1		witnesses?
L2		MR. LeBEAU: No, Your Honor. My final witness is I
L3		believe at this moment still in the air. And with opposing
L4		counsel's indulgence as we moved some things around today,
L5		I'll plan to call him tomorrow.
L6		THE COURT: All right. Mr. Zoll, do you have any
L7		other witnesses today?
L8		MR. ZOLL: None that are presently available. We
L9		do have witnesses available beginning tomorrow morning.
20		THE COURT: All right. That's fine. Then we'll
21		reconvene this matter at 9:00 tomorrow morning.
22		Counsel, I would just like to chat in chambers
23		about the plan for tomorrow and what witnesses you expect to
24		call. All right? Thank you.
25		(Proceedings concluded.)

1	
2	
3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
4	
5	
6	I, MONICA R. MORIARTY, do hereby certify that I am an
7	official court reporter for the First Judicial District, State of
8	Minnesota; that as such reporter, I stenographically reported the
9	proceedings held in the hearing of the afore-mentioned action;
10	that I thereafter transcribed the proceedings by means of
11	computer-aided transcription; and that the above and foregoing
12	transcript, consisting of the preceding 203 pages, constitutes a
13	full, true, and complete transcript of my stenographic notes of
14	the hearing to the best of my ability.
15	
16	
17	Dated: December 23, 2024 /s/ Monica R. Moriarty
18	MONICA R. MORIARTY, RDR, CRR Dakota County Judicial Center
19	1560 West Highway 55 Hastings, MN 55033
20	(651) 377-7960
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	