Michael,

Here are the Petitioners' responses to the Committee's questions:

1. Under the Forum proposal, by including the UFMJRA (548.54-.63.) in proposed rule 10.01(i), are tribal money judgments (other than those addressed in items (a)-(h) in that same rule) governed by UFMJRA which requires a party seeking to enforce the judgment to bring a claim based on the tribal judgment?

   > Yes. Tribal money judgments are to be treated as those of other sovereigns not entitled to full faith and credit.

2. For involuntary chemical dependency placement, commitments, and conservatorships (see Forum Petition, paragraph 70) what procedure applies under the forum's proposal? (See, e.g., 17B Ariz. Rev. Stat. Tribal Court Involuntary Commitment Orders, Rules 1-6, requiring 5 day notice to AG and party; copy attached.) Is there notice to the individual? Is appointment of counsel required? Is waiver of notice appropriate or considered (see, e.g, Protocol for the Processing and Enforcement of Tribal Court Involuntary Commitment Orders in the Superior Court of Arizona, copy attached)?

   > Any proceeding would be governed by Rule 10 in conjunction with other existing Rules of Civil Procedure, General Rules of Practice, and state statutes. These govern recognition proceedings currently and there is no need for change in this regard.

3. Reciprocity: is it all or nothing? Or is there a case-type by case-type approach? Is there also tribal court to tribal court reciprocity? What of Oklahoma District Court Rule 30 (copy attached) where there is a reciprocity registration requirement? Would reciprocity registration help reduce decision-making time for Minnesota district court judges reviewing tribal orders and judgments?

   > If a tribe's law provides for recognition of a similar state court order, then the tribe has established reciprocity. A reciprocity registration is not necessary.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Regards,

Peter J. Rademacher