

Examiner's Report – Rule 20.02

(Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 20.02)

To:

County
Judicial District

Court Case Number:

From:

Date of Report:

Court Information

Defendant's Name:	Date of Birth:
Charges and Dates of Alleged Offense(s):	

Exam Information

Date of Exam:	Examiner's Name:
List Other Person(s) Present During Exam:	Did Defendant Participate in Exam? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	If no, in your opinion, was the Defendant unwilling to participate in the Exam due to? <input type="checkbox"/> Mental illness or deficiency. <input type="checkbox"/> Not able to determine basis for unwillingness to participate. <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
Duration of Exam:	Location of Exam:

Referral Information

--

Statement of Purpose and Non-Confidentiality

<p>Pursuant to M.S. 13.01, subd. 2, Defendant was informed of:</p> <p><i>The role of the court-appointed examiner;</i></p> <p><i>The purpose and intended use of the data collected during the evaluation and other information collected from collateral sources;</i></p> <p><i>That the report and collateral records may be viewed with proper legal authority;</i></p> <p><i>That the judge, prosecutor and defense attorney will receive a copy of the Examiner's Report;</i></p> <p><i>That failure to cooperate in the examination is reported to court.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Comments:</p>
--

Examiner's Report – Rule 20.02

(Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 20.02)

I. Background Information

1. Information Sources

a) Interviews Conducted:

b) Records Reviewed:

2. Relevant Background Information

3. Clinical Assessment

a) Behavioral Observations:

b) Psychological Testing (if any):

II. Diagnosis of Defendant's Mental Condition

1. Diagnosis

a) Diagnostic Analysis:

b) Diagnosis:

III. Examiner's Assessment and Opinion Regarding Criminal Responsibility

Legal Standard -- Rule 20.02, subd. 4 (b):

Because of mental illness or deficiency, the defendant at the time of committing the alleged criminal act, was laboring under such a defect of reason as not to know the nature of the act or that it was wrong;

1. Factual Basis for Determining if Legal Standard for Criminal Responsibility is Met

a) At the time of committing the alleged criminal act(s), did Defendant suffer from?

- Mental Illness
- Mental Deficiency
- Neither

State the specific facts that you relied upon to reach your conclusions:

b) Was defendant laboring under such a defect of reason at the time of committing the alleged acts to not know the nature of the act?

- Yes
- No
- Unable to provide definitive answer (Opinion differs dependent upon charge)

If unable to provide a Yes or No answer, explain why a definitive answer is not available:

Examiner's Report – Rule 20.02

(Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 20.02)

State the specific facts that you relied upon to reach your conclusions:

c) Was defendant laboring under such a defect of reason at the time of committing the alleged acts to not know the acts were wrong?

Yes

No

Unable to provide definitive answer (Opinion differs dependent upon charge)

If unable to provide a Yes or No answer, explain why a definitive answer is not available:

State the specific facts that you relied upon to reach your conclusions:

2. Other

Examiner: _____
(Examiner's Signature)

Date: _____
(Date Report Completed)

Print Name: _____

Title: _____