

**STATE OF MINNESOTA
IN SUPREME COURT**

SUPREME COURT CALENDAR

September 2026

Amended 9-2-26

**Chief Justice Natalie E. Hudson
Justice Anne K. McKeig
Justice Paul C. Thissen
Justice Gordon L. Moore, III
Justice Karl C. Procaccini
Justice Sarah E. Hennesy
Justice Theodora K. Gaïtas**

Please note the following time allotments for oral argument
unless otherwise ordered by the Court:

**Appellants are limited to 35 minutes and
Respondents are limited to 25 minutes.**

**COURT CONVENES AT 9:00 A.M.
(unless otherwise noted)**

Attorneys who will be arguing cases scheduled on this calendar should complete and return the argument appearance form attached at the end of this calendar. (**Attorneys for cases designated on this calendar as non-oral do not need to fill out this form.)

Attorneys in all cases scheduled for oral argument must check in with the Marshal in the courtroom to which the case is assigned (Capitol or Judicial Center), or virtual, if applicable, no later than **8:40 a.m.** Counsel for appellants should inform the Marshal of how much time they would like to reserve for rebuttal. Counsel for both parties will be given 3 minutes uninterrupted at the start of their argument before being stopped for questions from the court. Counsel should be present and be prepared to begin whenever their case is called.

Monday, August 31, 2026 – State Capitol, Supreme Court Courtroom (Second Floor)

Oral / Nonoral	Case Title	Decision Appealed / Issue Summary
En Banc Oral	State of Minnesota, Respondent, vs. Mervel George Jones, III, Appellant. No. A24-1451	Opinion Court of Appeals Summary of issues
En Banc Oral	State of Minnesota, Respondent, vs. Tommy Eastman, Appellant. No. A25-0382	Opinion Court of Appeals Summary of issues

Tuesday, September 1, 2026 – State Capitol, Supreme Court Courtroom (Second Floor)

Oral/ Nonoral	Case Title	Decision Appealed / Issue Summary
En Banc Oral	Reyzl Grace MoChridhe, Appellant, vs. Academy of Holy Angels, Respondent, Archdiocese of St. Paul and Minneapolis, Respondent. No. A25-0559	Opinion Court of Appeals Summary of issues
Nonoral	Ricky Darnell Waiters, Appellant, vs. State of Minnesota, Respondent. No. A25-1913	Winona County Order Summary of issues

Wednesday, September 2, 2026 – State Capitol, Supreme Court Courtroom (Second Floor)

Oral/ Nonoral	Case Title	Decision Appealed / Issue Summary
En Banc Oral	In re Cottonwood County’s Decision on the Need for an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Sioux Rock Quarry Expansion Project in Delton Township. No. A25-0806, A25-0821	Opinion Court of Appeals Summary of issues
En Banc Oral	In the Matter of the Application of OMG Midwest, Inc. d/b/a Minnesota Paving and Materials for a Conditional Use Permit. No. A25-1125	Opinion Court of Appeals Summary of issues

Thursday, September 3, 2026 – State Capitol, Supreme Court Courtroom (Second Floor)

Oral/ Nonoral	Case Title	Decision Appealed / Issue Summary
En Banc Oral	Michael Lowell Munger, Appellant, vs. State of Minnesota, Respondent. No. A25-1983	Crow Wing County Order Summary of issues

Tuesday, September 8, 2026 – State Capitol, Supreme Court Courtroom (Second Floor)

Oral/ Nonoral	Case Title	Decision Appealed / Issue Summary
En Banc Oral	Rodney Dean Bjornson, Relator, vs. McNeilus Companies, Inc., and Travelers Indemnity Company of American and Property & Casualty Hartford, administered by Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc., Respondents. No. A26-0231	Minnesota Workers' Compensation Court of Appeals Summary of issues
En Banc Oral	City of Oak Grove, Petitioner, vs. Steve Simon, in his official capacity as Minnesota Secretary of State, Respondent, Tom Hunt, in his official capacity as Director of Anoka County Elections & Voter Registration, Respondent, and Pam LeBlanc, in her official capacity as Chief Officer of Anoka County Property Records and Taxation, Respondent. No. A26-0910	Minnesota Statute § 204B.44 Petition Summary of issues
Nonoral	Shavelle Oscar Chavez-Nelson, Appellant, vs. State of Minnesota, Respondent. No. A24-0419, A25-1764	Dakota County Order Summary of issues

Wednesday, September 9, 2026 – State Capitol, Supreme Court Courtroom (Second Floor)

Oral/ Nonoral	Case Title	Decision Appealed / Issue Summary
En Banc Oral	In re Petition for Disciplinary Action against Nuro B. Dedefo, a Minnesota Attorney, Registration No. 0309989. No. A25-1185	Petition for Discipline Summary of issues

NOTICE

COUNSEL ARGUING CASES THIS MONTH MUST COMPLETE THIS FORM AND IMMEDIATELY E-FILE THE DOCUMENT VIA E-MACS

Counsel: Make certain you note in which courtroom, the Capitol or the Judicial Center, the case is scheduled for argument. Please e-file this form using Filing Type "Notice" and Filing Subtype "Counsel Arguing Cases."

Case Title: _____

Case Number: _____

_____ Representing _____

will argue this case before the Minnesota Supreme Court on _____ in the
(*please put an "X" in front of which courtroom*) _____ State Capitol _____ Judicial Center
_____ Other

(**NOTE:** Counsel must check in with the courtroom marshal by no later than 20 minutes before the court convenes)

Signed: _____

Phone number: _____

If you have a question related to an upcoming oral argument, or an emergency arises please call 651-291-5297. For after-hours emergencies please email: mjcappelleclerkofcourt@courts.state.mn.us.

Accommodations: The Minnesota Judicial Branch is committed to providing all people with equal access to the courts. To this end, the Judicial Branch strives to make reasonable accommodations for court users with disabilities consistent with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Minnesota State Statute 363A.11. If you or your client will be attending oral argument, have a disability, and anticipate needing an accommodation, please complete an [ADA Accommodation Request form \(PDF form\)](#) and submit it to mjcappelleclerkofcourt@courts.state.mn.us. Assisted listening devices are also available upon request. Please notify the Clerk at 651-291-5297 or at mjcappelleclerkofcourt@courts.state.mn.us and see the courtroom Marshal on the morning of argument for assistance.

Security at the Capitol: Attorneys who have appeared in the case and are not themselves a party are permitted to bypass screening and bring a bag into the courtroom. Other counsel will be required to show Security a photo ID along with proof of their affiliation with the law firm or agency representing the party at oral argument prior to bypassing screening and bringing a bag in. Guests and visitors are required to pass through screening to enter the courtroom and are not permitted to enter with bags larger than 4.5 x 6.5 x 3 inches.

Security at the Judicial Center: All attorneys, guests, and visitors are required to pass through security screening to enter the Judicial Center.