

Legal Paraprofessional Pilot Project Implementation Committee

Meeting Summary October 28, 2019 | 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm

Topic

1. Welcome

2. Additional Discussion of Preliminary Survey Response Updates

The committee reviewed a summary of the survey comments. Committee members commented on the diversity of opinions and responses as interesting but not very helpful in narrowing down the approach for the pilot project.

Members also noted that it was surprising to see how many responders didn't seem to understand what paralegals are already permitted to do under current rules.

A number of responders indicate a lack of awareness about what the pilot project is meant to accomplish and how, specifically using attorney supervision. Instead, responders seemed to think the approach is to send paralegals into court unsupervised. The committee sees this as a critical communication point in order to get buy-in. Suggestions included holding meetings with various groups of stakeholders to ensure understanding and awareness.

3. Focus Group Update

Several people volunteered to participate in the focus group; a good variety of attorneys and paralegals. Committee members provided input about what kind of information we want to elicit from the attendees. Suggestions included, ideas for the structure of the pilot, identify gaps in services that might be filled with this project, qualifications for attorney and paralegal participants.

4. Pilot Structure and Criteria Brainstorming

The committee members brainstormed ideas for the pilot project. Ideas shared included:

- Identify a team that includes court representation (judge, court administrator, both)
 + paralegal + lawyer. Some district court judges might be willing to support actions in their courts.
- Consider the option of having many types of pilots in a few different areas of law, with different structures to test more options, get more feedback, and see varied results for measuring the success of the process.
- Does an option similar to the "sandbox" that Utah is doing seem feasible in MN?

Thinking about the market-based options, the committee discussed housing dispute cases for association disputes, boundary line issues, contract for deed cases. All can affect individual rights, affordable housing, and can prevent homelessness. These may have more economic incentive than LL/T.

October 23, 2019 Page 1 of 2



Members generally agree that family law is an area that will provide the easiest and/or clearest market-based approach. Paralegals generally bill at about 50% the hourly rate of the attorney.

5. Other Comments / Discussion

The co-chairs confirmed the decision from September meeting to remove debtor-creditor disputes from the pilot project. Members confirmed agreement.

Other questions, areas for additional analysis:

- How to connect paralegals with attorneys who can supervise the work if there is not a current attorney & paralegal relationship through either employment or contract?
- Members think there is absolute willingness to make use of corporate or private firm paralegals for the pilot but the training needs are high because they are not knowledgeable in the housing and family areas of law.

Member Roster & Attendance

Justice Paul Thissen Judge John Rodenberg Thomas Nelson Sally Dahlquist Maren Schroeder Tiffany Doherty-Schooler Pamela Wandzel Christopher O. Petersen Bridget Gernander	Co-Chair Co-Chair MSBA Inver Hills Community College Rochester, MN Duluth, MN Minneapolis, MN Minneapolis, MN State Court Administrators Office
· ·	
Liz Reppe Guests:	State Law Library

Hannah Reichenbach, Law Clerk

Ellen Bendewald, SCAO

October 23, 2019 Page 2 of 2